International Journal of Cardiovascular Research

Research Article A SCITECHNOL JOURNAL

Atherosclerotic Burden of Coronary Arteries in Diabetic Patients Using Multi-Slice Computed Tomography Angiography

Karim Mohamed Zaki El-Said*

Department of Cardiovascular Research, Menoufia University, Al Minufya, Egypt

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Karim Mohamed Zaki El-said, Department of Cardiovascular Research, Menoufia University, Al Minufya, Egypt, E-mail: karmozak@protonmail.com

Received date: March 31, 2021; **Accepted date**: April 15, 2021; **Published date**: April 22, 2021

Abstract

Background: Multiple studies have confirmed the extensive burden of coronary artery disease in cases with diabetes. Yet, no optimal assessment technique has been proposed for risk stratification of this population. We performed this study to elucidate the impact of diabetes on the coronary atherosclerotic burden using Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography (CCTA).

Materials and Methods: This is cross-sectional comparative study was conducted at computed tomography unit in Cardiology Department in Police Hospital, Cairo, Egypt, during the period between March 2019 to March 2020 included 100 cases with coronary atherosclerosis who were divided into two groups; the non-diabetic group (63 cases), and the diabetic group (37 cases). All subjects were subjected to complete history taking, thorough physical examination, and routine laboratory investigations. Additionally, echocardiography and CCTA were done for all cases. Also, calcium score was calculated.

Results: The diabetic group displayed significant younger age 50.54 ± 8.80 and 56.57 ± 7.96 for non-diabetic group p=0.001. However, gender and body mass index did not significantly differ between the two groups. Although smoking prevalence was comparable in the study groups, hypertension showed significantly higher prevalence in the diabetic group 46% and 70.3% for non-diabetic and diabetic group respectively and p=0.019. dyslipidemia had significantly higher prevalence in the diabetic group 91.9% for diabetic group and 50.8% for non-diabetic group p<0.001. Most of the studied echocardiographic variables were comparable between the two groups. The diabetic cases showed a significant increase in plaque number where is the range of plaque number in non-diabetic was (0-9) *vs.* (0-13) for diabetic group p<0.001. The diabetic cases showed a significant increase diseased vessel number with average of 2 in non-diabetic group and 3 for diabetic group p<0.001. Obstructive lesions were more common in diabetic cases showing 70.3% of cases while non-diabetic showed 55.6% andp<0.001. Calcium score was significantly higher in the diabetic group compared to non-diabetics showing average of 210 and 165 for diabetic and non-diabetic respectively and p<0.001.

Conclusion: It is evident that diabetes is associated with a heavier atherosclerotic burden in the coronary arteries. Additionally, Calcium score appears to be a reliable option for assessment of the severity of coronary atherosclerosis.

Keywords: Coronary artery disease; Diabetes mellitus; Coronary computerized tomography angiography

Introduction

The patients suffering from Diabetes Mellitus (DM) show a greater prevalence of Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) and they are more liable to develop Myocardial Infarction (MI) compared to non-diabetic personnel. The possibility to develop either MI or coronary death in diabetic patients is similar to the subjects with previous positive history of MI [1].

Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography (CCTA) has emerged as an effective non-invasive tool to diagnose coronary calcifications that usually occur prior to luminal stenosis or development of anginal symptoms. Also, CCTA showed high sensitivity in the detection of coronary stenosis [2,3].

The difference in coronary plaque burden could be the reason behind the increased rate and morbidity of that disease in the diabetic population. Thus, accurate assessment of coronary plaque burden would be of crucial importance for patient risk stratification [4,5].

To date, coronary artery calcium score has been applied to assess the degree of coronary atherosclerosis in cases diagnosed with diabetes and it revealed the presence of extensive atherosclerosis in such population [6-8].

The current study was performed to elucidate the impact of diabetes on the coronary atherosclerotic burden using CTTA.

Materials and Methods

This is cross-sectional comparative study was conducted at computed tomography unit in Cardiology Department in Police Hospital, Cairo, Egypt, during the period between March 2019 to March 2020. This was done after gaining approval from the local ethical committee of Menoufia University. We included a total of 100 symptomatic cases (chest pain, dyspnea, fatigues, syncope, stable angina defined by according to Canadian Cardiovascular Society, unstable angina defined by ESC guidelines) prepared for CCTA who were divided into two groups; the first one included 63 non-diabetic subjects, and the second one included the remaining 37 cases who had diabetes mellitus type II [9-11].

