



# Dual Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in the Treatment of Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma

Nightingale Syabbalo\*

## Editorial

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is a highly aggressive and incurable cancer that originates from the mesothelial cells of the pleural cavity [1]. It is associated with a long latency period of inhalation of asbestos fibers of 20-40 years [2]. There are three subtypes of MPM, such as epithelioid (60%), sarcomatoid (10%), and biphasic (30%), which comprise both epithelioid and sarcomatoid histological features. The sarcomatoid type has the poorest prognosis with a median overall survival (OS) of 3 months, whereas the epithelioid and biphasic have median survival of only 7% at 3 years [2]. The non-epithelioid subtypes (sarcomatoid and biphasic) have very poor response to the standard of care (SoC) chemotherapy [2].

Most patients with MPM are diagnosed very late with diffuse, unresectable disease. The standard first-line chemotherapy for MPM consists of the doublet cisplatin plus pemetrexed. The regimen has a low response rate ranging from 26.3% [3] to 41% [4], and extends the OS by 2-3 months. Addition of bevacizumab a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitor to cisplatin plus pemetrexed has been shown to extend the median overall survival up to 19 months (16-22 months), versus 16 months (14-18 months) with cisplatin plus pemetrexed [5]. Although the extension to the OS is not substantial, it is, however, worthwhile in improving the health-related quality of life (HLQoL) of patients with this dreaded incurable cancer.

Several other pan-VEGFR1/2/3 inhibitors, such as cediranib [6], and nintedanib [7], and multi-targeted growth factor blockers, including sorafenib [8], sunitinib [9], and vatalanib [10] did not meet the endpoint in clinical trials, and were associated with a high rate of grade 3-4 treatment-related adverse events. There is unmet need for the investigation of biomarkers of different MPM subtypes, and in the development of novel targeted biotherapeutics for the treatment of MPM, such as immune checkpoint inhibitors.

The innovative idea that the immune system had the ability to suppress several carcinomas, and thus plays an important role in the body's defence against tumour development and growth was propositioned by Paul Ehrlich in 1909 [11,12]. This is a unique characteristic feature

of cancers in immune evasion, whereby the immune system does not mount an effective anti-tumour response [13,14]. Tumour cells express immune suppressive receptors known as immune-checkpoints (IC), which inhibits T cell immune response, such as cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen-4 CTLA-4 [15], programmed cell death-1, and its ligands PD-1/PD-L1/1 [16], and lymphocyte activation gene-2 [17]. CTLA-4 signaling limits the initiation of the T cell in lymph nodes early in the immune response, whereas PD-1 restricts T cell activity later in the tumour microenvironment [18]. The CTLA-4, and PD-1/PD-L1/2 checkpoints are used by tumours to evade and suppress the immune system, which result in tumour growth, spread, and resistance to chemotherapy. Currently, there are several monoclonal antibodies which have been developed to block the immune checkpoints, involved in downregulating the immune responses [19-21], such as nivolumab, ipilimumab, pembrolizumab, and tremelimumab [22-25]. Table 1 lists the angiogenesis inhibitors, and immune checkpoint inhibitors approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and in development.

Biologic	Target	Stage of development
Bevacizumab	VDNR-1/2	Marketed 2004
Nintedanib	VEGFR1-3, PDGFRa, PDGFRb	Phase III
Cediranib	VEGFR1-3, PDGFR	Phase II
Sorafenib	VEGFR1-3, PDGFRb, FGFR1, FGFR2, c-Kit	Phase II
Sunitinib	VEGFR, PDGFR, Flt3, c-Kit	Phase II
Vandetanib	VEGFR1, VEGFR2, PDGFRb	Phase II
Durvalumab	Anti-PD-1	Phase III
Pembrolizumab	Anti-PD-1	Phase II/III
Nivolumab	Anti-PD-1	Marketed 2020
Ipilimumab	Anti-CTLA-4	Marketed 2020
Tremelimumab	Anti-CTLA-4	Phase IIb

**Table 1:** Angiogenesis inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors approved and in development for the treatment of malignant pleural mesothelioma. Bevacizumab is included in the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines, 2016 as an option for front-line therapy in patients with MPM

