Introduction

It is already known that endurance performance is dependent on the sum of aerobic and anaerobic metabolism added to biomechanical factors and psychological factors [1]. Physiologically, 10 km running performance depends on the ability to sustain running speeds at high percentages of maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max) during the main part of the race [1-3]. For this, the knowledge and determination of absolute running speed is crucial to prescribe exercise intensity and determine pacing strategy during these events [4]. However, according to Prud’Homme et al. [5] many studies in this area do not have trustworthy data due unreliable protocols used, which may result in significant over or underestimated results. The gold standard protocol is incremental VO2max protocols with gas exchange analysis to unequivocally determine [6] sub-maximal parameters such as ventilatory threshold (VT) and respiratory compensation point (RCP) [7].

For long time running speed related to VT (sVT) has been considered a strong predictor of running performance ranging from 5 km running to marathon [8,9]. Physiologically, sVT represents a metabolic condition where is observed an increase in anaerobic contribution to energy production [10,11]. Specifically for 10 km race, is already know that runners are able to sustain the entire race above sVT [12-14]. Above sVT, the increased H+ ions removed from the muscle (via the Na+-H+ exchangers and monocarboxylates transporters) may overwhelm the available serum bicarbonate ions (HCO3-) concentrations [15,16]. These higher H+ ions concentrations are mainly detected by central receptors (located in the medulla) and peripheral receptors (located in the carotid body) stimulating the ventilation [17,18]. These exercise intensity is defined as speed related to respiratory compensation point (sRCP) indicating the limit of blood buffering capacity which above that acidosis is installed [11,19]. To best of our knowledge, only one study has related sRCP to 10 km running performance founding no relationship between them [20]. The authors found that VO2max and maximal strength were the main determinants of 10 km running in amateur runners. However, no comparison about pacing strategy and no information regarding acid-base status was investigated in this study.

Recently, Hureau, Romer and Amann [21] strongly proposed that acidosis can stimulate peripheral receptors, via group III/IV muscle afferent, and may induce reductions in central motor drive. In theory, the decreasing in exercise intensity to protect all the body system would influence the runners pacing strategy in 10 km. In fact, this suggestion has been proposed for decades [22], however, there are no studies relating sRCP, pacing strategy and blood acid-base status in field and laboratory conditions may due methodological limitations. Furthermore, there is no information about there is no information regarding whether runners choose running speeds close or not to sRCP during 10 km time trial and how they distribute the exercise intensity relative to it.

With this in mind, our hypothesis is that blood acidosis may limits 10 km running performance independently of training status and sRCP may indicate the exercise intensity chosen by the athletes to complete 10 km without fatigue. To test it we first investigated, in amateur and elite runners, the relationship between 10 km performance time trial...
and the sVT, sRCP and sV_{O2max} obtained in laboratory conditions through a reliable protocol. Secondly, we investigated blood acid-base response during different constant speeds related to sVT, sRCP and above that in laboratory conditions.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Participated of this study twelve male amateur competing at regional level (A) and nineteen elite long distance runners of the top ten national ranking of 5 km and the 10 km competing in national and international competitions (E) Table 1 presented the physical and metabolic characteristics of the athletes, which confirms two different groups regarding to training status (Table 1).

**Experimental design**

Amateur participants attended the laboratory on six separate occasions and elite runner on three times due their routine schedule. Initially both groups performed individually a 10 km time trial on a 400 m outdoor track recording the time to cover this total distance. After two days they underwent a maximal incremental running test to determine sVT, sRCP and sV_{O2max}. The third test started three days later when the participants randomly performed four 10 km running tests at constant speeds on sVT, sRCP, above sRCP (S1) and at sV_{O2max} with at least 72 hs of rest between them. The S1 was defined as 25% of the difference between sRCP and sV_{O2max} as previously demonstrated by Pires et al. [23]. The E group have made the constant load speed (sVT, sRCP and sV_{O2max}) only at S1, due to their schedule limitation. We choose this exercise intensity to coincide with our hypothesis that if blood buffer capacity is, in fact, limiting during 10 km running. The Figure 1 shows the experimental design (Figure 1).

**Test 1: 10 Km running trial**

Ten to 15 minutes warm-up period preceded the test that began at 9 AM. The mean temperature was 24.2 ± 2.2°C and the air humidity was 47.4 ± 1.8% and the runners were allowed to ad libitum hydration during the trial. Each subject was verbally encouraged to give maximum effort and could not use any kind of time device during the running. We recorded every 400 m time to calculate the average running speed of each lap as well as the total test time to determine average speed over 10 km distance (s10 km).