We excluded cases with ST elevation and non-ST elevation myocardial infraction, renal impairment, previous history of coronary revascularization, contraindication to computed tomography (like contrast allergy), or atrial fibrillation from the current study.

Before participating in the study, all patients were informed about the procedures, aim, and drawbacks of each intervention. All subjects were subjected to complete history taking, detailed general examination, thorough cardiac examination (including blood pressure, heart rate, electrocardiogram) and routine laboratory investigations (including blood sugar, glycosylated hemoglobin, cardiac enzymes

and lipid profile). Additionally, transthoracic 2-D echocardiography was ordered to assess the ejection fraction and wall motion abnormalities. Echocardiography was performed using Hewlett Packard HP Sonos 5500 and Philips envisor echo set using a 4 MHz transducer.

For CCTA, all examinations were carried out utilizing Toshiba Multislice Aquilion 320 system (Tokyo, Japan). Initially, a prospective non-enhanced coronary calcium scan was carried out, followed by CCTA. If the HR was ≥ 70 beats/min, extra oral ivabradine (7.5 mg two times per day 3 days before the examination) was commenced.

We defined calcium score as a dense area located in the coronary artery that exceeds the threshold of 130 Hounsfield units. For each patient, a total Agatston score was recorded [12]. Moreover, an experienced CCTA observer visually assessed the presence of coronary plaques using axial images and curved multiplanar reconstructions. Both number and type of plaques (calcified, non-calcified, mixed) were noticed and recorded. Also, the number of diseased vessels was also evaluated.

We used SPSS software for Mac for data collection and analysis. Data were either expressed in the form of number and percentage (for categorical data) or mean and standard deviation (for quantitative data). For the same previous category, median and range were used to describe non-parametric data. We used chi-square or Fischer's exact tests to compare two independent groups of categorical data. While comparing the quantitative data within two independent groups, independent samples t-test was used for parametric data and Mann-Whitney U test for non-parametric data. For all used statistical tests, the cut-off point below 0.05 for probability (p value) was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The included cases had mean age of 56.57 ± 7.96 and 50.54 ± 8.80 years in the non-diabetic and diabetic groups respectively. Age was significantly younger in the diabetic group (p=0.001).

However, no significant difference was reported between the two groups regarding gender, as males represented 55.6% and 64.9% of cases in both groups respectively. Likewise, BMI did not express any statistical difference between the two groups (26.54 kg/m^{2}and 26.68 kg/m^2 in both groups respectively).

As regard comorbidities, smokers represented 41.3% and 29.7% of cases in both groups respectively. However, both hypertension and dyslipidemia had significantly higher prevalence in the diabetic cases (p<0.05). These data are illustrated at Table 1.

	Non-diabetic	Diabetic	P value
	(n=63)	(n=37)	
Age (years)	56.57 ± 7.96	50.54 ± 8.80	0.001
Gender			
Male	35 (55.6%)	24 (64.9%)	0.361
Female	28 (44.4%)	13 (35.1%)	
BMI (kg/m2)	26.54 ± 1.99	26.68 ± 2.46	0.78
Risk factors			
Smoking	26 (41.3%)	11 (29.7%)	0.248
Hypertension	29 (46%)	26 (70.3%)	0.019
Dyslipidemia	32 (50.8%)	34 (91.9%)	<0.001

Table 1: Patient characteristics in the two groups.

The clinical presentation showed significant difference between the two groups P=0.03 atypical chest pain was the most common presentation in both groups (61.9% and 67.6% of cases in the two groups respectively). Other presentations included typical chest pain, dyspnea and palpitation. NYHA class 2 and 3 had higher prevalence in the diabetic group, while the non-diabetic group had higher prevalence of class 1. Table 2 illustrates these data.

	Non-diabetic	Diabetic	P value
	(n=63)	(n=37)	
Clinical presentation			0.03*
Atypical chest pain	39(61.9%)	25 (67.6%)	
Typical chest pain	15 (23.8%)	1 (2.7%)	
Dyspnea	6 (9.5%)	9 (24.3%)	
Fatigue	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Palpitation	3 (4.8%)	2 (5.4%)	
NYHA class			
1	32 (50.8%)	7 (18.9%)	0.006*
2	27 (42.9%)	27 (73%)	
3	4 (6.3%)	3 (8.1%)	

Table 2: *Clinical presentation and NYHA (New York Heart Association Classification) classification of the study groups.*

Diabetic cases had significantly increased plaques compared to non-diabetics (4 *vs.* 1 respectively-p<0.001). Also, the number of disease vessels increased significantly with diabetes (3 *vs.* 2 in the non-diabetic group-p<0.001). Calcified plaques were more prominent in the diabetic group, whereas soft plaques were predominant in the other group. Additionally, obstructive lesions were more prominent compared to the non-obstructive lesions (55.6% and 70.3% of cases in the non-diabetic and diabetic cases respectively). Nevertheless, statistical analysis showed significantly higher prevalence rate in the diabetic population. Table 3 summarizes these data.

