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Abstract

Background: Social support is hypothesized to protect against PTSD symptoms and disability. However, few studies have examined the direction of the relation among these variables in the acute period after a trauma. The current study examined the relation between social support, PTSD symptoms, and disability in the 3-months after a traumatic injury.

Methods: Traumatic injury patients recruited from a trauma center completed measures of PTSD, social support, and disability within days of their trauma, 1 month later, and 3 months later.

Results: Linear regressions suggested that PTSD symptoms and disability were negatively associated with subsequent social support. However, social support was unrelated to subsequent PTSD symptoms and disability.

Conclusions: PTSD symptoms and disability erode social support in the acute period after a trauma. Social support was not found to protect against PTSD symptom severity or disability. Future work is needed to explore the precise mechanism by which this occurs.
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The Association Between Social Support and Acute PTSD Symptoms: A Preliminary Investigation of the Erosion and Buffering Hypotheses

Traumatic injury increases risk for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) [1,2] and is a leading cause of disability [3]. Social support, the perceived and actual assistance received from others in response to a stressful event, has been identified as a key protective factor against PTSD and the associated disability [4,5]. Social support is a multidimensional construct and different dimensions are more relevant to PTSD symptoms [6,7]. Specifically, emotional support defined as experiencing caring and empathy from others [8], was found to be most closely associated with symptom change [6]. As a result, interventions targeting social support shortly after a traumatic injury are recommended as methods to prevent PTSD and reduce disability [9,10]. However, much of the work on the relation between social support and PTSD symptoms has involved cross-sectional studies on combat veterans or disaster victims. Furthermore, no studies assessed this relation in the acute period (e.g., within days) after a traumatic event. Thus, the relation between social support, PTSD, and disability in the acute phase of a traumatic injury is unclear.

Two models have proposed different relations between social support and PTSD symptoms: the conservation of resources model and the social support deterioration model. The conservation of resources model suggests that psychological health is a function of an individual’s ability to maintain resources [11]. Resources are defined as individual values that include mastery of a skill set, self-esteem, and professional roles. Exposure to stressful events decreases resources, which in turn, increases distress. The magnitude of the distress is moderated by the presence of factors that buffer against net resource loss such as social support.

Support for the buffering effect of social support following a trauma comes from several sources. Women who reported greater social support prior to the known stressful event of child birth reported less post-birth distress [12]. However, this finding is confounded by the increased support that often accompanies a pregnancy. A birth-cohort study that followed participants from birth for a period of 26 years showed a significant relation negative between cohesion within the family of origin and subsequent PTSD symptoms after a traumatic event [13]. Social support was not specifically assessed, however. Finally, rape victims contacted an average of 9 years after the event were asked to rate the support they received after the rape [14]. Although the study is retrospective, victims who were satisfied with the reactions of others to their assault reported decreased PTSD symptoms. Thus, there is evidence to suggest that the presence of support can mitigate the severity of a stress response to a trauma.

Alternatively, the social support deterioration model posits that PTSD symptoms reduce social support. PTSD is associated with interpersonal difficulties, feelings of detachment, irritability, and avoidance of social stimuli. This model is consistent with cognitive theories of PTSD that suggest those with PTSD adopt a perspective in which others are viewed as dangerous and the world as unsafe [15,16]. As symptoms increase, support networks are viewed with frustration, potential support members are perceived as threatening, social interactions are thought to increase risk for additional trauma exposure, and social support overall decreases [17,18].

Several longitudinal empirical studies provide support for the social support deterioration model. A large sample of male Gulf War veterans assessed within 7 years of their deployment and then reassessed 5 years later reported that initial PTSD symptoms predicted lower social support at 5 year follow-up [19]. However, initial social support did not predict PTSD symptoms. Similar findings were obtained in another study using Vietnam and Gulf War veterans [7] in which PTSD symptoms were predictive of lower levels of interpersonal support from non-veteran peers and partially associated with poorer support from veteran peers over a 6 month period. Kaniasty & Norris [20] examined the association between PTSD and social support over the course of two years in survivors of...
a natural disaster. Increased PTSD symptoms at 1 year and 18 months after the disaster were highly predictive of reduced social support at 18 months and 2-year follow-up respectively. Furthermore, social support at 18 months did not predict PTSD symptoms at 2-year follow-up. These findings suggest that pervasive PTSD symptoms eroded social support over time. However, these studies did not assess the relation in the acute post-trauma period. It remains unknown if social support buffers against acute-period PTSD symptoms or if PTSD symptoms erode social support shortly after the traumatic event. Also, these studies used samples of combat veterans and disaster victims and it is unclear if these results generalize to other types of trauma exposure.