Several immune checkpoint inhibitors have been shown to be effective in prolonging the median OS, and progression-free survival (PFS), and some have been approved by the FDA for the treatment of several solid cancers, including MPM [22-25]. Single agent ICI immunotherapy is not as effective as dual IC blockade [22]. CTLA-4, and PD-(L1) im-

\*Corresponding author: Nightingale Syabbalo, Department of Physiology and Medicine, Nabanji Medical Centre, zambia; E-Mail: nightingsyab@gmail.com

Received Date: 07 February, 2022; Accepted Date: 21 February, 2022; Published Date: 28 February, 2022

mune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) have an additive and synergistic effects in the treatment of malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM). The combination of nivolumab a CTLA-4 inhibitor and ipilimumab a PD-1 inhibitor has been shown to be significantly superior compared with nivolumab alone in the treatment of MPM. The dual ICI have been shown to significantly improve the disease control rate (DCR) to 52% vs 40% compared with nivolumab alone, and to prolong the objective response rate (ORR) to 28% vs 19%, and the median progression free survival (PFS) to 5.6 months vs 4 months compared with nivolumab alone [26]. However, dual ICI treatment was associated with higher treatment-related adverse effects (93% vs 89%) [26]. Updated results of the IFCT-1501 MAPS2 results revealed median survival of 15.9 months (10.7-22.2) in patients treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab compared with 11.9 months (6.7-17.4) in patients treated with nivolumab alone [27]. Disselhorst et al. [28] have also reported the efficacy of nivolumab plus ipilimumab combination. Treatment with nivolumab plus ipilimumab resulted in a response rate of 38%, and a disease control rate of 68% at 3 months of treatment. Another combination of ICIs was investigated for the treatment of MPM consisting of tremelimumab a CTLA-4 inhibitor, and durvalumab a PD-L1 blocker in 40 patients in the NIBIT-MESO-1 clinical trial [24]. Treatment with dual ICIs resulted in an ORR of 28%, a DCR of 65%, a median PFS of 8.0 months, and an overall survival of 16.6 months [29].

Different ICIs doublet have almost similar efficacy and safety profile, however, the nivolumab plus ipilimumab combination is the most preferred combination, because it has been proved to be effective in all the histological subtypes of MPM, and to be superior to SoC chemotherapy. In phase 3 CheckMate 743 multicentre trial involving 605 patients with unresectable MPM, treatment with nivolumab plus ipilumab resulted in a significant and meaningful improvement in the median OS of 18.1 months versus 14.1 months ( $p=0.0020$ ) in the cisplatin and pemetrexed treated patients [30]. Dual ICI immunotherapy also significantly extended the 2-year survival up to 41% versus 27% in the chemotherapy group. Furthermore, the median duration of response (DOR) for the doublet ICI was 11.0 months versus 6.7 months with chemotherapy. Thirty-two percent of the responders to the immunotherapy experienced response up to 2 years versus only 8% of the patients who received chemotherapy. Noteworthy, the median OS achieved with dual ICIs was almost similar in the epithelioid, and non-epithelioid histopathological subtypes (18.7 months versus 18.1 months, respectively). There was significant benefit observed in the non-epithelioid subgroup for the checkpoint inhibitor combination versus the standard of care chemotherapy (18.7 month vs 8.8 months, respectively). Treatment with cisplatin plus pemetrexed resulted in an expected substantial difference in the efficacy of the chemotherapy between the epithelioid and non-epithelioid histotypes. SoC chemotherapy resulted in significant increase in the median OS of 63% in the epithelioid subtype versus 32% in the non-epithelioid histotype at one year, and 38% versus 8% at two years, respectively. Furthermore, the overall survival benefit of treatment with dual ICIs in the non-epithelioid subtype was remarkable. The OS benefit observed in the non-epithelioid histotype was 18.7 months compared with 8.8 months in the chemotherapy group [30]. This might have been contributed to established poor prognosis, and inferior efficacy of chemotherapy in the non-epithelioid subtype [30].

Recently, analysis of the CheckMate 743 trial has resulted in the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of the combination therapy of nivolumab plus ipilimumab as a first-line treatment for unresectable MPM [30,31].