	Non-diabetic	Diabetic	P value
	(n=63)	(n=37)	
Total plaque number	1 (0-9)	4 (0-13)	<0.001
Diseased vessel number	2 (0-4)	3 (0-4)	<0.001
Plaque type			
No plaque	22 (34.9%)	4 (10.8%)	<0.001

Calcified	11 (14.5%)	14 (37.8%)	0.001
Soft	21 (33.3%)	6 (16.2%)	0.009
Mixed	9 (14.3%)	13 (35.1%)	0.005
Lesion type			<0.001
Obstructive	35 (55.6%)	26 (70.3%)	
Non-obstructive	28 (44.4%)	11 (29.7%)	

Table 3: Plaque and lesion criteria in the study groups.

When it comes to the calcium score in the current study, it was significantly higher in the diabetic group compared to non-diabetics (210 *vs.* 165 respectively-p<0.001), as showed in Table 4.

	Non-diabetic (n=63)	Diabetic (n=37)	P value
Ca score	165 (27-565)	210 (78- 576)	<0.001*

Table 4: Ca score in the study groups.

Discussion

Diabetes mellitus is a complex metabolic disorder correlated with an augmented possibility of microvascular and macrovascular disease. It has been characterized by remarkable advances in our understanding of the mechanisms [13]. It has been reported that Hyperglycemia and Insulin Resistance (IR) are commonly correlated with low-grade inflammation, oxidative stress, which triggers endothelial dysfunction and hence promotes atherogenesis. Additionally, type II DM is also associated with enhanced platelet and hemostatic functions [14]. The high atherosclerotic burden associated with diabetes has been demonstrated by CTTA and Calcium Score [15-18].

This study was carried out at Police Hospitals aiming to evaluate the difference of the atherosclerotic burden of coronary arteries between patients suffering from diabetes mellitus and non-diabetic patients by using CCTA. We included a total of 100 cases with coronary atherosclerosis that were divided into two groups; the non-diabetic group included 63 cases who had not had diabetes mellitus, and the diabetic group which included the remaining 37 cases who had diabetes.

In our study, the mean age of the included cases was 56.57 years and 50.54 years in the non-diabetic and diabetic groups respectively. Age was significantly older in the non-diabetic group (p=0.001). Malthesh et al. in their study among patients suffering from diabetes mellitus and non-diabetic cases presented with acute coronary syndromes observed that the peak incidence of acute coronary syndrome in patients suffering from diabetes mellitus was in the 4th and 5th decade in comparison with the 5th and 6th decade in non-diabetics [19]. Contrarily, another study did not detect a significant difference between the 2 groups regarding age. The mean age of the included cases was 65.2 years and 65.5 years in the diabetic and non-diabetic groups respectively [14].

In the current study, no significant difference was noted between the two groups regarding gender (p=0.361). Males represented 64.9% and 55.6% of cases in the diabetic and non-diabetic cases respectively. Similarly, Bharath found among diabetics, there were 52.8% male patients and 47.2% female patients, similarly among non-diabetic group, 57.2% were males and 42.8% cases were females. Majority of the cases among both the groups were males [20]. A previous study has also reported that male gender is one of the main non-modifiable risk factors for CAD [21]. Both of the previous studies agree with our findings regarding the increased prevalence of CAD in males.

In our study, no significant difference was reported between the two groups regarding BMI (p=0.764). It had mean values of 26.46 and 26.54 kg/m^2 in the diabetic and non-diabetic groups respectively. Similarly, Deseive and his associates reported no significant difference between the two groups regarding BMI (p=0.22). It had mean values of 28.3 and 28.7 kg/m^2 in the diabetic and non-diabetic groups respectively [14].