The current study sought to extend prior work on social support, PTSD, and disability. The relation among these variables was examined in a sample of victims exposed to traumatic injury shortly after their trauma and then again at 1-month and 3-month after their injury. The association was examined within the framework of the conservation of resources and the social support deterioration models. Social support was examined as a predictor of subsequent PTSD symptoms and disability. PTSD symptoms and disability were also examined as a predictor of subsequent social support. Consistent with the conservation of resource model, it was hypothesized that increased perceived social support at the time of the trauma would reduce subsequent PTSD symptoms and disability.

Methods

Participants

A total of 29 participants were recruited from a Level 1 Trauma Center in a large Southeastern city. Participants were predominantly male (n=16; 55%), partnered (n=21, 72%), had self-reported race and ethnicity consistent with the surrounding area (White: n =15, African American: n = 9, Hispanic: n = 2; Pacific Islander: n = 1, Other: n =2) and had a mean age of 36.48 years (SD = 9.76). Education status varied such that 13 (41.9%) did not complete high school, 4 (12.9%) completed high school, 8 (25.8%) completed some college, and 6 (18.4%) completed college. The majority of participants had private insurance (n=17, 54.8%), 10 (32.3%) had Medicare or Medicaid, and 4 (12.9%) denied having insurance. All participants provided informed consent prior to participation.

Participants were recruited from the recovery ward of a Level 1 Trauma Center. A clinical psychologist reviewed the daily census to identify potential participants. A clinical psychologist administered all assessments. The baseline assessments captured functioning outside of the hospital. A licensed clinical psychologist administered all assessments. The emotional/information support subscale of the MOSSS was administered in the present study to reduce the burden of assessment on participants. The emotional/information support subscale has been most closely related to PTSD symptoms in prior work [6]: The MOSSS subscale is an 8-item self-report measure assessing perceived caring and empathy from others on a 1-5 point scale with total scores ranging from 8 to 40. Internal consistency ranged from good to excellent (α = 0.88 to 0.97) in the present study.

Procedure

The study used a prospective longitudinal design with three waves of data collection. The first wave was completed as close to the time of injury as possible in the hospital (baseline), the second wave was completed 1-month after discharge from the hospital, and the third wave was completed 3-months after discharge from the hospital. Patients were approached within 0.5-9 days (M = 3.41, SD = 2.42) of their trauma. The timing of follow-up was based on the discharge date, rather than the injury date, to ensure that subsequent assessments captured functioning outside of the hospital. A licensed clinical psychologist administered all assessments. The baseline assessment included the STI, PSS, MOSSS, and a demographics form. The PSS at baseline assessed symptoms since their most recent trauma. Participants were contacted by telephone at 1-month and 3-month to complete follow-up assessments. Assessments involved reading questions and choices to the PSS, MOSSS, and STI verbally. A subset of participants (nsub = 8, nmonth = 1) who could not be contacted via telephone completed the self-report measures via an online survey. All procedures were approved by an Institutional Review Board.

Analysis

The association between PTSD symptoms, as defined by PSS scores, and social support, as defined by MOSSS scores, were tested with hierarchical linear regressions. To test the buffering model, PTSD symptoms at 1-month and 3-month were regressed on baseline social support. Three-month PTSD symptoms were also regressed on 1-month social support. Baseline PTSD symptoms were included as a covariate. To test the social support deterioration model, Social support at 1-month and 3-month were regressed on baseline PTSD symptoms. Three-month social support was also regressed on 1-month PTSD symptoms. A similar approach was used to examine...
the relation in both directions between disability as defined by IIRS scores and social support.

