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Abstract
Research on koi herpesvirus (KHV) is mainly focused on fish trials for the investigation of virus entry, disease progress and immunological responses within the organism in order to develop new diagnostic and treatment strategies. However, examination of in vitro-replication of KHV might give useful insights supporting the understanding of this pathogen as well as contributing to the development of new methods for diagnostic purposes and/or vaccine development. Nevertheless, until today, only few studies have been published on this matter.

Thus, this work concerns investigation of in vitro-replication of the Israeli isolate of KHV, KHV-I, in the widely used common carp brain cells (CCB) to identify the parameters leading to high-quality virus stock with high titers for further applications. Therefore, the propagation of the KHV-I at the influence of various cell densities at inoculation, virus load and time of harvest on the propagation of KHV-I was examined.

Obtained results indicate that inoculation with relatively high cell densities is advantageous since the highest titers could be reached for 60 000 and 75 000 cells/cm² already 3 days after inoculation. In contrast, the use of too high virus load for inoculation with too low cell density can result in much lower maximum or even decrease in virus titer. While viral DNA showed to be relatively stable after reaching the peak, the infectivity of the virus can decrease shortly after the peak. Therefore, selection of the right combination of infection parameters is crucial for reaching the appointed goal.

This work gives valuable insights into the replication of KHV-I in CCB cells and indicates the differences between various KHV isolates regarding in vitro-propagation.
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Introduction
Koi herpesvirus (KHV), also known as Cyprinid herpesvirus-3 (CyHV-3), is a DNA virus belonging to the family Alloherpesviridae in the order herpesvirales [1]. The virus that causes the koi herpesvirus disease (KHVD) in carp was first reported in Israel after outbreaks in several farms [2]. Meanwhile, this viral pathogen is widely spread and an issue in several parts of the world, e.g. in the USA, Poland, China and Japan [3-8]. As all known herpes viruses, it can undergo a latency phase in the infected host until environmental parameters change and trigger replication [9,10]. Sick fish exhibit characteristic symptoms, e.g. skin lesions, bilateral enophthalmos and necrotic gill tissue [5,11,12]. The virus is believed to enter the organism via skin [13] or gills [11,14,15].

It is suggested that, on cellular level the virus enters cells via lipid rafts in the cell membrane and that cholesterol plays an important role [16]. Based on in vitro-replication experiments and electron microscopy, abnormal virus particles which do not exhibit a core or show chromosome attached to the particle membrane could be observed for KHV. However, if these particles are functional and can confer infection is questionable [6,17,18]. On the other hand, also non-enveloped viral particles can be produced during the replication, e.g. due to the lysis of the cell prior to the release of the virus particles or due to prevented budding by unfavorable modification of membrane proteins, as already reported, e.g. for hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus [19-21]. As the envelope is crucial for the virus attachment and penetration of the cell membrane [19] such incomplete virions also contribute to demeaned replication of the virus. Thus, the efficiency of the in vitro-replication of a given virus stock depends on the number of infective virus particles in relation to such that cannot cause infection [22,23].

There are a number of methods that can be used for both diagnostic and research purposes in work with the KHV. Whereas real-time PCR, e.g. according to Gilad et al. [15] or Bergmann et al. [4], can be used for very sensitive detection of viral DNA, in vitro-replication in combination with 50 % tissue culture infective dose (TCID₅₀) and statistical evaluation allows estimation of the titer of infective viral particles [24,25]. Combining the data of these both techniques can lead to new insights and valuable information about in vitro-replication of this pathogen at various conditions.

Nevertheless, so far, only few groups were able to report titers of this virus that extend 10⁷ TCID₅₀ or plaque forming units (PFU) per mL of cell culture medium after in vitro-replication [26-29], suggesting that either the cell lines that are currently available for inoculation of KHV are not able to propagate the virus to higher titer and/or parameters of the infection and propagation process are not optimal. Furthermore, different isolates of KHV seem to vary regarding their replication: whereas the Taiwanese isolate, KHV-T, can reach titers as high as 10⁷ TCID₅₀/mL [25,29], lower titers of 10⁵ to 10⁶ PFU/mL were reported by Ronen et al. [26] for KHV-I. The analysis of DNA from various isolates showed that most differences are due to frame shift mutations that can lead to varying glycoproteins exhibited in the envelope [30]. As the envelope is crucial for virus entry to the cell, this might be a reason for less virulent strains and/or their slower replication. However, further research is needed in order to reach a conclusion.

