

Journal of Clinical & Experimental Oncology

Editorial

A SCITECHNOL JOURNAL

Diversifying Graduate Education: The Future of Experimental Oncology

Mark A. Brown^{1,2,3*}

¹Flint Cancer Center, Department of Clinical Sciences, Colorado State University

²Department of Ethnic Studies, Colorado State University

³Alliance for Graduate Education for the Professoriate, Colorado State University

Abstract

Educating future generations of oncology researchers is critical for maintaining and enhancing the development of new therapeutics for the clinical management of cancer. The failure of graduate research programs to recruit and retain diverse pools of students represents a major bottleneck in the preparation of the next generation of cancer researchers. To keep pace with an ever changing and increasingly complex global environment of experimental research, we must all do our part to encourage and support the participation of a diverse body of students in science and technology graduate programs who subsequently enter careers in experimental oncology.

Discussion

The number of underrepresented minority (URM) students who successfully complete science and technology graduate programs is dismally low. For example, although 24,861 doctoral degrees were awarded in the sciences by universities in the U.S. in 2005, URM students earned only 4.2% of those degrees [1]. Lack of URM student interest in the sciences is among the key factors driving these disproportionate outcomes. Overall, approximately 30% of U.S. students identify an interest in pursuing a science or technology degree. However among URM populations, only 17% of students report an interest in such degrees [2]. It is widely acknowledged that student engagement and diverse mentors are essential to successful diversity recruitment programs [3]. Therefore, it is critical that academic programs address these factors by enhancing URM student engagement and increasing the diversity among their science faculty.

Experience has shown that if students do not feel engaged within the context of their science program, they will leave their programs prematurely. Therefore, it is essential that science programs support rich undergraduate experiences that encourage real scientific practice and discourse coupled with student engagement in a community that respects, welcomes, and promotes diversity. Student learning communities and peer study groups are examples of effective

*Corresponding author: Mark A. Brown, 801 Oval Drive, Campus Code 1052, #146, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1052, Tel: (970) 491-5782; Fax: (970) 491-3483; E-mail: M.Brown@colostate.edu

Received: April 22, 2013 Accepted: April 26, 2013 Published: May 04, 2013



All articles published in Journal of Clinical & Experimental Oncology are the property of SciTechnol, and is protected by copyright laws. Copyright © 2013, SciTechnol, All Rights Reserved.

methods for social engagement while co-enrollment in a research seminar and involvement in laboratory research are examples of academic engagement. Among such student engagement initiatives, undergraduate research experiences have been proven to increase student interest, persistence, retention, and graduation in science disciplines. Why, then, do so few undergraduates receive exposure to research laboratories? As faculty researchers, it is paramount that we do our part to provide opportunities for undergraduates to participate in mentored inquiry and research. Although undergraduates require a great deal of training and direct mentoring to be effective in a laboratory research setting, this practice is well worth the investment if we are to sustain our discipline. What good are the strides we make today if there is no future generation to put our findings into practice?

A recent study in higher education reported that the number of URM students who enroll at a given university is proportional to the number of URM faculty at that institution [4]. If URM faculty researchers are not well represented in the sciences, how are URM graduate students to find role models? Science departments must improve their faculty recruiting practices with a more concerted effort placed on recruitment of new URM faculty. This, in turn, will support a pipeline of URM students completing science degrees and entering the professoriate where they will act as mentors for the next generation of URM students.

The lack of diversity in science and technology graduate programs is not an issue that can be remedied by student affairs programs, alone. This is a disciplinary problem and the solution will require active participation and commitment from all of us. A diverse population of students and faculty who participate in our graduate programs is the future of experimental oncology.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funding to Mark A. Brown from the National Science Foundation (1060548).

References

- Di Fabio NM, Brandi C, Frehill LM (2008) Professional Women and Minorities: A Total Human Resources Data Compendium. (17th edn) Commission On Professionals in Science and Technology.
- 2. College Board (2005) 2005 College-Bound Seniors: Total Group Profile Report.
- 3. Council of Graduate Schools (2003) Achieving an Inclusive Graduate Community, Washington, DC.
- Umbach PD (2006) The contribution of faculty of color to undergraduate education. Res High Educ 47: 317-345.

Citation: Brown MA (2013) Diversifying Graduate Education: The Future of Experimental Oncology. J Clin Exp Oncol 2:3.

doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2324-9110.1000e108

Author Affiliations

Тор

Department of Surgery, University of Calabar Teaching Hospital, Calabar, Nigeria
 ²Department of Pathology, University of Calabar Teaching Hospital, Calabar, Nigeria

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of SciTechnol submissions

- 50 Journals
- 21 Day rapid review process
 1000 Editorial team
- 2 Million readers
- A More than 5000 facebook*
 Publication immediately after acceptance
- ٠ Quality and quick editorial, review processing

Submit your next manuscript at • www.scitechnol.com/submission