Missing data was caused by a failure to complete 1-month (n = 7) and 3-month (n = 8) follow-ups such that those with missing data did not provide responses to all measures. Participants who completed 1-month and 3-month follow-ups had complete data. Missing data was handled with multiple imputation. Fifty datasets were constructed with complete data and results were aggregated using the guidelines of Schafer & Graham [25]. This approach is superior to list wise or pair wise deletion in that it provides parameters estimates similar to those obtained with full data [26] and is valid in small samples [27]. All participants were included in the analyses.

Results

Descriptive statistics for the sample and the bivariate associations as calculated by the Pearson’s R for all variables are presented in Table 1. The majority of the sample experienced a motor vehicle crash (n = 24, 83%). Other traumas included gunshot wounds (n = 3, 10%), stabdings (n = 1, 3%), and a fall (n = 1, 3%).

Conservation of resources model

Linear regressions indicated that when controlling for baseline PTSD symptoms, there was not a significant a relation between baseline social support and 1-month PTSD symptoms (b = 0.17, p = .595, R² change = 0.02) and baseline social support and 3-month PTSD symptoms (b = -0.04, p = .914, R² change = 0.01) (Table 2). There was a significant negative relation between 1-month social support and 3-month PTSD symptoms (b = -0.99, p < .001, R² change = 0.40). There was not a significant relation between baseline social support and average PTSD symptoms across 1 and 3-month (b = 0.13, p = .669, R² change < 0.01). There was not a significant relation between baseline social support and 1-month disability ratings (b = 0.05, p = .925, R² change = 0.01) and baseline social support and 3-month disability ratings (b = -0.20, p = .646, R² change = 0.01). There was not a significant relation between 1-month social support and 3-month disability ratings (b = -0.01, p = .976, R² change < 0.01). Lastly, there was not a significant relation between baseline social support and average disability across 1 and 3-month (b = -0.13, p = .796, R² change < 0.01).

Social support deterioration model

Linear regressions indicated that when controlling for baseline social support, there was a significant a negative relation between baseline PTSD symptoms and 1-month social support (b = -0.53, p = .033, R² change = 0.18) and baseline PTSD symptoms and 3-month social support (b = -0.54, p = .033, R² change = 0.16) (Table 2). There was also a significant negative relation between 1-month PTSD symptoms and 3-month social support (b = -0.46, p < .001, R² change = 0.17). There was a significant negative relation between baseline PTSD symptoms and average social support across 1 and 3-month (b = -0.64, p = .007, R² change = 0.21). There was a significant negative relation between 1-month social support and 1-month disability ratings after controlling for baseline social support symptoms (b = -0.354, p < .001, R² change = 0.44). Lastly, there was a significant negative relation between 1-month disability ratings and 3-month social support (b = -0.29, p = .001, R² change = 0.25).

Discussion

The present study examined the association between social support, PTSD symptoms, and disability in a sample of traumatic injury patients over three months after the trauma. Prior work has consistently identified a bivariate relation between these variables, but few studies have investigated this association with a prospective longitudinal design in the acute period after trauma. Consistent with prior work [19], the results suggested that symptoms measured at an earlier assessment point were negatively associated with social support assessed at a later point. That is, PTSD symptoms and disability were negatively associated with social support at the subsequent measurements. Social support, however, was largely unrelated to subsequent PTSD symptoms or disability. These findings support the social support deterioration model that suggests increased distress after a traumatic event reduces social support.

The negative association between PTSD symptoms and subsequent social support may be attributed to a lack of support mobilization. Support mobilization is defined as the receipt of tangible support that is consistent with perceptions of support [28]. For example, an individual who perceives their support network as willing to provide home assistance after an injury, but is unable to receive such support would have low mobilization. Prior work with disaster victims has demonstrated that those who felt their community responded appropriately to their needs had less distress than those who were disappointed with the response of their community [28-30]. Therefore, those individuals with more severe PTSD symptoms after their trauma may have perceived the tangible response from their social network as insufficient. Additional work that includes measures of tangible support is needed to test support mobilization as the mechanism by which support is influenced by symptoms in the acute period.

