

# Journal of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism

## a SciTechnol journal

## **Research Article**

## Lack of Effect of Graded Doses of Vitamin D on Bone Metabolism of Breastfed Infants

Ekhard E Ziegler<sup>1\*</sup>, Winston WK Koo<sup>2</sup>, Steven E Nelson<sup>1</sup> and Janice M Jeter<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

**Objective:** A range of doses of supplemental vitamin D has been shown to be effective in preventing rickets in breastfed infants, but the effect of different doses of vitamin D on bone metabolism and mineral content has not been delineated.

**Methods:** In a randomized trial, breastfed infants received from 2 to 9 months daily supplements of vitamin D in doses of 200 IU/d, 400 IU/d, 600 IU/d or 800 IU/d. Measures of bone metabolism (plasma) were determined periodically and bone mineral content (DXA) was determined at study entry and at the end of winter when infants were 5.5 to 9 months old. The main findings have been reported; here we report findings related to bone metabolism.

**Results:** There were no consistent meaningful effects of vitamin D dose on markers of bone metabolism. Some markers showed changes with age. Bone mineral content increased with age but showed no effect of vitamin D dose.

**Conclusion:** Vitamin D in daily doses from 200 IU/d to 800 IU/d had no measurable effect on bone mineral content or bone metabolism of breastfed infants.

#### Keywords

Vitamin D; Bone metabolism; Rickets; Supplements

## Introduction

Breastfed infants are at risk of vitamin D (VD) deficiency and therefore receive prophylactic supplements of VD. To alleviate uncertainty regarding the best prophylactic dose of VD, we conducted a randomized prospective study in breastfed infants [1]. VD (cholecalciferol) is produced in the skin upon exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation. VD is required for the maintenance of bone health and deficiency of VD can lead to rickets in infants and children [2]. Production of VD is highly variable depending on the intensity and duration of UV exposure as well as factors such skin pigmentation. Production of VD occurs usually intermittently, with storage of VD ensuring an adequate supply during periods of low production. Blood concentration of 25(OH) D is used as indicator of vitamin D status. Besides endogenous production, VD can also be received from dietary sources. Breast milk is highly variable in its VD content, which can be very low in winter at northern latitudes [3-6]. This is why breastfed infants need to receive supplemental VD. Although almost any VD

\*Corresponding author: Ekhard E Ziegler, Department of Pediatrics, University of Iowa, USA, Tel: (319) 354-3469; E-mail: ekhard-ziegler@uiowa.edu

Received: September 13, 2017 Accepted: September 20, 2017 Published: September 27, 2017



All articles published in Journal of Food & Nutritional Disorders are the property of SciTechnol, and is protected by copyright laws. Copyright © 2017, SciTechnol, All Rights Reserved.

supplementation seems to achieve its primary aim, the prevention of rickets, the minimum protective amount is not known exactly. Also, surprisingly little is known about the effects of lesser intakes of VD on the bones of infants.

To provide some of this information, we conducted a prospective study in breastfed infants [1] comparing four different doses of supplemental VD, including a dose (200 IU/d) that was lower than the then recommended dose of 400 IU/d [7]. Study infants received from 2 to 9 months daily VD supplements of 200 IU, 400 IU, 600 IU or 800 IU while receiving only limited amounts of VD from food sources. The study was designed so that the primary evaluation of infants occurred at the end of winter when the likelihood of low vitamin D status is highest [8]. The main findings of the study have been reported [1]. We now present data on the effects of the 4 doses of supplemental VD on bone mineral content and on plasma markers of bone turnover. We asked the question whether low doses of VD or low plasma levels of VD, could be associated with subtle effects on bone health, i.e., subclinical rickets.

## Methods

Study design, subjects and methods have been described in detail in the original publication [1]. In short, this was a randomized blinded trial involving breastfed infants. Since the main assessment of the effect of VD on bone was to be made at the end of winter, only infants who were born between June and November were enrolled as they would have spent several months during solar winter before their final assessment 5.5 and 9 months of age. The infants happened to be all Caucasian. Parents provided informed written consent.