**Test 2. Maximal incremental test**

In laboratory, after 3 min warm-up at 8-8.5 km·h⁻¹ the treadmill (Inbrasport Super-ATL, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil) was set at 9 km·h⁻¹ and 12 km·h⁻¹ at a fixed grade of 1% for A and E groups, respectively. These initial running speeds were determined as running speed reached in previous familiarization sessions. After each 25 s interval the speed was increased by 0.3 km·h⁻¹ until volitional exhaustion [7].

Oxygen uptake (VO₂), carbon dioxide output (VCO₂), breathing frequency (Bf) and tidal volume (Vt) were continuously collected with an automated breath-by-breath system (CPX/D Med Graphics, St. Paul, MN) using a nafo filter tube and a turbine flow meter (opto-electric). The respiratory exchange ratio (RER) were a quotient of VCO₂ on VO₂ and to decrease the variability in breath-by-breath acquisition we used the average each 25 s of exercise as recommended by Robergs, Dwyer and Astorino [24]. Prior to each test the analyzer was calibrated using a known gas mixture (12% O₂ and 5% CO₂), and the volume sensor was calibrated using a 3 L syringe. The laboratory temperature was at 21 ± 1°C and the relative air humidity was between 45-50%.

**VT and RCP determinations**

The VT and RCP were determined using the V-Slope method from gas exchange measurements [25,26]. The VT detected by the loss of linearity of VCO₂ as a function of VO₂ during incremental test. According to Whipp and Ward [27] the additional non-metabolic CO₂ production resulted from H⁺ concentration buffering by plasma HCO₃⁻ ions results in a higher change in VCO₂ relative to VO₂. The RCP was also detected by V-slope method by the loss of linearity of the VE vs. VCO₂ relationship [25]. The software supplied by Medical Graphics BreezeSuite™ 6.4 (MediGraphics™) suffered visual inspection by three independent and experienced researchers. The VO₂max were considered as the values related to the last completed stage with respiratory exchange ratio (RER) greater than 1.10 [28].

**Test 3: Running constant-speed test**

Each running constant-speed test (sVT, sRCP, S1 and sV_{O2max}) was preceded by 5 min warm-up at 8-12 km·h⁻¹. After that, the running speed was set and the protocol was interrupted when the athletes had completed the distance or reached volitional exhaustion. For athletes who did not complete the 10 km we considered the total distance covered until the voluntary exhaustion.

**Blood analysis**

During each constant-speed test were collected through disposable lancets (Accu-Chek Softclix®, Roche®) and heparinized capillary (Clinitubes®, Radiometer Copenhagen®) approximately 210 µL of capillary blood by fingerstick before (Pre) and at each 2 km intervals. The samples were immediately analyzed in blood gas analyzer Phox Stat Profile (Nova Biomedical®, MA, USA) to obtain blood pH (bPH), blood HCO₃⁻ (bHCO₃⁻) and blood lactate concentration (bLAC). The formula of Dell and Costill [29] was applied to all parameters of the blood gas analysis in order to avoid possible interference in their concentrations in response to plasma volume changes induced by exercise.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are as mean and standard error of mean (mean ± SEM). We tested possible differences between the average speeds during the 10 km (25 laps) and cardiorespiratory parameters by analysis of variance for two factors (two-way ANOVA) with Tukey’s post-test. The relationship between s10km and sVT, sRCP and sV_{O2max} were established by linear regression analysis and determination coefficient (R²) derived from Pearson correlation coefficient. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to compare possible differences between

### Table 1: Characteristics of participants. Data are available in mean ± standard error of mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A (n=12)</th>
<th>E (n=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>37.3 ± 7.2</td>
<td>27.7 ± 9.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>171.9 ± 9.4</td>
<td>171.7 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>65.6 ± 10.1</td>
<td>54.7 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training experience (years)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>10.4 ± 4.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean 10 km running speed (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.4*</td>
<td>18.6 ± 1.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sVT (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>11.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>15.9 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sRCP (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>13.2 ± 1.3*</td>
<td>17.9 ± 1.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sV_{O2max} (km·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>16.7 ± 1.2*</td>
<td>21.0 ± 1.37*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂ base (ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>57.5 ± 9.6</td>
<td>74.8 ± 5.2*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: *p<0.05 related to A; *p<0.05 related to sVT; #p<0.05 related to sRCP; sVT: Running speed related to ventilatory threshold; sRCP: Running speed related to respiratory compensation point; sV_{O2max}: Running speed related to maximal oxygen consumption.
the parameters of the linear regression (i.e. intercept and slope) used the s10km as a covariate analysis. We also used the t-test for possible differences in absolute and relative values of blood parameters between A and E group. The distribution of data obtained from blood were tested by the Shapiro-Wilk test and changes in blood parameters at each time of collection were tested by one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-test. The level of significance was set <0.05.