Mietzko et al. [25] showed that adjusting infection parameters such as time of infection (TOI, expressed as cell density at inoculation),
multiplicity of infection (MOI, number of infective virus particles per cell used for inoculation) as well as time of harvest (TOH) can lead to reproducibly high titers for KHV-T in the widely used common carp brain cells (CCBs), reaching 10^9 TCID₅₀/mL. Moreover, only a few publications are available in which comparably high titers were reported [29]. However, above mentioned infection parameters are often neither investigated nor adjusted for efficient replication.

Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the influence of TOI, MOI and TOH on in vitro-replication of KHV-I, that is one of the most prevalent isolate of this virus, in order to reach the best possible replication. As CCB cells are widely used for KHV replication and high virus titers could previously be reached for other isolates, this cell line was also used in this study. An efficient and robust replication process is necessary to obtain enough virus particles for further examination of this isolate without laborious pre-concentration and purification. Moreover, characterization of in vitro-replication can lead to new advances for disinfection, diagnostics and vaccine production for KHV.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells and virus**

The cell line from common carp brain used in this work was received in passage 83 from Friedrich-Loeffler Institute (CCB, Cat. No. CCLV-RIE 816, Lot. No. 4223, FLI Insel Riems, Greifswald, Germany). Strain maintenance was performed using tissue flasks for adherent cells (T-75 flasks, Sarstedt, Kleinstadt, Germany) and Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium with Earle’s salts (EMEM; Sigma, Munich, Germany), supplemented with 1x non-essential amino acids (Biochrom, Berlin, Germany), 9 % fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biochrom, Berlin, Germany) and 25 mM HEPES (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany). An addition of 26 mM NaHCO₃ (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) to the medium, provided neutral pH in an atmosphere of 5 % CO₂. The virus, CyHV-3-1 (KHV-I, Reg. No. RVB-403), was received from Prof. Dr. Jens Peter Teifke (FLI, Insel Riems, Greifswald, Germany) in passage 3 and was originally isolated from adult koi from Israel [5]. Virus stock used for further experiments was prepared with CCB cells, seeded in EMEM, supplemented with 9 % FBS, 25 mM HEPES and 0.5 mM NaHCO₃ in three T-75 flasks with a cell density of 60 000 cells/cm². The day after, the medium was discarded and the cells were inoculated with 3 mL of a diluted KHV-I virus stock achieved prior, and incubated at 25°C for one hour. Next, high glucose Dulbecco’s Minimum Essential Medium (DMEM, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA), supplemented with 9 % FBS, was added to a total volume of 20 mL, the flasks were incubated at 25°C and transferred to – 80°C for harvest 5 days post infection (d.p.i.). The virus suspension of these flasks was pooled after thawing, aliquoted and transferred to – 80°C for harvest 5 days post infection (d.p.i.). The virus, CyHV-3-1 (KHV-I, Reg. No. RVB-403), was received from Prof. Dr. Jens Peter Teifke (FLI, Insel Riems, Greifswald, Germany) in passage 3 and was originally isolated from adult koi from Israel [5]. Virus stock used for further experiments was prepared with CCB cells, seeded in EMEM, supplemented with 9 % FBS, 25 mM HEPES and 0.5 mM NaHCO₃ in three T-75 flasks with a cell density of 60 000 cells/cm². The day after, the medium was discarded and the cells were inoculated with 3 mL of a diluted KHV-I virus stock achieved prior, and incubated at 25°C for one hour. Next, high glucose Dulbecco’s Minimum Essential Medium (DMEM, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA), supplemented with 9 % FBS, was added to a total volume of 20 mL, the flasks were incubated at 25°C and transferred to – 80°C for harvest 5 days post infection (d.p.i.). The virus suspension of these flasks was pooled after thawing, aliquoted (1-5 mL) and the so obtained stocks transferred to – 80°C for harvest 5 days post infection (d.p.i.). The virus titre of the virus suspension was analyzed via TCID₅₀ and qPCR and used for following infection experiments.