Infants were exclusively breastfed at the time of enrollment at or before 28 days of age. After age 4 months parents were free to introduce complementary foods but not infant formula or milk until after 9 months. At age 2 months (56 days) infants were randomly assigned to one of 4 doses of daily VD supplementation (no placebo group), which they received from 2 until 9 mo. Infants visited the study center every 28 days until 9 mo and for a final time at 12 mo Capillary blood was drawn into heparinized tubes at 2, 4, 5.5, 7.5 and 9 mo. Bone densitometry (DXA) was performed at 2 mo. and again at a visit close to the end of winter (at 5.5, 7.5 or 9 mo). Because DXA measurements involved radiation exposure, parents could opt out of having DXA studies performed.

## Vitamin D supplements

Starting at 2 months of age, infants received daily directly into their mouth 0.5 ml of a solution that provided in each 0.5 ml 200 IU, 400 IU, 600 IU or 800 IU of vitamin  $D_3$  (cholecalciferol). Over the study period, infants consumed on average 103.4% of the intended amount of the supplement solution.

#### Laboratory methods

Plasma obtained at 4, 5.5, 7.5 and 9 mo was assayed for markers of bone turnover. Bone-specific alkaline phosphatase (BAP) was measured by an immunoassay using the METRA BAP kit (Quidel Corp., San Diego, CA) with interassay CV of 5.2% and intraassay CV of 5.8%. Osteocalcin (OC) was determined by an enzyme immunoassay Citation: Ziegler EE, Koo WWK, Nelson SE, Jeter JM (2017) Lack of Effect of Graded Doses of Vitamin D on Bone Metabolism of Breastfed Infants. J Clin Nutr Metab 1:1.

using the METRA kit (Quidel Corp., San Diego, CA) with an interassay CV of 9.8% and intraassay CV of 10.0%. C-terminal telopeptides (CTX) were determined by the CrossLaps ELISA (Immunodiagnostic Systems, Fountain Hills, AZ) with interassay CV 10.9% and intraassay CV 3.0%. Concentrations of 25(OH)D, calcium and parathyroid hormone (PTH) were determined as described earlier [1].

#### **Bone densitometry**

Whole body dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) was performed at study entry at 2 months of age, and again at the end of winter when infants were 5.5, 7.5 or 9 months old. DXA was performed using a Hologic QDR 4500 Delphi A (Hologic Inc., Bedford, MA) densitometer. Manufacturer recommended quality assurance procedures were performed using an anthropomorphic spine phantom; the scanner stayed within the manufacturer-specified tolerance. Infants were lightly swaddled and not sedated for DXA scans. All DXA scans were analyzed at a central site where all scans were reviewed by one investigator (WKK) for the presence of movement artifacts and other technical problems [9,10]. Scan analysis was performed using manufacturer software v13.3:3 adapted from validation studies [11,12]. Only data from technically satisfactory studies are shown. Whole body bone mineral content (BMC) is reported.

## Statistical analysis

Standard descriptive statistics for each measured parameter

were calculated. Linear regression analysis was used to explore the relation between measured parameters and concurrent serum concentrations of 25(OH)D. Whether VD exerts effects on markers of bone metabolism was assessed by analysis of covariance with values obtained at 4 months as covariates. Bone mineral data were assessed by analysis of covariance with current weight and length as covariates. Primary evaluation was at end of winter, when infants had spent several months with low or absent sun exposure.

#### Results

Of the 194 infants in the study at 2 months of age, 105 underwent DXA studies that were technically satisfactory in 74 infants. Of the 128 infants still in the study at the end of winter, 78 underwent DXA studies, which were technically satisfactory in 59 cases. Infants who underwent DXA studies did not differ from infants who did not undergo DXA studies with regard to birth weight (data not shown) nor with regard to maternal serum 25(OH)D concentration 28 days after delivery (DXA (n=91)  $35.5 \pm 9.5$  nmol/L, nonDXA (n=90)  $35.0 \pm 8.8$  nmol/L). We wish to point out that values at 2 months of age were always obtained during summer and before the initiation of vitamin D supplementation.

