



Livestock Grazing and Greenhouse Gas Emission in Tibet

Wu L¹, Ma X¹ and Yang Y^{*1}

Abstract

The Tibetan plateau, the highest and largest plateau on earth, is experiencing more than twice of the average global warming rate. It is imperative to understand how livestock grazing, the most prevalent economic activity in Tibet, contributes to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in regards to global warming. Recent studies revealed intriguing results, which await a full interpretation of the underlying mechanisms.

Keywords

Land use; Livestock grazing; Tibetan alpine grassland

Introduction

The Tibetan plateau is the highest (average 4000 m asl) and largest (2.57 million km²) plateau on earth. It is characterized by a cold climate; low oxygen levels, strong ultra violet (UV) irradiation and poor primary productivity, and has a number of fragile alpine ecosystems. In recent decades, Tibet has witnessed strong effects from global warming, experiencing more than twice the average global warming rate [1]. This has raised substantial concerns for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and soil carbon instability, partly attributed to permafrost thaw. Livestock grazing is the most prevalent economic activity in Tibet, and two thirds of the Tibetan plateau is comprised of alpine grasslands [1]. Therefore, it is imperative to understand how livestock grazing in Tibet contributes to GHG emissions and soil carbon stocks in conjunction with global warming. Recent studies on the effects of current grazing regimes in the Tibetan alpine grasslands revealed complex results. Our study [2], and those of others, detected reduced CO₂ emissions and/or increased soil total organic carbon (TOC) under grazing compared with grazing exclusion sites [2-4]. However, there are also studies showing an increase [5] or no changes in GHG emissions from grazing [6]. Those conflicting observations await a full interpretation of the underlying mechanisms and implications. Soil microbial communities must be considered in elucidating the mechanisms and assessing the environmental impacts, owing to their pivotal roles in mediating soil biogeochemical cycling.

Discussion

Most ecosystem models treat microbial community composition as a “black box”. However, understanding the mechanisms

underlying the microbial response is essential for improving model predictions, as microorganisms play key roles in biogeochemical cycles. Increasing evidence shows that abundances of microbial functional genes could be good indicators for potential geochemical processes. For example, abundances of microbial functional genes associated with carbon degradation were shown to correlate with CO₂ emissions in bare soils but not in soils with vegetation, suggesting that the microbial functional genes were linked to heterotrophic respiration [7]. In contrast, factors affecting ecosystem respiration (R_{eco}) are complicated.

Livestock grazing can perturb ecosystem respiration through at least four mechanisms:

- (1) reduced vegetation can have negative effects on R_{eco} as autotrophic respiration decreases;
- (2) reduced vegetation increases soil temperature and thereby R_{eco} ;
- (3) low organic matter in grazing grasslands can reduce R_{eco} because microbial heterotrophic respiration is sensitive to the input of carbon from living plants; and
- (4) inputs of manure from livestock could increase R_{eco} by stimulating plant growth, microbial activity, labile carbon and nitrogen availability.

These factors intertwine, making it difficult to predict the net effect of grazing on R_{eco} . In our study [2], most microbial functional genes for organic carbon degradation were decreased by grazing and were correlated with the change in vegetation variables, most likely as a response to decreased carbon input from plants. This explains our observation of increased soil TOC and decreased R_{eco} from grazing.

We also showed that N₂O emissions in the Tibetan alpine grassland were significantly and positively correlated with the abundance of *amoA* genes (encoding enzymes for ammonia oxidizing) and *ureC* genes (encoding a subunit of urease that converts urea to ammonia), but negatively correlated with the abundance of *nirS* genes (encoding nitrite reductase), suggesting that nitrification is the major process of N₂O emission in this ecosystem [8,9]. The abundance of microbial genes related to nitrification increased, while that related to denitrification decreased [2], revealing potential alteration of soil nitrogen cycling from grazing. A recent grazing experiment in Tibet has consistently shown that the abundance of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and bacteria (AOB) increased by 3.7 and 42 fold in grazing sites, while the abundance of *nirS*-harboring denitrifiers decreased [10]. The effects of grazing on ecosystems are not uniform due to different grazing management and local environment conditions. A study in Atlantic mountain grassland [11] showed that livestock grazing decreased soil respiration and microbial metabolic quotients at sites, but the effects on other grassland ecosystems may vary. For example, Inner Mongolian grasslands have lower soil water content, annual average temperature, vegetation biomass, and soil organic matter compared with Tibetan alpine grasslands. Many studies conducted in Inner Mongolia have found that grazing led to loss of soil organic carbon and nitrogen [12-16], partly owing to increased CO₂ and N₂O emissions. Proper management grazing can sustain soil carbon sequestration and lower GHG emissions [17-19].