Malignant pleural mesothelioma is a highly aggressive and incurable cancer that originates from the mesothelial cells of the pleural cavity. The SoC chemotherapy comprising of cisplatin plus pemetrexed with or without bevacizumab has low overall response rate and is not curative. Dual immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as nivolumab plus ipilimumab significantly improve the ORR, DCR, median OS, and PFS, and are effective in both the epithelioid and non-epithelioid histology subtypes of MPM. Dual ICI therapy is superior to SoC chemotherapy, particularly in the non-epithelioid histotype, which has a poor response to chemotherapy. Currently, nivolumab plus ipilimumab immunotherapy is recommended as first-line therapy for MPM.

### Acknowledgements

The authors report no funding for the article

### Conflict of Interest

Authors have declared that no Conflict of Interest

### References


1. Asciak R, George V, Rahman NM (2012) Update on biology and management of mesothelioma. *Eur Respir Rev* 30:200226.
2. Rossini M, Rizzo P, Bonini I, Clementz A, Ferrari R, et al. (2018) New perspectives on diagnosis and therapy of malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Front Oncol* 8:91.
3. Santoro A, O'Brien ME, Stahel RA (2008) Pemetrexed plus cisplatin or pemetrexed plus carboplatin for chemonaïve patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma: results of International Expanded Access Program. *J Thorac Oncol* 3:756-763.
4. Vogelzang NJ, Rusthoven JJ, Symanowski J, Denham C, Kauket E, et al. (2003) Phase III study of pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin versus cisplatin alone in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J Clin Oncol* 21:2636-2644.
5. Zalcman G, Mazieres J, Margery J, Greillier L, Audigier-Valette C, et al. (2016) Bevacizumab for newly diagnosed pleural mesothelioma in the Mesothelioma Avastin Cisplatin Pemetrexed Study (MAPS): a randomized, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 387:1405-1414.
6. Tsao AS, Miao J, Wistuba II, Vogelzang NJ, Heymach JV, et al. (2019) Phase II trial of cediranib in combination with cisplatin and pemetrexed in chemotherapy-naïve patients with unresectable malignant pleural mesothelioma (SWOG S0905). *J Clin Oncol* 37:2537-2547.
7. Scagliotti GV, Gaafar R, Nowak A, Nakano T, van Meebeek J, et al. (2019) Nintedanib in combination with pemetrexed and cisplatin for chemotherapy-naïve patients with advanced malignant pleural mesothelioma (LUME-Meso): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 7:569-580.
8. Dubey S, Janne PA, Krug L, Pang H, Wang X, et al. A phase II