Data regarding markers of bone turnover are summarized in Table 1. Although there were some modest effects of age or season on plasma Ca and PTH as reported previously [1], there was no effect of

	Age (mo)	200 (IU/d)	400 (IU/d)	600 IU (IU/d)	800 IU (IU/d)
Calcium§ (mg/dL)	4	10.17 ± 0.68 (45) <sup>\$</sup>	10.55 ± 0.92 (44)	10.09 ± 0.90 (42)	10.58 ± 0.86 (33)
	5.5	10.18±0.72	10.42± 0.75	$10.29 \pm 0.72$	10.50 ± 0.83
	7.5	10.19 ± 0.61	10.36 ± 0.69	10.07 ± 0.82	10.40 ± 0.76
	9	10.17 ± 0.69	$10.54 \pm 0.64$	9.87 ± 0.68	10.60 ± 0.73
PTH ¶ (ng/L)	4	14.8 ± 5.8 (43)	15.7 ± 7.6 (42)	16.6 ± 8.7 (40)	18.0 ± 6.9 (32)
	5.5	16.3 ± 6.5	16.3 ± 6.8	17.0 ± 7.3	17.8 ± 10.4
	7.5	17.0 ± 6.5	17.9 ± 9.3	18.5 ± 7.7	19.0 ± 11.5
	9	16.6 ± 4.5	18.1 ± 9.0	21.8 ± 10.9	17.3 ± 7.0
BAP (IU/L)	4	167 ± 42 (46)	188 ± 51 (44)	168 ± 41 (42)	194 ± 56 (33)
	5.5	145 ± 40	158 ± 41	133 ± 33	161 ± 42
	7.5	123 ± 31	140 ± 40	122 ± 38	159 ± 46
	9	141 ± 52	160 ± 68	128 ± 40	170 ± 49
OC (mcg/L)	4	16.8 ± 4 (46)	17.4 ± 6.5 (44)	18.7 ± 6.0 (42)	16.8 ± 5.4 (33)
	5.5	16.7 ± 4	15.3 ± 6.3	17.2 ± 5.6	14.4 ± 4.3
	7.5	19.7 ± 5	18.5 ± 6.6	20.5 ± 7.7	17.0 ± 7
	9	23.0 ± 6	22.4 ± 6.8	24.8 ± 6.7	19.3 ± 8.4
CTX (mcg/L)	4	1.21 ± 0.30 (45)	1.23 ± 0.26 (43)	1.21 ± 0.36 (42)	1.32 ± 0.31 (30)
	5.5	1.16 ± 0.25	1.15 ± 0.28	1.20 ± 0.31	1.21 ± 0.28
	7.5	1.13 ± 0.27	1.12 ± 0.27	1.22 ± 0.39	1.16 ± 0.37
	9	1.25 ± 0.25	1.18 ± 0.26	1.30 ± 0.33	1.13 ± 0.28
25(OH)D (nmol/L)	4	76 ± 28 (46)	81 ± 29 (44)	102 ± 32 (42)	101 ± 27 (33)
	5.5	75 ± 30	85 ± 30	98 ± 27	103 ± 24
	7.5	86 ± 24	94 ± 30	102 ± 33	116 ± 25
	9	93 ± 21	95 ± 23	104 ± 23	118 ± 27

Abbreviations: BAP = bone-specific alkaline phosphatase; OC = osteocalcin; CTX = C-terminal telopeptide; 25(OH)D = 25-hydroxyvitamin D

§ Significant (p<0.0002) increase with VD dose and significant (p<0.0001) increase with age

<sup>¶</sup>Significant (p=0.0267) increase with age

<sup>§</sup> Number of observations

Citation: Ziegler EE, Koo WWK, Nelson SE, Jeter JM (2017) Lack of Effect of Graded Doses of Vitamin D on Bone Metabolism of Breastfed Infants. J Clin Nutr Metab 1:1.