*Corresponding author: Yunfeng Yang, Ph.D, Professor, State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China, Tel: (+86)10-62784692, Fax : (+86)010-62785687; E- mail: yangyf@tsinghua.edu.cn

Received: March 11, 2016 Accepted: May 16, 2016 Published: May 21, 2016

Conclusion

Livestock grazing in the Tibet alters aboveground vegetation and belowground microbial community composition, as well as soil variables and biogeochemical cycling. It is noted that grazing effects vary in different regions. Therefore, it is imperative to crack the “black box” of microbial communities to gain a mechanistic understanding of the effects of environmental changes. By linking process rates with microbial functional traits, we begin to achieve more accurate predictions of microbial responses that are amenable to ecosystem modeling.

References

1. Qiu J (2008) China: The third pole. *Nature* 454: 393-396.
2. Yang Y, Wu L, Lin Q, Yuan M, Xu D, et al. (2013) Responses of the functional structure of soil microbial community to livestock grazing in the Tibetan alpine grassland. *Glob Chang Biol* 19: 637-648.
3. Chen J, Shi W, Cao J (2015) Effects of grazing on ecosystem CO₂ exchange in a meadow grassland on the Tibetan Plateau during the growing season. *Environ Manage* 55: 347-359.
4. Hafner S, Unteregelsbacher S, Seeber E, Lena B, Xu X, et al. (2012) Effect of grazing on carbon stocks and assimilate partitioning in a Tibetan montane pasture revealed by ¹³CO₂ pulse labeling. *Glob Chang Biol* 18: 528-538.
5. Wei D, Ri X, Wang Y, Wang Y, Liu Y, et al. (2012) Responses of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes to livestock enclosure in an alpine steppe on the Tibetan Plateau, China. *Plant and Soil* 359: 45-55.
6. Lu X, Yan Y, Sun J, Zhang X, Chen Y, et al. (2015) Carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus storage in alpine grassland ecosystems of Tibet: effects of grazing exclusion. *Ecol Evol* 5: 4492-4504.
7. Zhao M, Xue K, Wang F, Liu S, Bai S, et al. (2014) Microbial mediation of biogeochemical cycles revealed by simulation of global changes with soil transplant and cropping. *ISME J* 8: 2045-2055.
8. Yue H, Wang M, Wang S, Gilbert JA, Sun X, et al. (2015) The microbe-mediated mechanisms affecting topsoil carbon stock in Tibetan grasslands. *ISME J* 9: 2012-2020.
9. Yang Y, Gao Y, Wang S, Xu D, Yu H, et al. (2014) The microbial gene diversity along an elevation gradient of the Tibetan grassland. *ISME J* 8: 430-440.
10. Xie Z, Le Roux X, Wang C, Gu Z, An M, et al. (2014) Identifying response groups of soil nitrifiers and denitrifiers to grazing and associated soil environmental drivers in Tibetan alpine meadows. *Soil Biol Biochem* 77: 89-99.
11. Aldezabal A, Moragues L, Odriozola I, Mijangos I (2015) Impact of grazing abandonment on plant and soil microbial communities in an Atlantic mountain grassland. *App Soil Ecol* 96: 251-260.
12. Steffens M, Kölbl A, Totsche KU, Kögel-Knabner I (2008) Grazing effects on soil chemical and physical properties in a semiarid steppe of Inner Mongolia (PR China). *Geoderma* 143: 63-72.
13. Yong-Zhong S, Yu-Lin L, Jian-Yuan C, Wen-Zhi Z (2005) Influences of continuous grazing and livestock exclusion on soil properties in a degraded sandy grassland, Inner Mongolia, northern China. *Catena* 59: 267-278.
14. Pei S, Fu H, Wan C (2008) Changes in soil properties and vegetation following enclosure and grazing in degraded Alxa desert steppe of Inner Mongolia, China. *Agri, Eco Environ* 124: 33-39.
15. Zhao Y, Peth S, Krümmelbein J, Horn R, Wang Z, et al. (2007) Spatial variability of soil properties affected by grazing intensity in Inner Mongolia grassland. *Ecol Model* 205: 241-254.
16. Han G, Hao X, Zhao M, Wang M, Ellert BH, et al. (2008) Effect of grazing intensity on carbon and nitrogen in soil and vegetation in a meadow steppe in Inner Mongolia. *Agri, Eco Environ* 125: 21-32.
17. Chen W, Huang D, Liu N, Zhang Y, Badgery WB, et al. (2015) Improved grazing management may increase soil carbon sequestration in temperate steppe. *Sci Rep* 5: 10892.
18. Zhang Y, Huang D, Badgery WB, Kemp DR, Chen W, et al. (2015) Reduced grazing pressure delivers production and environmental benefits for the typical steppe of north China. *Sci Rep* 5: 16434.
19. Liu N, Zhang Y, Chang S, Kan H, Lin L (2012) Impact of grazing on soil carbon and microbial biomass in typical steppe and desert steppe of Inner Mongolia. *PLoS One* 7: e36434.

Author Affiliation

Top

¹State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of SciTechnol submissions

- ❖ 50 Journals
- ❖ 21 Day rapid review process
- ❖ 1000 Editorial team
- ❖ 2 Million readers
- ❖ Publication immediately after acceptance
- ❖ Quality and quick editorial, review processing

Submit your next manuscript at • www.scitechnol.com/submission