**Experiments**

Experiments with various cell densities at virus inoculation and virus titer were performed in order to appoint the infection parameters leading to the maximum virus titers. Moreover, various time points for harvest were chosen to illustrate infection course at investigated parameters. For this purpose, different TOI (cell densities at inoculation in cells/cm²) of 15 000, 30 000, 60 000 and 75 000 cells/cm² were seeded in T-25-flasks in EMEM and incubated at 25°C with an atmosphere of 5 % CO₂. In order to assure reproducible and reliable adjustment of TOI, cell counts of detached cells were performed prior seeding in counter chambers (LO – Laboroptik, Lancinge, UK) using trypan blue assay (Sigma, Steinheim, Germany). After 1 d of incubation, the medium was discarded and the attached cells were incubated with 1 mL virus suspension with adjusted virus titer for 60-80 minutes. Afterwards, fresh DMEM was added to a total volume of 7 mL and the flasks were incubated at 25°C. To estimate the exact MOI with that the cells were infected, an aliquot of the used virus suspension was analyzed via TCID₅₀. The investigated MOI were 0.0002, 0.0007, 0.003, 0.006 and 0.012. Furthermore, a modified qPCR, based on Gilad et al. [15], was performed to determine the viral DNA load in harvested virus suspensions. Samples were examined microscopically prior to the harvest, that was performed at day 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 10 p.i., or 1, 2 and 3 d.p.i., by placing culture flasks at – 20°C for at least one day. Each time point corresponds to one culture flask.

TOI lower than 15 000 cells/cm² were not tested since seeding CCB cells at such densities proved previously to be impracticable, due to absent cell-cell-connection or insufficient amount of growth factors present. Additionally, higher TOI than 75 000 cells/cm² were excluded from the experiments because of incapability of the cells to attach when seeded at higher densities [25].

**Monitoring of virus replication**

Virus titers were estimated via TCID₅₀, as described previously by Mletzko et al. [25], with slight modifications: CCB cells were seeded in 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen, Germany) with a cell density of 40 000 cells/cm² in DMEM (as described above) and incubated for one day at 25°C. Next, the harvested virus samples were thawed and diluted in 10-fold dilutions up to 8 dilution steps, whereas each dilution was applied to 8 wells. The plate was sealed, incubated at 25°C for 12 days and finally evaluated for signs of virus infection. Presence of syncytia and/or cytopathic effect was considered as positive signal of virus infection.

For analysis of viral DNA load, samples were introduced to qPCR (CFX Connect Real Time System, Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) analysis as described by Gilad et al. [15] after extraction with the Qiagen DNAsesy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands).

**Mathematical processing of the data**

In order to simplify discussion of the results, the efficiency of replication was evaluated by forming the ratio of the virus titer at maximum virus infectivity during the infection course to the virus titer used for inoculation according to the formula:

\[
\text{virus replication efficiency} = \log_{10}\left(\frac{\text{TCID}_{50} \text{ mL}}{\text{max}}\right) - \log_{10}\left(\frac{\text{TCID}_{50} \text{ mL}}{\text{inoculation}}\right)
\]

**Equation 1: Virus replication efficiency.**

Furthermore, to discuss the maximum virus yield per cell, following calculation was used:

\[
\text{virus yield per cell} = \left(\left(\frac{\text{TCID}_{50} \text{ mL}}{\text{max}}\right) \times V_m\right) \left(\frac{\text{TCID}_{50} \text{ mL}}{\text{inoculation}}\right) \times (\text{cell density, TOI}) \times (F \text{ in cm}^2)
\]

**Equation 2: Virus yield per cell.**
where \( V_m \) is the total volume of culture medium during replication and \( F \) is the surface of the used culture flask, e.g. 25 cm\(^2\) for T-25.