In our study, smoking was reported by 29.7% and 41.3% of cases in the diabetic and non-diabetic groups respectively, with no significant difference between the two groups (p=0.248). Another study reported that smokers represented 18% of cases in both groups (p=0.54) [16]. Additionally, it has been recognized that smoking cigarette is a potent risk factor for development of CAD. Previous studies have found a significant correlation between smoking cigarette and atherosclerosis, MI and death from CAD [22].

In our study, there was an increased prevalence of dyslipidemia in the diabetic group versus the non-diabetic one (91.9%*vs.* 50.89% in both groups respectively – p<0.001). Similarly, Laimoud and his associates reported that the prevalence of hyperlipidemia was significantly higher in the diabetic group (84% *vs.* 39.4% of cases in the non-diabetic group–p=0.001) [23]. On the other hand, Rana and his associates reported no significant difference between diabetic and non-diabetic cases regarding the prevalence of hyperlipidemia (p=0.61). It was present in 70% of cases in both groups [16].

In our study, there was significant difference between the 2 groups regarding the clinical presentation p=0.03. Atypical chest pain was the commonest presentation in both groups (67.6% and 61.9% in diabetic and non-diabetic cases respectively). Other presentations included typical chest pain, dyspnea, and palpitations.

Deseive and his associates reported no significant difference between the two groups regarding the presentation (p=0.52). Chest pain was the commonest complaint in both groups as it was reported by 33.3% and 38.3% of cases in both groups respectively, and that agreed with our results. Other presentations included abnormal stress test, dyspnea at exertion, along with arrhythmia [14].

In our study, the diabetic cases tended to have higher NYHA classification compared to non-diabetic subjects (p=0.006). This could be explained by the fact that diabetic cases had more extensive CAD that affected their performance status. Also, diabetes is associated with other systemic complications that have a negative impact on the general condition like its effect on the kidney and other blood vessels [24].

In the current study, increased prevalence, extent, and severity of CAD for DM individuals were remarkably consistent across patient, vessel, and segment-based comparisons (not shown in Tables). The number of diseased vessels increased significantly in the diabetic group compared to non-diabetics (3 *vs.* 2 respectively–p<0.001). Likewise, another recent study reported that the median number of lesions per patient was 3 in the diabetic group *vs.* 1 in the non-diabetic group, with a significant difference between the two groups (p=0.01) [14].

Bharath and Gosavi also reported in their recent study that the prevalence of double vessel and three vessel disease was significantly higher in the diabetic group compared to the non-diabetic group (28.8% and 21.2% *vs.* 22% and 15.6% of cases in the two groups respectively–p=0.03) [20]. Moreover, Malthesh et al. in their study reported that the incidence of triple vessel disease in diabetics was much higher (44%) compared to non-diabetics (16%). The incidence of double vessel disease was slightly higher (26%) compared to non diabetics (20%) [19].

In the current study, obstructive lesions were significantly more common in the diabetic group (p<0.001). It was encountered in 70.3% and 55.6% of cases in the diabetic and non-diabetic groups respectively. In accordance with our findings, Deseive et al. reported that obstructive lesions were significantly more common at the diabetic group (50.9% *vs.* 38% of cases in the non-diabetic cases–p=0.02) [14]. Reda et al. reported that obstructive lesions were present in 40% of cases in the diabetic group, while it was present only in 20% of non-diabetic cases (p=0.026) [6]. Furthermore, Rana et al. also confirmed the previous findings as obstructive lesions were detected in 37% of the diabetic cases versus 27% of cases in the non-diabetic group [16].

In our study, the total number of plaques was significantly higher in the diabetic group (p<0.001). It had median values of 4 and 1 in the diabetic and non-diabetic groups respectively. Another study confirmed the prevalence of high plaque burden and stenosis percent in association with diabetes[23]. Also, Lawand et al. confirmed the previous findings [25].

In the current study, there was a significant difference between the two groups regarding plaque type (p<0.05). Calcified plaques were the commonest in the diabetic group (37.8%), whereas soft plaques were the commonest in the non-diabetic group. The current literature reports conflicting results about the nature of coronary plaques in diabetes. Nicolli et al. reported that such lesions contained higher calcium and lower lipid content in diabetic cases compared to non-diabetics [26]. On the other hand, Farhan et al. negated any significant difference regarding plaque composition between diabetic and non-diabetic population [27].