VD dose. Bone-specific alkaline phosphatase showed a very modest yet statistically significant (p<0.01) increase with the highest dose of VD (800 IU/day). Concentration of osteocalcin showed an increase with age but did not show any response to VD. Similarly, there was no effect of VD on CTX. Concentration of 25(OH)D showed, as reported before [1], a marked increase with increasing dose of VD. At no age was there a significant correlation (data not shown) between 25(OH) D concentration and any of the parameters of bone turnover.

Results of determinations of bone mineral content (BMC) are shown in Table 2. As expected, BMC increased with age of infants, but when normalized to body weight the changes were rather modest. Bone mineral density (g/cm<sup>2</sup>) was similarly only modestly affected by age. Analysis of covariance revealed no consistent effect of VD on bone mineral content at the end of winter. Values for 600 IU/d were significantly (p<0.05) lower than values for other doses.

#### Discussion

The objective of VD supplementation of breastfed infants is protection from rickets. In that regard, our study [1] showed that supplementation with four different doses of VD is equally effective. But whether VD, aside from the dramatic protection against rickets, has effects on the bony structures of infants is not well known. In our study we saw a small effect of VD on plasma Ca. We now have examined results of other bone-related plasma measures and we find that there were no effects of VD. This is somewhat counterintuitive as one might expect that a smaller than the standard dose of the effective agent would show a diminished effect on bony structures. We measured known indicators of bone metabolism as well as skeletal mineral content and found absolutely nothing. Over a four-fold range of doses, VD had no measurable effect.

Breastfed infants receive variable but generally very low amounts of VD from breast milk. When endogenous production of VD by the infant is low or absent, as it often is at northern latitudes during winter, and when the breast milk content of VD is low, as it can be when mothers do not take supplemental VD, breastfed infants are at risk of rickets. That is the reason why VD supplementation is recommended for breastfed infants at all times [7]. How low the intake of VD has to be in order for rickets to occur is not known. That is probably the reason why the exact amount of supplemental VD that is required is not known. It is perhaps unknowable as both the content of preformed VD in breast milk as well as the endogenous production of VD is highly variable both between individuals and also over time. More importantly, these are never known in clinical reality.

We assessed bone metabolism and mineral content at the end of winter, at a time when endogenous production of VD is at its lowest and VD content of breast milk also must be assumed to be very low. We also limited intake of preformed VD from non-breast milk sources as best we could by prohibiting the consumption of formula or milk.

Earlier studies examining the relation between VD status and bone mineral content in infants have for the most part not found much of an effect of VD on infant bone. Greer and coworkers [13,14] measured BMC by single-photon x-ray absorptiometry in breastfed infants who did or did not receive supplemental VD. In the earlier of the studies [13], BMC was found to be significantly elevated in VD supplemented infants at 3 months but not at 6 months of age. In the later study [14] BMC was not higher in the supplemented group than in the placebo group. Park and coworkers [15] studied Korean breastfed infants who did not receive supplemental VD and compared them to a group of infants fed a vitamin D-fortified formula. Bone mineral content was determined by lumbar spine DXA at the end of winter. Serum 25(OH)D was lower in the breastfed group, but BMC did not differ between the groups, and there was no correlation between BMC and serum levels of 25(OH)D in individual infants. Abrams et al. [16] determined BMC by whole body DXA at 3 months