**Results**

The infection progress of KHV-I in CCB cells with various TOI and MOI, expressed as virus titer by TCID\(_{50}\)/mL (left) and viral DNA load (right) at various time points is shown in Figure 1. Independent of the applied MOI, the highest titers (up to \( 5 \times 10^4 \) TCID\(_{50}\)/mL) were reached for TOI between 30,000 and 75,000 cells/cm\(^2\), whereas the virus titer for the lowest cell density (15,000 cells/cm\(^2\)) reached
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**Figure 1**: Infection course of KHV-I in CCB cells at various MOI: 0.0002 (A, B), 0.0007 (C, D), 0.003 (E, F), 0.006 (G, H) and 0.012 (I, J) and different TOI of 15,000 (triangles), 30,000 (diamonds), 60,000 (circles) and 75,000 cells/cm\(^2\) (Squares). Infectivity examined via TCID\(_{50}\) (left: A, C, E, F, G, I) and virus load in Cq-values (right: B, D, F, H, J).
comparable values only at the end of the experiments (10 d p.i.) (Figure 1A). Furthermore, using higher TOI resulted in faster replication of the virus, thus causing a shift of virus titer peak. Where for TOI of 30 000 cells/cm², except for MOI 0.003, the highest virus titers were reached between day 5 and 7 p.i., for 60 000 and 75 000 cells/cm² the peaks were registered already at day 4 p.i. Furthermore, while for the highest cell densities virus titer started to decrease from day 6 p.i. on, the viral DNA-load (expressed as Cq-values) did not show similar reduction (Figure 1, right). In contrast, for TOI of 60 000 and 75 000 cells/cm² viral DNA-load showed only slight fluctuations between day 3 and 10 p.i. after reaching the maximum. For the two lower TOI that were examined here, an increase between day 3 and 7 p.i. in viral DNA load was observed, correlating well with the increasing TCID₅₀-values.

Comparing virus titers reached at constant TOI inoculated with varying MOI, it can be noticed that nearly the same maximum concentrations of infective virus particles were registered for all tested MOI values (Figure 1). Using the lowest TOI (15 000 cells/cm²) and the highest MOI (0.012) a decrease instead of an increase of the titer was observed between day 1 and 5 (Figure 11). A combination of 30 000 cells/cm² with the same MOI resulted in a lag-phase up to day 2 p.i. followed by only slight increase at day 3 p.i.. In contrast, viral DNA-load stayed more or less constant in these infection experiments (Figure 1, right). At higher TOI of 60 000 and 75 000 cells/cm², the highest virus titers of around 2 × 10³ TCID₅₀/mL were reached for MOI of 0.0007 and 0.006. For better comparison the data for TOI of 75 000 cells/cm² and all investigated MOI values is shown in Figure 2. With an exception of the highest investigated MOI (0.012), the virus titer reached its maximum between day 1 and 3 either followed by slight fluctuation in TCID₅₀ values (at MOI of 0.0002 and 0.0007) or even decrease (at MOI of 0.003 and 0.006). At the same time, corresponding DNA-load showed only slight fluctuations. Additionally, microscopic documentation of the infection progress for TOI of 75 000 cells/cm², inoculated with MOI of 0.003 is shown in Figure 3. Whereas symptoms of infection, such as cells with prominently enlarged nuclei, could already be observed 3 d p.i. throughout the whole cell layer, only little plaques and sporadic syncytia forming were visible. Interestingly, the maximum virus titer occurred already at this point and started to decrease one day later. As replication of the virus progressed, visual symptoms of infection became more obvious: infected cells developed intracellular vacuoles, severe plaque formation was observed 6 and 7 d p.i., followed by cell detachment and lysis.

When looking at the virus replication efficiency, it can be noticed that the highest virus yield was obtained for the lowest MOI values independent of the TOI (Table 1), even if the maximum virus titer was not as high as when using higher MOI.

To evaluate the influence of the combination of MOI and TOI on in vitro-replication of KHV-1, virus replication yield per cell was calculated based on the data obtained here. The values ranged between ≤ 0.1 virus particles per cell for the highest tested MOI (0.012) and 1.82 virus particles per cell for MOI of 0.0007 and TOI of 30 000 cells/cm² (Table 2).

Discussion
As described above, the highest virus titers (≥ 10⁵ TCID₅₀/mL) were reached for the highest tested TOI (60 000 and 75 000 cells/cm²) and MOI between 0.0007 and 0.006, early after the inoculation (around 3 d p.i.). This was probably due to a high primary infection rate and therefore fast proliferation of secondary infection. Increasing MOI at a constant cell density at inoculation mostly resulted in an earlier peak of the virus titer (Figure 1). However, a higher amount of virus used for inoculation led to a faster infectivity loss during the infection course. Therefore, the TOH has to be appointed very carefully, since already a 2-days delay can result in harvesting at fivefold-lower virus titers. Furthermore, maximum virus titers obtained using the same cell density at inoculation but MOI of 0.0002 and 0.003 were lower in comparison to the MOI described above. Thus, leading to the conclusion that evaluation of the influence of MOI at constant TOI is quite difficult due to the high standard variation of the TCID₅₀- assay.