10 km time trial on a 400-m outdoor track and speeds related to maximal incremental test

As expected, all parameters were significantly higher in E than A group (p<0.05). As shown in Table 1, the sVT was significantly lower and sVO2max was significantly higher than the s10 km (p<0.05) in both groups. Only the comparison between s10 km and sRCP showed no differences between them (p=0.65).

The linear regression analysis between s10km and sVT (slope=1.01 ± 0.19; R²=0.85; p<0.05), sRCP (slope=1.19 ± 0.19; R²=0.92; p<0.05), SI (slope=0.76 ± 0.09; R²=0.90; p<0.05) and sVO2max (slope=0.92 ± 0.31; R²=0.52; p<0.05) revealed that sRCP was the best parameters to predict 10 km runner performance (Figure 3). The analysis of ANCOVA corroborated this information, where did not show differences between the intercept and slope of the relationship between sPCR and s10km (F=0.03; p> 0.05) and the identity line. Although high relationships were observed between s10km and sVT and sVO2max, the same pattern was not found. For both the identity lines were located outside the confidence interval and ANCOVA revealed lower values for the intercept between s10km and sVT (F=398.3; p <0.05) and higher values for sVO2max (F=623.0; p <0.05) when compared to the identity line. Examination of regression residues (data not shown) did not reveal substantial deviations from random errors and also revealed a constant variation, not presenting outliers. The square root values of the mean of the regression residues (in km·h⁻¹) found were 0.95 for sVT, 0.57 for sRCP, and 0.79 for sVO2max, indicating a better determination of s10km by sRCP.

Results

Cardio-respiratory measurements and 10 km time trial

As expected, all parameters were significantly higher in E than A group (p<0.05). As shown in Table 1, the sVT was significantly lower and sVO2max was significantly higher than the s10 km (p<0.05) in both groups. Only the comparison between s10 km and sRCP showed no differences between them (p=0.65).

Concerning basal values (Pre) of blood parameters no differences between bpH of A group in all studied intensities (sVT=7.46 ± 0.01; sRCP=7.45 ± 0.02; SI=7.48 ± 0.02; sVO2max=7.46 ± 0.01; p>0.05) was found. These values were not different from those found in basal blood of E group (7.49 ± 0.03; p>0.05). However, the basal values of bHCO3⁻ of A group (sVT=26.0 ± 1.7 mmol·L⁻¹; sRCP=25.0 ± 3.4 mmol·L⁻¹; SI=25.7 ± 1.6 mmol·L⁻¹; sVO2max=25.8 ± 2.1 mmol·L⁻¹; p>0.05) than covered at sVT and sRCP, ranging from 0.4 to 1.5 km for both groups of runners.

As expected, all parameters were significantly higher in E than A group (p<0.05). As shown in Table 1, the sVT was significantly lower and sVO2max was significantly higher than the s10 km (p<0.05) in both groups. Only the comparison between s10 km and sRCP showed no differences between them (p=0.65).

The running speed profile shows a first 400 m corresponding to the highest running speed (A=14.0 ± 1.3 km·h⁻¹; E=18.4 ± 1.1 km·h⁻¹) higher than sVT and sRCP and lower than sVO2max (p<0.05). After that the running speed followed a slight but continuous decline in running speed up to 9.2 to 9.6 km (A=13.4 ± 0.9 km·h⁻¹; E=17.6 ± 1.4 km·h⁻¹). In this period the running speed was not different from sRCP (p<0.05) relative to each group. Then, the running speed of the last 400 m increased significantly (A=13.7 ± 0.9 km·h⁻¹; E=18.3 ± 1.8 km·h⁻¹) characterizing the end spurt.
Figure 2: Average running speed (± SEM) every 400 m of amateur (A) and elite runners (B) during the 10 km time trial. The horizontal dashed lines represent the mean values of sVT (below), S1 (in the center) and sVO2max (above) obtained in the laboratory. The horizontal solid line represents the mean values of sRCP obtained in the laboratory.

