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Abstract

Background: There are three differently processed rehmannia roots, namely fresh, dried and steamed rehmannia roots (FRR, DRR and SRR), which have different indications according to the theory of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM).

Objective: To confirm the effects of different rehmannia roots on dexamethasone (Dex) treatment induced side effects, which belong to Yin Deficiency Syndrome in TCM.

Materials and Methods: Rats were subcutaneously injected with Dex (0.16 g/kg) and orally administered with different roots (2.16 g/kg) for 28 days, with metformin (Met, 0.25 g/kg) as a reference substance. Food intake, blood cell counts and organ weight were recorded, and hepatic glycogen and serum insulin, glucose, free fatty acids, total cholesterol, and malondialdehyde contents were assayed.

Results: Dex treatment led to decreases in food intake, white blood cell count and spleen index, and increases in hemocrit, heart and liver indexes, hepatic glycogen, and serum contents of insulin, glucose, free fatty acids, total cholesterol, and malondialdehyde.

Conclusions: Dex treatment results in insulin resistance, high blood viscosity; oxidative damage and immunosuppression in rats, and FRR is better than DRR and SRR in attenuating Dex-induced insulin resistance and high blood viscosity. These findings have thus firstly provided evidence that postharvest processing has a profound influence on the biological activities of rehmannia roots.
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Introduction

Traditional Chinese medicinal materials must be processed before being prescribed for patients. The processing technologies include drying, cutting, roasting, steaming, calcining, fermenting and so on, which not only facilitate storing, making up a prescription and enabling better solubility of active components, but also reduce side effects, strengthen or modify actions. Most of the claimed advantages of the traditional processing methods have not been systematically examined up to now, such as processing methods for the roots of Rehmannia glutinosa (Gaertn.) DC. (The family of Plantaginaceae).

In China, there are three differently processed rehmannia roots, namely fresh, dried and steamed rehmannia roots (FRR, DRR and SRR). According to the traditional practices, FRR is collected as the aerial parts die down, and then dried at a temperature of 70-80°C and used as DRR. SRR is derived from DRR by steaming for several days. In TCM theory, FRR and DRR have a cold property, being used to clear away pathogenic heat, while SRR is slightly warm and has a tonic effect. Previous research has demonstrated that significant chemical changes occurred during the postharvest drying and steaming. For example, stachyose and catalpol, the two main components of FRR, were found largely decomposed, while 5-hydromethyl furfural, one of the intermediate products of Maillard reaction, was generated [1,2]. However, the relationship between these chemical changes and their different traditional applications remains unknown.

Dexamethasone (Dex) is a synthetic glucocorticoid with potent anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive actions, which is commonly used to treat rheumatic problems, allergic disorders, ulcerative colitis, psoriasis, and cancer etc. [3,4]. Unfortunately, a wide spectrum of side effects of Dex usage has been observed, such as anxiety, agitation, palpitation, insulin resistance, high blood viscosity, dislipidemia, cardiotoxicity, oxidative stress and bone defects [5-17]. In the view of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), most of Dex's side effects belong to the Syndrome of Yin Deficiency (TCM), and an animal model of Yin Deficiency has been established by Dex treatment [18-20]. Both of DRR and SRR have the action to nourish Yin and have been clinically used to suppress the side effects of glucocorticoids [21]. In the meantime, DRR has been proved having the capacity to avoid pituitary and adrenal gland atrophy induced by Dex [22-23], and Liuwei Dihuang Wan, a well-known traditional Chinese formula with SRR as the main ingredient, could improve Dex-induced side effects [18-20]. According to the theory of TCM, Yin deficiency will lead to internal heat, and accordingly, we have proposed that FRR or DRR should be better than SRR in attenuating Dex-induced pathogenic heat. In this study, therefore, the effects of three rehmannia roots on Dex-induced side effects were compared to confirm whether postharvest processing has an influence on the biological activities of these roots. Due to the lack of satisfactory drugs available for the treatment of all side effects of Dex, metformin (Met) was selected as a reference substance in this study as it was shown to relieve some of Dex's side effects [16,17].