When using low MOI (0.0002, 0.0007) in combination with all tested TOI, the maximum virus titers that were reached were often lower and generally observed later but also stayed stable for a longer time, resulting in a longer time frame for harvest. This might be due to the lower load of the viral particles at inoculation, leading to lower primary infection and postponed secondary infection. However, due to the lower virus load at inoculation and only slightly lower maximum virus titer, the maximum virus yield was higher (Table 1). Nevertheless, when using low cell densities, such as e.g. 15 000 cells/cm², for inoculation the maximum virus titer did not exceed 10³ TCID₅₀/mL, regardless of applied MOI. Additionally, using high virus load for inoculation at low cell densities led to an early cell lysis preventing efficient replication of the virus.

The effects of variation of MOI, TOI and TOH were already analyzed in other systems such as: baculovirus (wild type and recombinant) in S9 insect cells, rabies virus and yellow fever virus in vero cells and KHV in various fish cell lines [25,31-34]. Comparable observations were made regarding the best time for harvest when inoculating with different virus load. Higher MOI often result in an early peak of the titer and a short time frame for harvest, whereas inoculation with low MOI often shows a shift of the titer peak to the time points later after inoculation [22,25,31,34,35]. Furthermore, it was reported for baculovirus that a high MOI leads to a synchronous, complete infection with a cessation of cell growth and can result in close to maximum productivity [32,36]. The results presented here suggest that this might also apply to KHV-1 replication in CCB cells, however one has to be careful not to select too low TOI with too high MOI, since then cells, that are stressed not only due to the infection but also e.g. low concentrations of growth factors, might not be able to produce functional virus particles. Hence, resulting in very low or even depleted virus titers. In addition, when replicating the virus to obtain enough material for further investigation, higher effectivity (yield) can be

Table 1: Virus replication efficiency, expressed as ratio of the virus titer at maximum virus infectivity during the infection course to the virus titer used for inoculation (according to Equation 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOI [cells/cm²]</th>
<th>MOI 0.0002</th>
<th>MOI 0.0007</th>
<th>MOI 0.003</th>
<th>MOI 0.006</th>
<th>MOI 0.012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 000</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 000</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reached by using lower MOI (Table 1). Therefore, both parameters: MOI
and TOI must be carefully chosen so that too fast infection progress will
not compromise reaching the highest virus titer.

Interestingly, our results showed that in general, the highest DNA
load and the associated infectivity peak (TCID₅₀/mL) occurred around
the same time for KHV-I. This was already observed by Mletzko et al.
[25] for KHV-T and indicates that qPCR results might give information
about the earliest possible TOH. However, one has to be careful as
while the viral DNA load often stays more or less stable afterwards,
the corresponding infectivity can decrease even as soon as 1 day after
reaching the peak. Obviously, in the later phase of replication the amount
of non-infective particles or merely non-enveloped viral DNA rises
considerably, for example due to the cell lysis. Furthermore, although
isolated infection signs could be recorded via visual evaluation at the time
point when the maximum titer occurred, the virus titer decreased again
when severe infection was visible (Figure 3). Therefore, selection of the
best time for harvest should not be based solely on the quantification of
the viral DNA or visual investigation.