Figure 3: Scatter plot of s10km vs. sVT (A), sRCP (B) and sVO2max (C). Solid lines represent linear regression with their respective confidence intervals (IC; dashed lines), and the dotted line indicates the identity line (slope = 1).
the acid-base blood results are showed in normalized data (%) in relation to pre-test values in Figure 4, which shows the behavior of bLAC that indirectly represents the muscular metabolic stress, the bHCO₃⁻ concentrations representing blood buffering capacity and the bPH at 10 km constant loads in sV'T, sRCP and sVO₂max.

The 10 km performed at constant sV'T and sRCP did not induce significant changes on bPH when compared to Pre (Figure 4A). The bPH were maintained at 7.47 ± 0.02 and 7.45 ± 0.02 during sV'T and sRCP exercise, respectively, and the same behavior was found in the athletes who completed 10 km at S1 (S1Ac and S1Ec), presenting bPH at 7.47 ± 0.02 for S1 Ac and 7.52 ± 0.04 for S1Ec (p>0.05; Figure 4B). On the other hand, the athletes who not completed 10 km at sVO₂max (7.32 ± 0.01) and S1 (S1Anc=7.32 ± 0.02; S1Enc=7.20 ± 0.04) showed significant decrease in blood pH in the first few kilometers (p<0.05).

As expected, increasing exercise intensities induced crescent improvement in bLAC after the first two kilometer with posterior values stabilization (sV'T=4.61 ± 0.59 mmol·L⁻¹; sRCP=6.52 ± 0.59 mmol·L⁻¹). As shown in Figures 4C and 4D, the variation in bLAC in athletes who completed 10-m at S1 were similar with those found in the rest, showed, in average, increments from 1.8 ± 0.23 mmol·L⁻¹, in the rest, to 8.82 ± 0.55 mmol·L⁻¹ after two kilometers (p<0.05) and maintained constant until exhaustion (p>0.05).

During exercise we also found significant decreases in bHCO₃⁻ in all constant speed running (Figures 4E and 4F). At sV'T and sRCP the blood HCO₃⁻ decreases ~10% (24.0 ± 1.0 mmol·L⁻¹) and ~20% (20.2 ± 1.09 mmol·L⁻¹) after the first two kilometers without differences until the end of the test, being different between them (p<0.05). The same pattern has occurred in athletes who completed 10 km at S1. Slightly lower, but not significant different, values were found in these groups (S1Ac=20.5 ± 2.5 mmol·L⁻¹; S1Ec=24.5 ± 1.04 mmol·L⁻¹; p>0.05). The athletes that did not complete the 10 km at S1nc and sVO₂max showed the greatest decrease in bHCO₃⁻ which ranged from 14.0 ± 0.6 mmol·L⁻¹, in sVO₂max, to 15.6 ± 1.0mmol·L⁻¹, in S1 Anc.

Discussion
We hypothesized that sRCP could indicate the exercise intensity chosen by the athletes to complete 10 km without fatigue. We also thought that blood acidosis could limit 10 km running performance independently of training status. In fact, we have shown here that sRCP were stronger correlated with s10km than sV'T and sVO₂max (Figure 2) and in almost entire 10 km time trial, all athletes choose their running pace near sRCP detected in laboratory conditions.

To our knowledge, this is the first study that made this comparison. Here we have found a strong relationship (R²=0.92) between sRCP and 10 km average running speed, which was higher than previous studies relating sV'T and running performance. Jones and Doust [30] found a strong relationship (r=0.81) between sV'T and 8 km running, Bellar et al. [31] and Nicholson and Sleivert [32] found strong, but weaker than the present results, correlations lactate threshold and 10 km velocity (r=0.84) in female and (r=0.78) male runners. More recently, Abad et al. [33-35] found that 10 km running time, running economy and peak treadmill velocity explained near 83% of the variance in 10 km running time with a 1.5 min of standard error of the estimate, what is much time in practice. In contrast, here we found that the regression line between sPCR and s10km was almost...
exactly positioned in the identity line which represents approximately 10 s and one minute for amateur and elite, respectively.

