



## The Effect on of Meal Frequency on Glycemia

**Matt Snyder\***

*Department of Exercise Science, Human Performance Laboratory, Syracuse University, United States.*

\*Corresponding Author: Matt Snyder, Department of Exercise Science, Human Performance Laboratory, Syracuse University, United States. Email: mattsnycbsinteractive.com

**Received date:** 03 January, 2022, Manuscript No. JFND-22-56527;  
**Editor assigned date:** 07 January, 2022, Pre QC No. JFND-22-56527(PQ);  
**Reviewed date:** 17 January, 2022, QC No. JFND-22-56527;  
**Revised date:** 24 January, 2022, Manuscript No: JFND-22-56527(R);  
**Published date:** 03 February, 2022, DOI: 10.4172/2324-9323.1000e001

### Introduction

It's far essential for individuals with diabetes or other metabolic conditions to control blood glucose if you want to save you the development of cardiovascular sickness and other pathological conditions. In reality, the first purpose listed in the American Diabetes affiliation's 2008 role assertion is for those with diabetes to correctly preserve blood glucose within a slender range. Cautious interest to food plan is essential for maintaining controlled blood glucose tiers. studies has proven that eating meals containing excessive glycaemic masses and low quantities of fiber can increase an character's danger for growing type 2 diabetes [1]. The boom in blood glucose degrees after a meal is named Post Prandial Glycemic Response (PPGR). PPGR is a degree that can be used to gauge the competency of the metabolic gadget. Basically, postprandial blood glucose concentration is managed by using two components, glucose appearance and glucose clearance. Glucose appearance and the magnitude of the glycemic reaction are laid low with the rate at which a carbohydrate is digested and enters the blood movement. A wholesome human frame is capable of decrease PPGR and keeps euglycemia. A vital system in doing away with glucose from the blood after a meal is the activation of the insulin signaling pathway, and next glucose transport into various peripheral tissues which include skeletal muscle and adipose tissue. The most essential tissue for glucose elimination is skeletal muscle, which has been shown to be chargeable for 80% of the body's insulin-inspired glucose clearance. There had been tries to create a way of measuring/predicting a meals capability to affect glycemic response [2,3]. Advanced idea referred to as the Glycemic Index (GI) to categories a meals based totally on a PPGR. Wolever and Bolognesi C defines GI "because the incremental region beneath the glucose reaction curve for a 50 g carbohydrate part of a food expressed as a percent of that when 50 g carbohydrate of white bread is taken by means of the equal situation". Although there is more than one approach to explicit vicinity below the curve, the most common technique at it relates to GI is the incremental place beneath the curve ignoring the location beneath the baseline. said any other manner, GI is decided by using measuring the growth in blood glucose concentration above fasting ranges over a two-hour duration after consuming a food that commonly contains 50 g of carbohydrate. This value is then divided by using the glycemic response to a reference food consisting of 50 g of glucose or white bread and improved with the aid of a hundred. An additional measure is named Glycemic Load (GL), which takes under consideration each the GI of the meals and the quantity of carbohydrate in the food. GL is calculated by way of

first dividing the GI by way of one hundred then multiplying this value via the carbohydrate content (grams) followed by way of multiplying by way of, the whole weight of the meals. In summary, the better the GI fee of a food, the more it's far expected to boom blood glucose levels.

### Glycemic Index

The commentary of a second meal impact became reportedly located within the early 20th century. Studies on the second meal impact has recently emerge as more popular because of the fact that bad glycemic manipulate is related to multiple fitness headaches. They studied the effect of meals with differing GIs on the second meal impact. The subjects fed on 2 exclusive evening meals on separate occasions, with certainly one of high and the opposite of low glycemic index [4]. Glycemic response turned into then measured the following day following breakfast, to decide if the GI of a night-time meal affected the glycemic reaction of the following morning meal. It became observed that night meals with a low GI drastically lowered the mean PPGR to a breakfast meal the subsequent morning in comparison to a meal with a high GI (6.36 vs. 6.91 respectively;  $p < 0.05$ ).