When it comes to the Ca score in our study, it was significantly elevated in the diabetic group compared to non-diabetics (210 *vs.* 165–p<0.001). The results of the current study are in agreement with the results of other researchers, who have showed that diabetic individuals had higher prevalence and extent of calcium around the coronary vasculature compared to non-diabetics [28,29]. Other researchers found that diabetic patients had a significant increase in Coronary Artery Calcification (CAC) scores (>400) compared with age and gender matched non-diabetic controls [30].

In an additional study, Calcium Score was significantly higher in diabetic patients as it had a mean value of 124.1 compared to 44.9 In non-diabetics (p<0.01) [14]. Another Egyptian study also reported that Ca score was significantly elevated in cases with type II diabetes (123), while it had mean values of 2 and 3 in type I diabetes and controls respectively (p=0.005) [6]. Furthermore, Natali et al. reported that the included diabetic cases had significantly higher atherosclerotic disease score when compared to non-diabetics (352 units *vs.* 211 units-p<0.0001, respectively) [31].

Our results disagreed with that reported in the South Bay Heart Watch Study who reported that baseline calcium score failed to predict the risk in diabetic cases while it succeeded in the non-diabetic group [32].

The present study indicates that calcium scoring is an integral part of the evaluation of patients referred for coronary CT. Calcium scoring is a more sensitive noninvasive tool for the assessment of CAD. In patients with high calcium scoring, significant CAD is suspected. The correlation between calcification with MSCT and angiography is also needed.

A large number of patients should be included in further studies to assess the relation between calcium scoring, diabetes and the severity of CAD.

Conclusion

All in all, it is evident that diabetes is associated with a heavier atherosclerotic burden in the coronary arteries. Additionally, Ca score appears to be a reliable option for assessment of the severity of coronary atherosclerosis.

Conflict of Interest

The author has no conflict of interest.

References

1. Haffner SM, Lehto S, Rönnemaa T, Pyörälä K, Laakso M (1998) Mortality from coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with and without prior myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 339: 229-234.
2. Guaricci AI, De Santis D, Carbone M, Muscogiuri G, Guglielmo M, et al. (2018) Coronary atherosclerosis assessment by coronary CT angiography in asymptomatic diabetic population: A critical systematic review of the literature and future perspectives. Biomed Res Int 2018: 8927281.
3. Sharma A, Coles A, Sekaran NK, Pagidipati NJ, Lu MT, et al. (2019) Stress testing versus CT angiography in patients with diabetes and suspected coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 73: 893-902.
4. Shaw LJ, Raggi P, Schisterman E, Berman DS, Callister TQ (2003) Prognostic value of cardiac risk factors and coronary artery calcium screening for all-cause mortality. Radiology 228: 826-833.
5. Palumbo P, Cannizzaro E, Bruno F, Schicchi N, Fogante M, et al. (2020) Coronary Artery Disease (CAD) extension-derived risk stratification for asymptomatic diabetic patients: usefulness of low-dose Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography (CCTA) in detecting high-risk profile patients. Radiol Med 125: 1249-1259.
6. Reda AA, Al Kersh AM, Al Sherif MM (2016) Extent of coronary atherosclerosis in diabetic and nondiabetic patients by multislice CT calcium scoring. Menoufia Medical Journal 29: 437-442.
7. Assy MM, Khalil MF, AM Eldin A (2020) The relation between the red cell distribution width and coronary artery calcium scoring in diabetic patients undergoing coronary CT angiography. ZUMJ 26: 28-37.
8. Siddiqi Z, Fatima J, Karoli R, Kareem F, Kandhuri S, et al. (2020) Coronary artery calcium score as a predictor of

cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic patients of type 2 diabetes. J Assoc Physicians India 68:23-26.