These data reinforce our hypothesis that sRCP could be a good predictor of 10 km running performance. However, it does not fully explain the pacing strategy adopted during the event because they did not choose a constant running speed during entire race. We found that in the first 400 m of 10 km time trial, 67% of the athletes choose running speeds above sRCP (near S1) with a continuous reduction in running speed toward it. Only in the last lap, the end spurt could be found, again near to S1, characterizing an so-called “U shaped” pacing strategy [36,37]. This data are very similar those found by Lima-Silva et al. [12] who showed that recreational athletes started the 10 km race at a velocity above the average velocity used for the entire race, which was above the onset blood accumulation.

A number of theories try to explain it [14,38,39]. Between them, the teleoanticipatory system and perceive exertion theories propose that athletes build in their brain a template of estimated work rate needed before the exercise begins. According them the neural motor pattern regulated by affrent information from peripheral systems and by individual perceived exertion (i.e., conscious manifestation of the feelings of effort produced by exercise) accounts for the greatest variance of speed during the start phase and perceived exertion [40,41]. Foster et al. [22] have suggested that athletes may monitor their acid-base equilibrium so that critical values are not reached before the total distance has been covered. Theoretically in running speed above sRCP blood pH falls and acidosis is detected by sensory feedback from working skeletal muscle inducing hyperventilation to control blood pH [15,42]. As a consequence the hyperventilation serves to reduce arterial pressure of CO2 that have direct effect on cerebral blood flow and may decrease the arterial oxygenation in frontal cortex reducing/modifying the neural motor drive to protect the system [19].

This results may justify our findings that 93% of athletes choose sRCP and consequently blood pH maintenance for pacing strategy during 10 km time trial with self-running speed adjustment in the beginning and, mainly, in middle phase (400 to 9600 m). However, they do not agree with Bertuzzi et al. [20] who found no relationship between 10 km runner performance and sRCP in recreational runner, maybe due the difference in analyzed groups, once a wide range of sRCP (from 11 to 18 km·h–1) was found in their study, which could not be statistically sufficient to show sRCP influence in 10 km performance.

To confirm our hypothesis that sRCP could be an secure exercise intensity, we tested four different constant-loads, including at sRCP. We found that the bHCO3 ions decreased almost 21% with no changes in blood pH, indicating that it was efficiently controlled at sRCP. This data suggests that athletes can perform several minutes of exercise at this intensity without fatigue, as found as previously [40,41]. In this way, was interesting that the basal concentration of blood HCO3 significantly higher in elite athletes was not enough for some runners fully sustain the exercise at S1. It can be explained by a possible inefficiency of the enzyme carbonic anhydrase which can be sensitive to a lower zinc intake [42,43] inducing 10% higher ventilatory response (hyperventilation) and lower time to exhaustion in an exercise performed at 70%VO2max.

Interesting data was also found at S1 intensity. Contrary what we initially expected, few runners from both groups were also able to complete 10 km at constant S1 intensity, with no alterations in blood acid-base parameters. These data agree with those found by Pires et al. [23] in healthy cyclists during 30 minutes at constant load related to 25% of the difference between the second lactate threshold and maximal aerobic power output. For them, these results may be due to an integrative, centrally regulated effort model that regulates the body homeostasis based on the remaining exercise time regulated by perceived effort as discussed above related to sRCP. Our data puts the blood buffering capacity as one more piece in this puzzle. The athletes, that completed 10 km at S1, showed maintenance of blood pH despite of a significant decrease in blood HCO3, which was similar those found in sVT and sRCP (between 10 to 20%). Another possible explanation is the presence of specific adaptations in some athletes, such as a decrease in chemoreceptors sensitivity due to the long-term exposure to altered arterial plasma H+ and pCO2, [44,45], increased strength and resistance of respiratory muscles [46], higher mitochondrial volume and oxidative enzymes [47] triggered by endurance training.

The last possible doubt that can emerge is that S1 could be statistical and/or physiologically, similar to sRCP. However, we ensured that S1 were higher than the typical error for sRCP [7], which cannot discard an artefact of our VO2max protocol or other limitations. Besides this, two other limitations of the study were the lack of dietary and rating of perceived exertion control during the experiment with can be elucidate by futures investigations and explain the capacity to sustain exercise intensities above sRCP.

Conclusion

In practice, this study showed that sRCP is a useful and safe parameter to predict 10 km running performance and determine pacing strategies for runners. The test can be determined using just one maximal or submaximal exercise protocol in the laboratory or even during a 10 km race on a running track. It allows athletes and coaches bring useful information to prescribe exercise intensity during training and competitions. Furthermore, the results presented here incorporate new information regarding the blood buffering capacity as a limiting for 10 km pacing strategy and performance.
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