Materials and Methods

FRR, DRR or SRR and their extraction

Fresh tuberous roots of Rehmannia glutinosa ‘Beijing 3’ was obtained from Shandong Baiweitang TCM Co., Ltd. (Jinan, China) in December 2016. Some roots were cut into slices (3mm thick) and dried at 30°C; the resulting slices were used as FRR. The other roots were hot-air dried at 80°C and used as DRR. One half of DRR was further steamed for 48h at atmospheric pressure and then dried at...
was centrifuged (4°C, 3500 rpm/min for 10 min) to collect serum. EDTA for complete blood counting, and the remnant clotted blood was adjusted according to body weight changes. On day 14 and 21, food intake was found decreased in Dex alone group, but nearly returned to normal level by supplement of FRR, while the effects of the other tested materials did not reach a statistical significance (Figure 1B). Heart and liver indexes in Dex alone-treated rats were significantly higher than those in the control group, while spleen index was just the opposite. The increased heart index was significantly reduced by DRR and SRR supplementation, and the reduced spleen index was significantly increased by FRR administration (Table 1).

Complete blood cell counting

It is well known that Dex is a potent immunosuppressive agent, and it also enhances erythropoiesis [26-27], leading to polycythemia and high blood viscosity. The results of complete blood cell counting shown in Table 2 are as expected, with the total number of white blood cells being significantly decreased and the number of red blood cells being significantly increased. All the parameters in the Dex alone group were significantly decreased when compared with those of the control group except for the neutrophil count (with the difference being insignificant) and the basophil count (being significantly increased). The red blood cell count, hemoglobin content and hematocrit nearly returned to the normal levels in the FRR-supplemented group, while most of the parameters were not obviously improved by co-administration of DRR, SRR or Met (Table 2).

Serum biochemical parameters and liver glycogen content

To understand the effects of different rehmannia roots on abnormal energy metabolism, heart toxicity and oxidative damage induced by Dex treatment, serum biochemical parameters were measured. In Dex alone- treated rats, fasting serum glucose, insulin, free fatty acids, creatine kinase isoenzyme MB, total protein, and liver glycogen were determined using commercial kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Biomedical Engineering Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China). Serum insulin was measured by using the 125I-radioimmunoassay kit (Beijing Northern Bioengineering Institute, Beijing, China). Serum malondialdehyde (MDA, a product of lipid peroxidation) was determined by the method of Ohkawa et al. [25].

Statistical analyses

The data were expressed as mean ± SEM. The significance of difference between groups was determined by Student's t-test at three levels, p<0.05, p<0.01 and p<0.001.

Results

Body and organ weight, and food intake

To examine the differences of fresh, dried and steamed rehmannia roots, their effects in countering Dex treatment-induced side effects were compared. In Dex alone-treated rats, significant weight loss was observed from day 2 onwards, which could not be attenuated by co-administration with rehmannia roots and metformin (Figure 1A). On day 14 and day 21, food intake was found decreased in Dex alone group, but nearly returned to normal level by supplement of FRR, while the effects of the other tested materials did not reach a statistical significance (Figure 1B). Heart and liver indexes in Dex alone-treated rats were significantly higher than those in the control group, while spleen index was just the opposite. The increased heart index was significantly reduced by DRR and SRR supplementation, and the reduced spleen index was significantly increased by FRR administration (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dex</th>
<th>FRR</th>
<th>DRR</th>
<th>SRR</th>
<th>Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.00 ***</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.00 ##</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.01 ##</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.91 ± 0.06 ***</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.97 ± 0.13</td>
<td>3.21 ± 0.08 #</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.00 ***</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.00 #</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are mean ± SEM. *** p < 0.001 when compared to the control group;  # p<0.05 and ## p<0.01 when compared with Dex alone group.
Table 2: Effects of FRR, DRR, SRR or Met co-administration on complete blood cell counting of rats treated with Dex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dex</th>
<th>FRR</th>
<th>DRR</th>
<th>SRR</th>
<th>Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White blood cells (1x10^9/L)</td>
<td>6.14 ± 0.45</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.22 ***</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.14</td>
<td>2.18 ± 0.27</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.33</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil (1x10^9/L)</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes (1x10^9/L)</td>
<td>4.70 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.20 ***</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocytes (1x10^9/L)</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophil (1x10^9/L)</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basophil (1x10^9/L)</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03 ***</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red blood cells (1x10^12/L)</td>
<td>8.01 ± 0.23</td>
<td>8.66 ± 0.19 *</td>
<td>7.76 ± 0.16 #</td>
<td>8.18 ± 0.16</td>
<td>8.38 ± 0.19</td>
<td>8.44 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>40.66 ± 0.85</td>
<td>45.20 ± 1.30 **</td>
<td>41.06 ± 0.69 ##</td>
<td>42.41 ± 0.80</td>
<td>44.00 ± 0.84</td>
<td>43.48 ± 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/L)</td>
<td>144.13 ± 3.12</td>
<td>157.14 ± 4.45 *</td>
<td>145.00 ± 2.41 #</td>
<td>151.88 ± 3.03</td>
<td>156.75 ± 3.26</td>
<td>155.15 ± 2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (1x10^12/L)</td>
<td>631.13 ± 17.52</td>
<td>734.14 ± 14.31 *</td>
<td>730.38 ± 27.94</td>
<td>656.7 ± 32.60</td>
<td>669.3 ± 32.80</td>
<td>676.25 ± 33.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet-large cell ratio (%)</td>
<td>16.43 ± 0.99</td>
<td>12.97 ± 0.77 *</td>
<td>12.82 ± 0.80</td>
<td>12.13 ± 0.73</td>
<td>13.76 ± 1.29</td>
<td>13.36 ± 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytocrit (%)</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.03 **</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean platelet volume (FL)</td>
<td>8.84 ± 0.11</td>
<td>8.37 ± 0.11 **</td>
<td>8.29 ± 0.04</td>
<td>8.20 ± 0.11</td>
<td>8.44 ± 0.16</td>
<td>8.39 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SEM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001 when compared with the control group; # p<0.05 and ## p<0.01 when compared with the Dex group.