9. Kaul P, Naylor CD, Armstrong PW, Mark DB, Theroux P, et al. (2009) Assessment of activity status and survival according to the Canadian Cardiovascular Society angina classification. Can J Cardiol 25: e225-e231.
10. Damman P, Van't Hof A, Ten BJ, Jukema J, Appelman Y, et al. (2017) ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: Comments from the Dutch ACS working group. Neth Heart J 25: 181-185.
11. Petersmann A, Nauck M, Müller-Wieland D, Kerner W, Müller UA, et al. (2018) Definition, classification and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 126: 406-410.
12. Neves PO, Andrade J, Monção H (2017) Coronary artery calcium score: Current status. Radiol Bras 50: 182-189.
13. Madonna R, Balistreri CR, De Rosa S, Muscoli S, Selvaggio S, et al. (2019) Impact of sex differences and diabetes on coronary atherosclerosis and ischemic heart disease. J Clin Med 8: 98.
14. Deseive S, Straub R, Kupke M, Broersen A, Kitslaar PH, et al. (2019) Impact of diabetes on coronary artery plaque volume by coronary CT angiography and subsequent adverse cardiac events. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 13: 31-37.
15. Nadjiri J, Hausleiter J, Deseive S, Will A, Hendrich E, et al. (2016) Prognostic value of coronary CT angiography in diabetic patients: A 5-year follow up study. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 32: 483-491.
16. Rana JS, Dunning A, Achenbach S, Al-Mallah M, Budoff MJ, et al. (2012) Differences in prevalence, extent, severity, and prognosis of coronary artery disease among patients with and without diabetes undergoing coronary computed tomography angiography: Results from 10,110 individuals from the confirm (Coronary CT angiography evaluation for clinical outcomes): An International Multicenter Registry. Diabetes care 35: 1787-1794.
17. Wong ND, Nelson JC, Granston T, Bertoni AG, Blumenthal RS, et al. (2012) Metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and incidence and progression of coronary calcium: The multiethnic study of atherosclerosis study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 5: 358-366.
18. Blanke P, Naoum C, Ahmadi A, Cheruvu C, Soon J, et al. (2016) Long-term prognostic utility of coronary CT angiography in stable patients with diabetes mellitus. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 9: 1280-1288.
19. Malthesh M, Sakib T, Mallesh P (2016) Coronary artery involvement in diabetic and non-diabetic patients with acute coronary syndrome. IntJ Sci Study 3: 299-302.
20. Bharath S, Gosavi S, Aparna P, Amit B (2019) Angiography findings in diabetic and non-diabetic patients with cardiac symptoms. J Cardiovasc Dis Res 6: 9-13.
21. Gheisari F, Emami M, Raeisi SH, Samipour S, Nematollahi P (2020) The role of gender in the importance of risk factors for coronary artery disease. Cardiol Res Pract 2020.
22. Inoue T(2004) Cigarette smoking as a risk factor of coronary artery disease and its effects on platelet function. TobInduc Dis 2:27.
23. Laimoud M, Faris F, Elghawaby H (2018) Intravascular evaluation of coronary atherosclerotic lesions among Egyptian diabetic patients with acute coronary syndromes. Egypt Heart J 70: 237-241.
24. Harding JL, Pavkov ME, Magliano DJ, Shaw JE, Gregg EW (2018) Global trends in diabetes complications: A review of current evidence. Diabetologia 62: 3-16.
25. Lawand S, Albabtain S, Houissa K, Kodeih A, Aljuaid M, et al. (2017) Intravascular profile of coronary artery disease in diabetic patients with acute coronary syndrome: Results of the Saudi Coronary Athero-Thrombotic disease (SAUDICAT). World J Cardiovasc Dis 7: 174-184.
26. Niccoli G, Giubilato S, Di Vito L, Leo A, Cosentino N, et al. (2013) Severity of coronary atherosclerosis in patients with a first acute coronary event: A diabetes paradox. Eur Heart J 34: 729-741.
27. Farhan S, Redfors B, Maehara A, McAndrew T, Ben-Yehuda O, et al. (2019) Impact of pre-diabetes on coronary plaque composition and clinical outcome in patients with acute coronary syndromes: An analysis from the prospect study. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 12: 733-741.
28. Hoff JA, Quinn L, Sevrukov A, Lipton RB, Daviglus M, et al. (2003) The prevalence of coronary arterycalcium among diabetic individuals without known coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 41: 1008-1012.
29. Raggi P, Shaw LJ, Berman DS, Callister TQ (2004) Prognostic value of coronary artery calcium screening in subjects with and without diabetes. J Am Coll Cardiol 43: 1663-1669.
30. Schurgin S, Rich S, Mazzone T (2001) Increased prevalence of significant coronary artery calcification in patients with diabetes. Diabetes Care 24: 335-338.
31. Natali A, Vichi S, Landi P, Severi S, L'abbate A, et al. (2000) Coronary atherosclerosis in Type II diabetes: Angiographic findings and clinical outcome. Diabetologia 43: 632-641.
32. Qu W, Le TT, Azen SP, Xiang M, Wong ND, et al. (2003) Value of coronary artery calcium scanning by computed tomography for predicting coronary heart disease in diabetic subjects. Diabetes Care 26: 905-910.