



Smart Dental Materials, Nanocomposites and Wear Resistance

Dr. Jacob M. Foster*

Department of Dental Materials, University of Michigan, USA

*Corresponding author: Dr. Jacob M. Foster, Department of Dental Materials, University of Michigan, USA, Email: jmfoster@umich.edu

Citation: Jacob MF (2025) Smart Dental Materials, Nanocomposites and Wear Resistance. Dent Health Curr Res 11: 260

Received: 01-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. dhcr-25-182383; Editor assigned: 4-Dec-2025, Pre-QC No. dhcr-25-182383 (PQ); Reviewed: 19-Dec-2025, QC No. dhcr-25-182383; Revised: 26-Dec-2025, Manuscript No. dhcr-25-182383 (R); Published: 30-Dec-2025, DOI: 10.4172/2600-0886.1000260

Introduction

Advances in dental material science have led to the development of smart dental materials designed to respond dynamically to the oral environment. These materials aim to improve the longevity, functionality, and clinical performance of dental restorations. Among them, nanocomposites represent a significant innovation, incorporating nanoscale fillers to enhance mechanical, aesthetic, and biological properties. Wear resistance is a critical factor in restorative dentistry, as dental materials are constantly exposed to masticatory forces, thermal changes, and chemical challenges. The integration of smart behavior and nanotechnology has greatly improved the wear performance of modern dental materials [1,2].

Discussion

Smart dental materials are characterized by their ability to respond to environmental stimuli such as pH changes, temperature variations, and mechanical stress. In restorative dentistry, this responsiveness can include the release of remineralizing ions, antibacterial activity, or self-healing properties. These features help maintain restoration integrity and protect surrounding tooth structure. Nanocomposites, a major category of smart dental materials, consist of a resin matrix reinforced with nanosized fillers, typically ranging from 1 to 100 nanometers. The small filler size allows for higher filler loading and a more uniform distribution within the resin matrix [3,4].

The incorporation of nanofillers significantly enhances wear resistance, a crucial property for restorations subjected to occlusal forces. Improved filler-matrix bonding reduces filler plucking and surface degradation, resulting in smoother surfaces and reduced material loss over time. Nanocomposites also exhibit superior polishability and gloss retention compared to conventional composites, contributing to long-term aesthetic stability.

Wear resistance is influenced by several factors, including filler type, size, distribution, and the strength of the resin-filler interface. Nanocomposites with hybrid filler systems combine nanoparticles and nanoclusters to optimize strength and resistance to abrasion. Additionally, the use of advanced coupling agents improves stress

transfer between the resin and fillers, enhancing durability. Some smart nanocomposites also incorporate bioactive components that release calcium and phosphate ions, promoting remineralization and reducing secondary caries without compromising wear performance [5].

Despite these advantages, challenges remain in balancing mechanical strength, wear resistance, and bioactivity. Long-term clinical studies are necessary to fully assess the performance of smart nanocomposites under complex oral conditions.

Conclusion

Smart dental materials and nanocomposites have significantly advanced restorative dentistry by improving wear resistance and overall clinical performance. Through nanoscale engineering and responsive material design, these materials offer enhanced durability, aesthetics, and protective functions. Continued research and innovation will further refine smart nanocomposites, ensuring long-lasting, high-performance restorations that meet the demands of the oral environment.

References

1. Jomezadeh N, Babamoradi S, Kalantar E, Javaherizadeh H (2014) Isolation and antibiotic susceptibility of *Shigella* species from stool samples among hospitalized children in Abadan, Iran. *Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench* 7: 218.
2. Sangeetha A, Parija SC, Mandal J, Krishnamurthy S (2014) Clinical and microbiological profiles of shigellosis in children. *J Health Popul Nutr* 32: 580.
3. Ranjbar R, Dallal MMS, Talebi M, Pourshafie MR (2008) Increased isolation and characterization of *Shigella sonnei* obtained from hospitalized children in Tehran, Iran. *J Health Popul Nutr* 26: 426.
4. Zhang J, Jin H, Hu J, Yuan Z, Shi W, Yang X, et al. (2014) Antimicrobial resistance of *Shigella* spp. from humans in Shanghai, China, 2004–2011. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 78: 282–286.
5. Pourakbari B, Mamishi S, Mashoori N, Mahboobi N, Ashtiani MH, Afsharpaiman S, et al. (2010) Frequency and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Shigella* species isolated in children medical center hospital, Tehran, Iran, 2001–2006. *Braz J Infect Dis* 14: 153–157.