**Abstract**

In this work, we introduce Fungifree™, a phyto-complex obtained from a blend of essential oils, endowed with remarkable antifungal activity. Dermatophytoses are mycoses caused by a group of fungi known as dermatophytes. Trichophyton genus accounts for about 70% of all dermatophyte infections and is the largest agent responsible for superficial dermatomycoses. In relation to yeasts, the fungus of the normal skin known as Malassezia furfur is the etiological agent of pityriasis versicolor and Candida albicans were studied.

**Methods:** Preparation of the inoculum in flavor-dextrose agar for 7-14 days and at room temperature (28-30°C). MIC determination was performed on a 96-well “U” bottom culture plate. Sample of different antifungal, terbinafine, miconazole, ciclopirox and fungifree. Antifungal activity (sensitivity test), positive control procedures were performed with the antifungal drugs that were challenged by Fungifree™: Terbinafine (2.0%); Miconazole (2.0%); Ciclopirox olamine (2.0%) and Fungifree™ (2.0%).

**Results:** Fungifree™ showed a satisfactory antifungal activity on dermatophytes and yeasts at the tested concentration of 2.0%, the Fungifree™ MIC is very close to the drugs used as a positive control. In addition, the inhibition zones observed in the SDA sensitivity tests indicate that Fungifree was superior when compared to most of the positive controls used in the study.

**Conclusion:** Fungifree™ showed marked antifungal activity and further studies should be conducted to make this new natural option for the treatment of dermatophytes.
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**Introduction**

Dermatophytoses are mycoses caused by a group of fungi known as dermatophytes. Clinically important species distribute in genera: Epidermophyton, Microsporum and Trichophyton [1]. Clinical manifestations resulting from dermatophytosis result from colonization and multiplication of the dermatophytes in the corneous layer of the skin, as well as from the consequent reaction of the hosts (Figures 1 and 2) [2,3].

The Trichophyton genus has as its most important species Trichophyton rubrum, T. mentagrophytes, T. tonsurans, T. schoenleinii, T. violaceum and T. verrucosum. Species of this genus are most frequently isolated in clinical material and affect both the glabrous skin and the hair and nails. In this sense, Trichophyton rubrum, a cosmopolitan anthropophilic species, accounts for about 70% of all dermatophyte infections and is the largest agent responsible for superficial dermatomycoses, such as onychomycosis and tinea pedis. Another species, Trichophyton mentagrophytes is the species responsible in humans for the second or third cause of dermatophytosis, causing epidermophages, onychomycosis and lesions on the scalp [2-4].

The genus Epidermophyton presents a unique species of human doctor, Epidermophyton floccosum, an anthropophilic species par excellence, exclusively pathogenic to the glabrous skin and the nails [4,5].
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**Figure 1:** Mean zones of inhibition (mm) of Fungifree™ and other antifungal drugs. Note that Fungifree™ obtained zones of inhibition larger than the Terbinafine and Ciclopirox (P<0.05). All compounds tested at 2% concentration. Results obtained after 7 days of exposure to the temperature of 25°C after the diffusion test in agar. ANOVA, Tukey. Trials performed in triplicate. GraphPad Prism V.5.0.
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**Figure 2:** Illustrative figure of the petri dishes exhibiting zone of inhibitions (mm) at the concentration of 2.0% of the evaluated compounds. (Left) colonies of Candida albicans on SDA. (Right) colonies of Malassezia furfur on SDA.
In relation to yeasts, fungus of the normal skin flora known as Malassezia furfur is the etiological agent of Pityriasis versicolor, a superficial fungal infection characterized by changes in pigmentation of the skin due to colonization of the stratum corneum and also known such as tinea versicolor [6-10].

Another yeast of clinical relevance is Candida albicans, characterized by being opportunistic fungi, which uses the biological imbalance, usually resulting from pathological and immunological factors, which can occur through stress and/or other diseases, can be able to invade multiple fungi in the tissues, generating infections and candidiasis [9,11-13].

There are well-established drugs for the treatment of mycoses, such as terbinafine and ciclopirox olamine. However, the search for new pharmacological options of natural origin increases every year. In this sense, this work evaluated the antifungal activity of the Fungifree™, a phytocomplex obtained from a blend of essential oils, on yeasts and dermatophytes by determining the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and agar diffusion tests against strains yeast Candida albicans and Malassezia furfur, and the dermatophyte strains Epidermophyton floccosum, Trichophyton rubrum and Trichophyton mentagrophytes.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of inoculum