- study of sorafenib in malignant mesothelioma: results of Cancer and Leukaemia Group B 30307. *J Thorac Oncol* 5:1655-1661.
9. Nowak AK, Millward MJ, Creaney J, Francis RJ, Dick IM, et al. (2012) A phase II study of intermittent sunitinib malate as second-line therapy in progressive malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J Thorac Oncol* 7:1449-1456.
  10. Jahan TM, Gu L, Wang X, Kratzke RA, Dudek AZ, et al. (2006) Vatalanib (V) for patients with previously untreated advanced malignant mesothelioma (MM): a phase II study by the Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB 30107). *J Clin Oncol* 24:7081.
  11. Ehrlich P (1909) On the current status of carcinoma research. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 5:73-209.
  12. Locy H, de Mey S, de Mey W (2018) Immunomodulation of the tumor microenvironment: Turn foe into friend. *Front Immunol* 9:2909.
  13. Yoshikawa Y, Emi M, Hashimoto-Tamaoki T (2016) High-Density array-CGH with targeted unmask multiple noncontiguous minute deletions on chromosome 3p21 in mesothelioma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U* 113:13432-13437.
  14. Hotta K, Fujimoto N (2019) Current evidence and future perspectives of immune-checkpoint inhibitors in unresectable malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J ImmunoTher Cancer* 8: 1-7
  15. Pardoll DM (2012) The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* 12:252-264.
  16. Topalian SL, Hodi FS, Brahmer JR, Gettinger SN, Smith DC, et al. (2012) Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-pd-1 antibody in cancer. *N Engl J Med* 366:2443-2454.
  17. Yu X, Huang X, Chen X, Liu J, Wu C, et al. (2019) Characterization of a novel anti-human lymphocyte activation gene 3 (LAG-3) antibody for cancer immunotherapy. *mAbs* 11:1139-1148
  18. Fife BT, Bluestone JA (2008) Control of peripheral T-cell tolerance and autoimmunity via the CTLA-4 and PD-1 pathways. *Immunol Rev* 224:166-168.
  19. Meng X, Huang Z, Teng F, Xing L, Yu L (2015) Predictive biomarkers in PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint blockade immunotherapy. *Cancer Treat Rev* 41:868-876.
  20. Ngiow SF, Young A, Jacquilot N, Yamazaki T, Enot D, et al. (2015) A threshold of antratumor cd8+ t-cell pd1 expression dictates therapeutic response to Anti-PD1. *Cancer Res* 75:3800-3811.
  21. Berger KN, Pu JJ. (2018) PD-1 pathway and its clinical application: A 20 year journey after discovery of the complete human PD-1 gene. *Gene* 638:20-25.
  22. de Gooijer CJ, Borm FJ, Scherpereel A, Baas P (2020) Immunotherapy in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Fron Oncol* 10:187
  23. Yoshikawa Y, Kuribayashi K, Minami T, Ohmuraya M, Kijima T (2020) Epigenetic alterations and biomarkers for immune checkpoint inhibitors – current standards and future perspective in malignant pleural mesothelioma treatment. *Front Oncol* 10:5554570
  24. Gray SG (2021) Emerging avenues in immunotherapy of malignant pleural mesothelioma. *BMC Pulmon Med* 21:148.
  25. Terenziani R, Zoppi S, Fumarolla C, Alfieri R, Bonelli M (2021) Immunotherapeutic approaches in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Cancers* 13:2793.
  26. Scherpereel A, Mazieres J, Greillier L, Lantuejoul S, Dô P, et al. (2019) Nivolumab or nivolumab plus ipilimumab in patients with relapsed malignant pleural mesothelioma (IFCT-1501 MAPS2): a multicentre, open-label, randomized, non-comparative, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 20:239-253.
  27. Zalcman G, Mazieres J, Greiller L, Lantuejoul S, Dô P, et al. (2019) 2692 – Second or third line nivolumab (Nivo) versus nivo plus ipilimumab (Ipi) in malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM): Updated results of the IFCT-1501 MAPS2 randomized phase 2 trial. *Annal Oncol* 28:605-649.
  28. Disselhorst MJ, Quispel-Janssen J, Lalezari F, Monkhurst K, de Vries JE, et al. (2019) Ipilimumab and nivolumab in the treatment of recurrent malignant pleural mesothelioma (INITIATE): results of a prospective, single-arm phase 2 trial. *Lancet Respirat Med* 7:260-270.
  29. Calabrò L, Morra G, Giannaretti D, Armato G, D'Incecco A, et al. (2018) Tremelimumab combined with durvalumab in patients with mesothelioma (NIBIT-MESO-1): an open-label, non-randomised, phase 2 study. *Lancet Respirat Med* 6:451-460.
  30. Baas P, Scherpereel A, Nowak AK, Fujimoto N, Peters S, et al. (2021) First-line nivolumab plus ipilimumab in unresectable malignant pleural mesothelioma (CheckMate 743): a multicenter, randomized, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 397:375-386.
  31. Wright K (2020) FDA approves nivolumab plus ipilimumab for previously untreatable unresectable malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Oncology (Williston Park)* 34:502-503.

#### Author Affiliations

Department of Physiology and Medicine, Nabanji Medical Centre, zambia

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of SciTechnol submissions

- ❖ 80 Journals
- ❖ 21 Day rapid review process
- ❖ 3000 Editorial team
- ❖ 5 Million readers
- ❖ More than 5000 
- ❖ Quality and quick review processing through Editorial Manager System

SUBMIT YOUR NEXT MANUSCRIPT AT ● [WWW.SCITECHNOL.COM/SUBMISSION](http://WWW.SCITECHNOL.COM/SUBMISSION)