Table 3: Effects of FRR, DRR, SRR or Met co-administration on serum biochemical parameters and liver glycogen content of rats treated with Dex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dex</th>
<th>FRR</th>
<th>DRR</th>
<th>SRR</th>
<th>Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDA (nmol/ml)</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.59</td>
<td>10.26 ± 0.78 ***</td>
<td>9.57 ± 0.54</td>
<td>9.28 ± 0.44</td>
<td>8.65 ± 0.45</td>
<td>9.67 ± 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK-MB (U/L)</td>
<td>355.10 ± 55.54</td>
<td>219.75 ± 16.96 *</td>
<td>170.45 ± 19.19</td>
<td>179.14 ± 21.17</td>
<td>250.80 ± 18.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein (mg/ml)</td>
<td>52.03 ± 3.99</td>
<td>66.06 ± 16.60 ***</td>
<td>64.71 ± 2.12</td>
<td>66.55 ± 1.83</td>
<td>59.66 ± 2.48 #</td>
<td>62.59 ± 1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN mmol/L</td>
<td>10.77 ± 0.17</td>
<td>12.21 ± 0.36 **</td>
<td>12.61 ± 0.29</td>
<td>11.90 ± 0.38</td>
<td>11.56 ± 0.25</td>
<td>11.94 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.21 *</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.21</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA (µmol/L)</td>
<td>412.71 ± 30.73</td>
<td>773.875 ± 74.23**</td>
<td>640.75 ± 75.12</td>
<td>644.86 ± 66.17</td>
<td>693.43 ± 66.65</td>
<td>632.00 ± 67.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SEM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, and *** p<0.001 when compared with the control group; and # p<0.05 when compared with the Dex group. TC: total cholesterol; FFA: free fatty acid; CK-MB: creatine kinase isoenzyme MB; BUN: blood urea nitrogen and MDA: malondialdehyde.

Figure 1: Effects of FRR, DRR, SRR or Met co-administration on average body weight (A) and food intake (B) of rats treated by Dex. The data are means ± SEM, and * p < 0.05 when compared with normal control group; # p < 0.05 when compared with Dex alone group.
acidity contents were found significantly elevated comparing with the untreated control rats, and all three rehmannia roots and Met supplementation can reduce these parameters. However, only insulin concentration was reduced to a statistically significant level (p<0.05) by both FRR and Met supplementation (Figure 2A and 2B, Table 3). According to the derived parameter, HOMA-IR (homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance), insulin resistance were induced by Dex treatment and a significant reduction was observed only in the FRR supplemented group (Figure 2C). In addition, liver glycogen content was also found significantly increased by Dex treatment, which was reduced by FRR, but further increased by DRR, SRR and Met co-treatments, although the differences did not reach a significant level (Table 3). Similarly, serum total cholesterol (TC), total protein, urea nitrogen, and malondialdehyde also significantly increased, while creatine kinase isoenzyme MB significantly decreased by Dex treatment. However, none of the tested materials could restore these alterations except for serum protein in the SRR supplemented group (Table 5).

Discussion

In the present study, we made an attempt to confirm the influence of postharvest processing on the claimed actions of different rehmannia roots by comparing their effects in countering Dex-induced side effects in rats, which include (1) insulin resistance based on the parameters of foot intake, hepatic glycogen content, and serum concentrations of insulin, glucose, free fatty acids, and total cholesterol; (2) high blood viscosity by two primary determinants of blood viscosity: hematocrit and serum protein; and (3) heart toxicity and oxidative damage according to the changes in serum creatine kinase isoenzyme MB and malonaldehyde content.