The only support for the conservation of resources model was a significant relation between social support at 1-month and PTSD symptoms at 3-months. There are two potential explanations for this result. First, the relation between social support and PTSD symptoms may evolve over time such that elevated support in the months after the event may buffer against PTSD symptoms [14]. Second, the relation may have been a Type I error given the lack of additional significant findings. Further work is needed to explore this relation that includes additional assessment points and larger samples.

These findings have several clinical implications for working with patients shortly after a traumatic injury. The deterioration model is positioned to reflect the maladaptive cognitions associated with PTSD [15,16]. Interventions that target these cognitions directly [23,31] may have additional benefit in that they could preserve positive perceptions of social support, which may result in better overall outcomes [6]. Given that PTSD symptoms experienced within days after trauma demonstrated an erosion effect, early interventions are needed. Such interventions provide the trauma victim with positive coping strategies that may reduce their network utilization. The reduced burden placed on the network may then maintain positive perceptions.

The present study had several limitations of note. Although the sample size for the current study was consistent with other studies that have prospectively examined victims at the time of their trauma [32,33], the sample was small and future work with larger samples is needed for replication. The findings of the present study should be considered preliminary before any conclusions can be drawn as to the nature of the relation between social support and subsequent outcomes. It may be the case that the buffering effect of social support on PTSD symptoms is small and requires a substantially larger sample to detect. Collecting data from participants shortly after a trauma is feasible [34,35], but is challenging given the collaboration
required to gain access to participants [36]. There is a need for innovative methodologies in which data can be obtained at minimal burden to patients and care systems. One such strategy involves the use of technology including mobile devices, websites, and automated telephone calls [37]. Pilot results such as those presented here highlight the important knowledge to be gained, however.

A second limitation was the limited number of trauma types that were included in the sample. The present study included a majority of major vehicle accident victims, which can result in a different pattern of symptoms than other types of trauma such as sexual assault. It is hypothesized that the role of social support on subsequent symptoms will vary as a function of trauma type. Also, the current study relied solely on self-reported measures of perceived social support. Future work should use multi-method strategies to assess different types of social support to fully assess the construct. Such strategies should include the use of objective measures of social support obtained through behavioral observation and review of communication records. The period after a traumatic event is marked by fluctuations in symptoms, numerous social interactions, and multiple changes in behavior. The use of frequent symptom measurements of social functioning and related behaviors has the potential to capture this variability. These high intensity measurements methods, including ecological momentary assessment, could provide insight to key variability. These high intensity measurement methods, including ecological momentary assessment, could provide insight to key variability.

Table 2: Final step of linear regressions assessing the association between social support, PTSD symptoms, and disability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>Conservation of Resources</th>
<th>Social Support Deterioration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOSSS Baseline</td>
<td>R^2: 0.33</td>
<td>PSS 1-Month: b, 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PSS 3-Month: b, 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MOSSS 1-Month: b, 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MOSSS 3-Month: b, 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSS Baseline</td>
<td>0.17, 0.37</td>
<td>-0.04, 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.75*, 0.35</td>
<td>-0.53*, 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.54*, 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIRS 1-Month</td>
<td>R^2: 0.01</td>
<td>MOSSS 1-Month: b, 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MOSSS 3-Month: b, 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52, 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIRS 1-month</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.68**, 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.35**, 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.29**, 0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01. PSS = Posttraumatic symptom scale. MOSSS = Medical Outcomes Social Support Survey. IIRS = Illness Intrusiveness Rating Scale.

Despite these limitations, the present study evaluated two competing hypotheses as to the relation between social support and PTSD symptoms in the acute period after a traumatic event. The results suggested that symptoms and disability decreased social support and that the increased social support did not mitigate subsequent disability or PTSD symptoms. Such findings provide additional insight into how early PTSD symptoms result in impaired functioning and highlight the need for effective early interventions after a trauma. Future work should explore moderating hypotheses such that social support may moderate the relation between disability status and PTSD symptoms. Such a relation would demonstrate that an environmental variable, social support, is the mechanism by which symptoms of PTSD result in disability [3].
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