### Glycogen and Insulin

Every other viable rationalization of the second one meal effect is that it's miles due to the suppression of FFAs and glucose being saved as glycogen [5]. The study of postprandial glycemic and insulemic responses to a well-known lunch meal on separate activities; with and without breakfast being consumed that identical day. Glycogen changed into measured the usage of 13 C magnetic resonance spectroscopy earlier than lunch. Then 3 g of [U-13C] glucose changed into given at lunch and glycogen turned into measured again after lunch 2 and 5 hours later. It turned into observed that eating breakfast suppressed FFAs and decreased the glycemic reaction (73%) to a standard lunch as compared to now not eating breakfast. It additionally became observed that fasting glycogen tiers had been similar on days with and without breakfast. But, on days whilst breakfast became consumed, there has been about 50% extra glucose contributing to the formation of glycogen inside 2 hours of consuming lunch compared to days while no breakfast was eaten. Furthermore, this price was doubled inside five hours of lunch on breakfast days. There also became an inverse correlation among FFA degrees prior to lunch and glycogen signaling after lunch. The authors hypothesized that insulin release following a breakfast meal suppressed FFA concentrations, as well as multiplied insulin action, skeletal muscle glucose uptake, and glycogen storage [6,7].

### Second meal effect and type 2 diabetes

Despite the fact that some of the studies offered in this evaluation have applied healthful subjects, the second one meal impact has additionally been determined in subjects with insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Clark et al. [8] examined how high (GI: 64) and low GI (GI: 56) breakfast food have an impact on the glycemic reaction to a standardized lunch in people diagnosed with type 2 diabetes. The low GI meal, which contained psyllium soluble fiber, led to a appreciably decrease PPGR to the breakfast meal as compared to the high GI breakfast meal however those differences did not persist after lunch. The identical findings had been determined for insulin and

FFAs. while the low GI breakfast meal containing psyllium fiber provided acute benefits in glucose, insulin, and FFA AUC values, it did no longer induce a 2nd meal impact [9].

### Duration of the Second Meal

Effect knowing the length of the second meal impact can be vital, no longer simplest for individuals with kind 2 diabetes, but additionally for healthful individuals looking to manipulate their glucose excursions. Jenkins et al. [10] decided the Staub-Traugott effect, now known as the second one meal effect, lasted from breakfast to lunch (a four hour length) by way of displaying that gradual digesting carbohydrate breakfast meals advanced glucose tolerance all through a subsequent lunch. Others have proven that low GI ingredients consumed inside the night can improve the glucose tolerance to a breakfast meal the subsequent morning. However, discovered that the effect did now not remaining from breakfast to dinner (9.5 hours). Consequently, additional facts remains required to recognize the dietary and population particular elements which can affect the period of the second meal.

### Reference

1. Mattson MP, Allison DB, Fontana L, Harvie M, Longo VD, et al. (2014) Meal frequency and timing in health and disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 111: 16647–16653.
2. Potter C, Griggs RL, Brunstrom JM, Rogers PJ (2018) Breaking the fast: Meal patterns and beliefs about healthy eating style are associated with adherence to intermittent fasting diets. *Appetite* 133: 32–39.
3. Jenkins DJ, Wolever TM, Vuksan V, Brighenti F, Cunnane SC, et al. (1989) Nibbling versus gorging: Metabolic advantages of increased meal frequency. *N Engl J Med* 321: 929–934.
4. Mekary RA, Giovannucci E, Willett WC, van Dam RM, Hu FB (2012) Eating patterns and type 2 diabetes risk in men: Breakfast omission, eating frequency, and snacking. *Am J Clin Nutr* 95: 1182–1189.
5. Stephens LD, McNaughton SA, Crawford D, Ball K (2014) Predictors of high-energy foods and beverages: A longitudinal study among socio-economically disadvantaged adolescents. *Public Health Nutr* 17:324–37.
6. Laska MN, Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M (2012) Does involvement in food preparation track from adolescence to young adulthood and is it associated with better dietary quality? Findings from a 10-year longitudinal study. *Public Health Nutr* 15: 1150–8.
7. Fulkerson JA, Friend S, Flattum C, Horning M, Draxten M, et al. (2015) Promoting healthful family meals to prevent obesity: HOME Plus, a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 12:154.
8. Virudachalam S, Chung PJ, Faerber JA, Pian TM, Thomas K, et al (2015) Quantifying parental preferences for interventions designed to improve home food preparation and home food environments during early childhood. *Appetite*.
9. Semba RD, Ramsing R, Rahman N, Bloem MJ (2020) Providing planetary health diet meals to low-income families in Baltimore city during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Agric Food Syst Community Dev* 10: 205–213.
10. Benton D, Maconie A, Williams C (2007) The influence of the glycaemic load of breakfast on the behaviour of children in school. *Physiol Behav* 92: 717-724.