There is no doubt that the severity of Dex's side effects is associated with its dosage, administration route, duration, and individual responses. In the rat models established to study Dex-induced side effects, various protocols have been applied, including Dex's dosage being ranged from 0.005 mg/kg to 5 mg/kg, being given by drinking water, intraperitoneally or subcutaneously injection, for the duration of several days or several weeks [9,11,14-16,28]. In the current study, a relatively low dose (0.1 mg/kg) of Dex subcutaneously injected for 28 days was applied. The sustained weight loss (Figure 1A) indicates that the rat response to this medication is fairly strong.

Dex-induced insulin resistance was estimated by HOMA-IR, derived from fasting serum glucose and insulin contents in this study. Although it is less accurate than the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp technique, the gold standard for investigating and quantifying insulin resistance, the value of HOMA-IR in Dex alone-treated group increased more than three times compared with that in the control group, which clearly demonstrated that insulin resistance has been successfully established by Dex treatment in this study. A reduced food intake should also relate with insulin resistance as insulin signaling in hypothalamus could be reduced by Dex treatment [29]. The impaired insulin sensitivity may be attributed to Dex's inhibitory effect on insulin signaling, leading to reduced insulin-stimulated glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis in fat and muscle [30]. The high level of fasting serum insulin should be the net effect of Dex's suppression on insulin synthesis and secretion [31-33] and insulin degradation in liver [28]. The high level of liver glycogen (Table 3) indicates that glycogen synthesis was strongly enhanced by Dex treatment [34]. According to the results of serum insulin, HOMA-IR, and food intake, the effect of FRR in improving Dex-induced insulin resistance is close to Met, and better than that of DRR and SRR. Clearly, the decreased capacity of DRR and SRR in alleviating insulin resistance in Dex-treated rats is related to postharvest processing, during which catalpol and stachyose, the two main active components in ameliorating insulin resistance and lowering blood glucose [35-38], are gradually decomposed as shown in Figure S2A and in our previous reports [1,39].

Dex treatment could lead to a significant increase in whole blood viscosity, especially at low shear rates[40-41]. High blood viscosity can greatly retard blood circulation because of increased resistance, and this will lead to decreased oxygen delivery [42-43]. Hematocrit, the strongest impact factor on whole blood viscosity, was significantly higher in Dex alone-treated rats than in control ones (Table 2), and this result is consistent with the known fact that Dex could enhance erythropoiesis [26,27]. The level of serum total proteins, the main determinant of plasma’s viscosity, was also significantly raised (Table
cardiotoxicity as reported by de Salvi Guimarães et al. [5], the serum the tested materials can improve Dex-induced immunosuppression.

It is well known that creatine kinase isoenzyme MB (CK-MB) is highly expressed in heart muscle cells, and that its increased release into blood indicates myocardial damage. As a means to reflect Dex's cardiotoxicity as reported by de Salvi Guimarães et al. [5], the serum CK-MB level was assayed in this study. However, this parameter did not rise but significantly increase in Dex-treated rats, which may be due to the dosage and duration of Dex treatment, and the result may be attributed to Dex's inhibition on basophil migration and degranulation [45-46]. Unfortunately, none of the tested materials can improve Dex-induced immunosuppression.

Dex treatment also leads to severe oxidative damage as shown by the high level of serum MDA, one of the end products of lipid peroxidation (Table 3). The decreasing degree of serum MDA was greater in SRR group than in FRR and DRR groups, which is in accordance with the fact that SRR has a higher antioxidative effect due to catalpol decomposition and Maillard reaction during steam processing [2,48-50]. The increased blood concentrations of small molecular substances, such as glucose, free fatty acids, urea, and malonaldehyde will enhance blood osmotic pressure, leading to thirst, one of the main symptoms of Yin deficiency. These parameters were reduced by rehmannia root administrations although the difference did not reach a statistical significance (p>0.05). The result indicates that all rehmannia roots have the potential to nourish Yin, which warrants further investigations.

In conclusion, following once daily subcutaneous injection of Dex for 28 days at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg, the rats have suffered from insulin resistance, high blood viscosity, oxidative damage and immunosuppression, and FRR supplementation is much better than DRR and SRR in alleviating Dex-induced insulin resistance and high blood viscosity. This difference may be attributed to catalpol and stachyose decomposition in rehmannia roots during postharvest processing. Our data have provided evidence, for the first time, that postharvest processing has a profound influence on the biological activities of rehmannia roots.
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