	Age (mo)	200 (IU/d)	400 (IU/d)	600 (IU/d)	800 (IU/d)
BMC (g)	2.0	109.6 ± 15.9 (23) <sup>s</sup>	105.4 ± 21.1 (17)	117.4 ± 19.3 (15)	109.4 ± 15.8 (19)
BMC (g/kg)		21.48 ± 1.78	20.92 ± 1.96	20.97 ± 1.72	21.22 ± 1.75
BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )		0.2369 ± .0210	0.2274 ± .0258	0.2400 ± .0270	0.2353 ± .0204
BMC (g)	5.5	159.4 ± 20.1 (6)	161.9 ± 28.0 (7)	157.6 ± 18.7(8)§	144.7 ± 29.3 (3)
BMC (g/kg)		22.17 ± 1.58	21.61 ± 1.50	20.30 ± 1.47§	20.75 ± 1.19
BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )		0.2645 ± .0217	0.2586 ± .0287	0.2491 ± .0289	0.2477 ± .0136
BMC (g)	7.5	172.6 ± 20.4 (10)	183.2 ± 16.5 (4)	175.8 ± 26.1 (4)	182.1 ± 10.3 (4)
BMC (g/kg)		22.41 ± 1.53	22.97 ± 0.62	21.98 ± 1.39	21.93 ± 1.65
BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )		0.2709 ± .0196	0.2847 ± .0177	0.2475 ± .0489	0.2732 ± .0180
BMC (g)	9.0	181.3 (1)	212.3 ± 39.5 (6)	184.3 ± 12.1 (2)	180.2 ± 22.7 (4)
BMC (g/kg)		23.17	23.38 ± 1.77	22.00 ± 0.13	23.00 ± 0.867
BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )		0.2730	0.2933 ± 0.0329	0.2695 ± .0064	0.2755 ± 0.0236
BMC (g)	EOW@	168.5 ± 20.3(17)	184.7 ± 36.6(17)	166.6 ± 21.9(14) <sup>¶</sup>	171.2 ± 25.4 (11)
BMC (g/kg)		22.37 ± 1.47	22.56 ± 1.61	21.02 ± 1.54 <sup>¶</sup>	22.00 ± 1.48
BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )		0.2688 ± 0.0193	0.2768 ± 0.0311	0.2516 ± 0.0326 <sup>¶</sup>	0.2668 ± 0.021

Abbreviations: BMC= Bone Mineral Content; BMD=Bone Mineral Density

<sup>\$</sup>Number of observations

@EOW= end of winter; values represent composite of 5.5, 7.5 and 9.0 months

Significantly (p<0.05) less than 200 IU/d

<sup>®</sup>Significantly (p<0.05) less than 200 IU/d and 400 IU/d

## Table 2: Bone mineral content (means ± SD)

Citation: Ziegler EE, Koo WWK, Nelson SE, Jeter JM (2017) Lack of Effect of Graded Doses of Vitamin D on Bone Metabolism of Breastfed Infants. J Clin Nutr Metab 1:1.

of age in breastfed infants. They found no correlation between BMC and serum levels of 25(OH)D. In a large prospective study conducted in Canada [17] breastfed infants received daily VD supplements of 400 IU, 800 IU, 1200 IU or 1600 IU. BMC was determined by wholebody DXA. Serum concentrations of 25(OH)D increased in dosedependent fashion, but there was no effect of VD on BMC.

Thus the present findings are generally in line with the largely negative earlier findings. We found no effect of a wide range of VD doses on bone mineral content or on markers of bone metabolism. We considered our findings somewhat counterintuitive. If adequate amounts of VD protect from rickets, one might expect to see some diminution of bone mineral content or of bone turnover at low VD intakes compared to higher intakes. But that was not the case. A possible explanation could be that minimal required intakes of VD are lower than hitherto thought and that 200 IU/d is well above the required intake. Other possibilities include casual (intermittent) exposure to ultraviolet light that produced sufficient VD to prevent effects of low supplemental VD intakes to become apparent. Another possible explanation is that in the presence of adequate intakes of minerals, especially calcium, the role of VD in bone mineralization may be limited.

We need to point out that all study infants received supplemental VD and our conclusion that there was no effect of VD therefore rests on the lack of a dose effect of VD rather than a comparison of treated vs untreated infants. Our data thus provide no evidence of an effect of a range of doses of VD on established measures of bone turnover or on bone mineral content. To our surprise, there was no relation between plasma concentrations of 25(OH)D and any of the bone parameters we measured. The apparent slightly lower bone mass in infants receiving 600 IU/d is unexplained but the significance of this finding is unclear.