Mletzko et al. [25] already showed that infection of CCB with the
KHV-T can result in maximum titers as high as 3.2 × 10⁹ TCID₅₀/
ml when using an intermediate TOI of 42 000 cells/cm² and MOI of
0.003 Although various combinations of parameters were used for
inoculation of KHV-I in the same cell line, comparably high titers
were not reached within this work. This observation underlines the
differences between the two isolates. In comparison to KHV-T, the
replication of KHV-I was slower and not as efficient. Genome studies
of three KHV isolates (-I, -USA, -Japan) concluded that these strains
are highly conserved regarding nucleotide sequences, and show
differences in only a small number of genes [30]. The majority of
mutated genes encode for membrane glycoproteins, which might
modulate the virus envelope and play a role in virus attachment
and recognition by the cell. This could explain the differences in
replication efficiency between KHV isolates. Though, investigation of
three different KHV isolates from Europe and China (-FL, -GZ10,
GZ-11) on protein level revealed that only few analyzed proteins were
exclusively found in one of the isolates. Moreover, only one of these
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**Figure 2:** Infection courses of CCB cells at TOI 75 000 cells/cm²
infected with MOI of 0.0002 (open), 0.0007 (crossed), 0.003 (light
grey), 0.006 (dark grey) and 0.012 (black).
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**Figure 3:** Microscopic documentation of the infection progress of KHV-I in CCB cell culture. TOI of 75 000 cells/cm², MOI of 0.003. Pictures taken 0 (A), 3 (B),
4 (C), 5 (D), 6 (E) and 7 (F) days post infection. Scale = 100 µm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOI [cells/cm²]</th>
<th>MOI 0.0002</th>
<th>MOI 0.0007</th>
<th>MOI 0.003</th>
<th>MOI 0.006</th>
<th>MOI 0.012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 000</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 000</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 000</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 000</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Maximum virus particles per cell obtained by inoculation of KHV-I at various MOI in CCB cells at various TOI. Calculated using Equation 2.
proteins was predicted to be a membrane protein and associated to the envelope of the virus. Regarding the other proteins, their localization could rarely be revealed [18,37].

Additionally, not reaching as high virus titers at lower MOI, as when using higher MOI with the same TOI, might be explained by the shift of the peak of the virus titer and thus nutrient limitation, increased concentration of metabolic products inhibiting virus proliferation, as well as by virus degrading processes resulting from enzymatic activity, due to cell lysis [22,25,34-36]. The latter might also explain the decrease of the virus titer after inoculation with TOI of 15 000 cells/cm² and MOI of 0.012, since virus degradation counteracts virus replication. Furthermore, a high MOI is also often correlated with decreasing specific productivity and production of defective virus particles with negative influence regarding the virus yield [22]. Several studies recorded continuing cell growth post infection, especially when using low MOI, leading to a higher cell count and therefore, higher productivity [31,32,35,36]. Since KHV causes cell lysis, it is difficult to investigate cell growth after inoculation with the virus via actual cell counting. However, it is possible to assess the cell layer via microscopy in the early stages of viral infection, indicating that there is cell growth occurring post inoculation with KHV-I.

Experiments with baculovirus indicate that using high TOI (such as 4 × 10⁶ cells/mL for baculovirus replication) leads in general to a decreased cell growth due to nutrient limitation, but that a supplementation with glutamine can result in higher virus titers [22]. Similar results regarding increase of the virus titer after glutamine supplementation were reported by Huang et al. [38] for influenza virus and MDCK cells. Nevertheless, whether this approach can also be applied to the KHV-I-CCB system needs clarification. This could be a valuable hint when using the cells for diagnostic purposes, where there is often a shortage of virus particles from environmental samples leading to slower replication progress. In such cases, supplementation of cells with nutrients might prevent limitation and lead to higher, observable virus titers even later after inoculation. However, for increasing the virus yield in general, it is more advantageous to infect with a low virus load, since the gain of the virus particles is higher, meaning one can reach similarly high titers by using less virus for inoculation.

Summary and Conclusion

In summary, our results show that the infection course of KHV-I in CCB cells is influenced by selected TOI and MOI. It is crucial to adjust both parameters to generate a maximum yield of virus particles and estimate the best time of harvest (TOH). Especially, the choice of TOI influences the optimum time point for harvest.

Although the work presented here showed that replication of KHV-I in CCB cells is not as efficient as in case of KHV-T, reproducible titers ≥ 5 × 10⁴ TCID₅₀/mL can also be reached for this isolate by careful adjustment of infection parameters. Therefore, in order to reach higher titers of KHV-I we recommend to use TOI of 60 000 to 75 000 cells/cm² in combination with relatively low MOI values (~0.001).

Furthermore, based on the results presented here as well as on data obtained in our previous work and published by other research groups, it is clear that such studies should be performed for every KHV isolate, as they might behave very differently [25,27,29]. In addition, not only virus titers might not be high enough for further studies, but also the efficiency of cells for their virus replication could be falsely evaluated, due to disadvantageous choice of infection parameters. Finally, this work contributes to the better understanding of replication of various KHV isolates in CCB cells as well as provides new insights for general improvement of infection progresses for KHV in cell cultures. Nevertheless, further studies including further isolates of KHV are necessary.
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