From cultures maintained on Sabouraud Agar Dextrose (SAD) for 7-14 days and at room temperature (28-30°C), the inoculum was prepared and standardized in sterile 0.9% physiological saline solution. Initially, a comparative suspension was prepared with that of barium sulfate from the 0.5 tube of the Mc Farland scale and cell counting in Neubauer’s chamber. It was adjusted to 90% T (530 nm) in the spectrophotometer, to contain approximately 10^6 CFU/mL. It was then adjusted to 90% T (530 nm), corresponding approximately 300 CFU/mL. Then, 30 mL of melted SDA was added at 50°C. After solidification of the culture medium, wells were made using glass cannulas (6.0 mm diameter). After this procedure, 30 μL of each of the antifungal compounds were inoculated in addition to the test compound, Fungifree™. The assays were incubated at 25°C for a period of 7 days. Positive controls were performed with the antifungal drugs themselves that were challenged by Fungifree™: Terbinafine (2.0%); Miconazole (2.0%); Ciclopirox olamine (2.0%) and Fungifree™ (2.0%). The assays were performed in triplicate and the result was determined by the arithmetic mean of inhibition halos. It would be considered as possessing antifungal activity, that concentration of the antifungals that when applied to the culture medium containing the suspension of the microorganisms presented a halo of inhibition, characterized by a zone of whitening equal or superior to 10 mm of diameter.

Statistical analyzes

The results were presented as mean±SEM (standard error of the mean). The results were submitted to statistical analysis by analysis of variance (ANOVA) of one way, followed by Tukey’s post test. Values of P<0.05 were considered significantly different. The analyzes were performed in the program GraphPad Prism version 5.0.

Results and Discussion

The antifungal activity of the traditional drugs and Fungifree™ for each representative of the dermatophyte and yeast samples evaluated in this project are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The traditional drugs presented, as expected, antifungal action against all fungus samples tested. In relation to the Fungifree™, it was also possible to observe antifungal activity against the two groups of fungi tested.

Through the determination of the MIC, we observed that Fungifree™ presented similar antifungal activity to the drugs evaluated in this study, that is, they all had a mean MIC of 1.0% (Table 1).

Conclusion

In this study, it was possible to observe that Fungifree™ showed a very satisfactory antifungal activity on dermatophytes and yeasts at the tested concentration of 2.0%. We can also conclude that the Fungifree™ MIC is very close to the drugs used as a positive control. Among the drugs used as positive controls. In the face of the antifungal, intrinsic or acquired resistance, that every day becomes a relevant problem in the treatment of mycoses (superficial or systemic), evidencing a sensitive increase in the spectrum of pathogenic fungi and its incidence in clinical practice, Fungifree™ it becomes an excellent choice for the treatment of mycoses.
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Table 1: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC). Comparison of Fungifree™, Terbinafine, Miconazole and Ciclopirox olamine on strains of dermatophytes and yeasts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fungifree™ (%)</th>
<th>Terbinafine (%)</th>
<th>Miconazole (%)</th>
<th>Ciclopirox (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epidermophyton floccosum</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichophyton rubrum</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichophyton mentagrophytes</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malassezia furfur</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In relation to the sensitivity of the tested strains, it was possible to observe, through the agar diffusion test, that there was a significant difference between the zones of inhibition (P>0.05). In Table I, the means of the results obtained in the biological activity assays of Fungifree™ are indicated. All dermatophytes and yeasts were inhibited by Fungifree™ and control compounds (Terbinafine, Miconazole and Ciclopirox), all at the concentration of 2.0% (Table 2 and Figure 1), which produced several zones of inhibition between 10 and 21 mm in diameter.

Table 2: Mean zones of inhibition (mm) of Fungifree™ on fungal dermatophytes and yeasts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fungifree™ (mm)</th>
<th>Terbinafine (mm)</th>
<th>Miconazole (mm)</th>
<th>Ciclopirox (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epidermophyton floccosum</td>
<td>18,4 ± 0,50</td>
<td>14,9 ± 0,10</td>
<td>19,6 ± 0,20</td>
<td>15,3 ± 0,50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichophyton rubrum</td>
<td>18,1 ± 0,35</td>
<td>17,0 ± 0,40</td>
<td>19,0 ± 0,30</td>
<td>14,8 ± 0,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichophyton mentagrophytes</td>
<td>17,5 ± 0,15</td>
<td>15,3 ± 0,20</td>
<td>18,2 ± 0,50</td>
<td>14,7 ± 0,30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malassezia furfur</td>
<td>16,5 ± 0,10</td>
<td>14,0 ± 0,10</td>
<td>16,3 ± 0,50</td>
<td>14,0 ± 0,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>16,7 ± 0,30</td>
<td>15,3 ± 0,30</td>
<td>17,0 ± 0,20</td>
<td>14,8 ± 0,35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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