#### Acknowledgement

The study was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov under NCT00494104.

#### Funding

This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health grant HD048870 Registered with Clinical Trials.gov under NCT00494104.

#### References

- Ziegler EE, Nelson SE, Jeter JM (2014) Vitamin D supplementation of breastfed infants: a randomized dose-response trial. Pediatr Res 76: 177-183.
- 2. Holick MF (2007) Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med 357: 266-281.
- Specker BL, Tsang RC, Hollis BW (1985) Effect of race and diet on humanmilk vitamin D and 25-hydroxyvitamin D. Am J Dis Child 139: 1134-1137.
- Hollis BW, Pittard WB, Reinhardt TA (1986) Relationships among vitamin D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, and vitamin D-binding protein concentrations in the plasma and milk of human subjects. J Clin Endocinol Metab 62: 41-44.
- Ala-Houhala M, Koskinen T, Parviainen MT, Bidskotpi JK (1988) 25-hydroxyvitamin D and vitamin D in human milk: effects of supplementation and season. Am J Clin Nutr 48: 1057-1060.
- Wagner CL, Hulsey TC, Fanning D, Ebeling M, Hollis BW (2006) High-dose vitamin D3 supplementation in a cohort of breastfeeding mothers and their infants: a 6-month follow-up pilot study. Breastfeeding Med 1: 59-70.
- Gartner LM, Greer FR (2003) Prevention of rickets and vitamin D deficiency: New guidelines for vitamin D intake. Pediatrics 111: 908-910.
- Ziegler EE, Hollis BW, Nelson SE, Jeter JM (2006) Vitamin D deficiency in breastfed infants in Iowa. Pediatrics 118: 903-910.
- Koo WW, Massom LR, Walters J (1995) Validation of accuracy and precision of dual energy X-ray absorptiometry for infants. J Bone Mineral Res 10: 1111-1115.

- Hammami M, Koo WWK, Hockman EM (2004) Technical considerations for fan beam dual energy x-ray absorptiometry body composition measurements in pediatric studies. J Parent Ent Nutr 28: 328-333.
- Koo WWK, Hammami M, Hockman EM (2002) Use of fan beam dual energy X-ray absorptiometry to measure body composition of piglets. J Nutr 132: 1380-1383.
- Chauhan S, Koo WW, Hammami M, Hockman EM (2003) Fan beam dual energy X-ray absorptiometry body composition measurements in piglets. J Am Coll Nutr 22: 408-414.
- Greer FR, Searcy JE, Levin RS, Steichen JJ, Steichen-Asche PS, Tsang RC (1982) Bone mineral content and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in breast-fed infants with and without supplemental vitamin D: one-year follow-up. J Pediatr 100: 919-922.
- 14. Greer FR, Marshall S (1989) Bone mineral content, serum vitamin D metabolite concentrations, and ultraviolet Blight exposure in infants fed human milk with and without vitamin D<sub>2</sub> supplements. J Pediatr 114: 204-212.
- Park MJ, Namgung R, Kim DH, Tsang RC (1998) Bone mineral content is not reduced despite low vitamin D status in breast milk-fed infants versus cow's milk based formula-fed infants. J Pediatr 132: 641-645.
- Abrams SA, Hawthorne KM, Rogers SP, Hicks PD, Carpenter TO (2012) Effects of ethnicity and vitamin D supplementation on vitamin D status and changes in bone mineral content in infants. BMC Pediatr 12: 6.
- Gallo S, Comeau K, Vanstone C, Agellon S, Sharma A, et al. (2013) Effect of different dosages of oral vitamin D supplementation on vitamin D status in healthy, breastfed infants. A randomized trial. JAMA 309: 1785-1792.

## Author Affiliation

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Iowa, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, USA

#### Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of SciTechnol submissions

80 Journals

- 21 Day rapid review process
- 3000 Editorial team
- 5 Million readers
- More than 5000 facebook<sup>4</sup>
- Quality and quick review processing through Editorial Manager System

Submit your next manuscript at • www.scitechnol.com/submission

Top