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#### Abstract

Theta functions play a major role in many current researches and are powerful tools for studying integrable systems. The purpose of this paper is to provide a short and quick exposition of some important aspects of meromorphic theta functions for compact Riemann surfaces. The study of theta functions will be done via an analytical approach using meromorphic functions in the framework of Mumford. Some interesting examples will be given: the classical Kirchhoff equations in the cases of Clebsch and Lyapunov-Steklov, the Landau-Lifshitz equation and the sine-Gordon equation.
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## Introduction

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{jk}}\right)_{1 \leq \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k} \leq g}$ a square matrix of order $g$, symmetric and $\operatorname{Im} B>0$. We consider the Riemann theta function $\theta(z \mid B)$ defined by its Fourier series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{g}}} e^{\pi i\langle\mathrm{Bm}, \mathrm{~m}\rangle+2 \pi i\langle\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~m}\rangle}, \mathrm{z} \in C^{\mathrm{g}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~m}\rangle=\sum_{j=1} Z_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~m}_{j .} . \\
& \langle\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~m}\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{g} Z_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~m}_{j .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The convergence of this series for all $\mathrm{z} \in C^{\mathrm{g}}$ results from the fact that $\operatorname{Im} B>0$. We show that this series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact sets and thus the function $\theta(z \mid B)$ is holomorphic over $C^{g}$.

When the matrix B is fixed, we will put in the sequel $\theta(z) \equiv \theta(z \mid B)$. Let $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{g}\right)$ be a basis of $\mathbb{C}^{g}$ with $\left(e_{j}\right)_{\mathrm{k}}=\delta_{j k}$ and let $f_{j}=\left(b_{1 j} \ldots b_{g j}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ be the columns of the matrix B or in condensed form $f_{j}=b_{e j} j=1, \ldots, g$.

## Theorem 1

The function $\theta$ satisfies the functional equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta\left(z+e_{j}\right)=\theta(z) \\
& \theta\left(z+f_{j}\right)==e^{-\pi i b_{\mathrm{jj}}-2 \pi i z_{j}} \theta(z) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]For any $m, n Z^{g}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(z+n+B_{m}\right)=e^{-\pi i(\mathrm{Bm}, \mathrm{~m})-2 \pi i\langle\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{z}\rangle} \theta(z) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any vectors of the form $n+B_{m}$ is a period of the Riemann theta function, they constitute the period lattice.

Proof: The first relation results from formula (1). Concerning the second relation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta\left(z+f_{j}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{m} \in \mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{g}}} e^{\pi i\langle\mathrm{Bm}, \mathrm{~m}\rangle+2 \pi i\left\langle\mathrm{~m}, z+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\rangle} \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{m} \in Z^{\mathrm{g}}} e^{\pi i\left\langle\mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}\right), \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle+2 \pi i\left\langle\left(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}\right), z+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\rangle}, \mathrm{n} \equiv \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}} \\
& =e^{\pi i\left\langle\mathrm{Be}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\rangle-2 \pi i\left\langle\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}, z\right\rangle} \theta(z) z \\
& =e^{-\pi i \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{ij}}-2 \pi i z_{j}} \theta(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

And, the relation (3) immediately follows.
Thus the vectors $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{g}$ are the periods of function $\theta(z)$. The vectors $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{g}$ are called the quasi-periods. The function $\theta$ is quasiperiodic and is well defined on the Jacobian variety of X .

Consider a generalization of the theta function (1) called the theta function with characteristics $\alpha$ and $\beta$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right](z \mid B) \sum_{m \in Z^{g}} e^{\pi i\langle B(m+\alpha), m+\alpha\rangle+2 \pi i\langle z+\beta), m+\alpha\rangle}, \alpha, \beta \in R^{g}  \tag{4}\\
& =e^{\pi i\langle B \alpha, \alpha\rangle+2 \pi i\langle z+\beta, \alpha\rangle} \theta(z+\beta+B \alpha) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

To simplify the formulas, we simply note:
$\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right](z) \equiv \theta\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right](z \mid B)$
when the matrix $B$ is fixed. In particular,
$\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right](z)+\theta(z)$
From equation (3), we have also
$\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}m \\ n\end{array}\right](z)+\theta(z), \quad m, n \in Z^{g}$
Consequently, it is sufficient to consider the functions $\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right](z)$ where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots \alpha_{g}\right), \beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g}\right) \in \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{g}}$ are such that: $0<\alpha_{j}, \beta_{1}<1, j=$ $1, \ldots, g$.

## Theorem 2

The periodicity property of the theta-functions with characteristics is given by the following relation.

$$
\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right](z)(z+n+B m)=e^{-\pi i\langle B m, m\rangle-2 \pi i\langle z, m\rangle+2 \pi i\langle\alpha, n\rangle\langle\langle\alpha, n\rangle-\langle\beta, m\rangle\rangle} \theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right](z)
$$

## Proof

It suffices to reason as in the previous proposition.
If $\alpha_{1}, \ldots \alpha_{g}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g}$ a are 0 or, we will say that the set $\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right]$ is a
half period. In addition, a half period $\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right]$ is said to be even if $4(\alpha, \beta)$
$\equiv 0$ (mod. 2) and odd if not.

## Theorem 3

The function $\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right](z)$ is even if half-period $(z)$ is even and odd if half-period $\left[\begin{array}{l}\alpha \\ \beta\end{array}\right]$ is odd. In addition, we have $\theta(z)=\theta(-z)$.

Proof: By making the substitution
$z \rightarrow-z, m \rightarrow-m-2$,
into (4), we obtain immediately for the general term of the series,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\pi i\langle B(-m-\alpha)-m-\alpha\rangle,+2 \pi i\langle-z+\beta,-m-\alpha\rangle}= \\
& e^{\pi i\langle B(m+\alpha), m+\alpha\rangle,+2 \pi i\langle z+\beta, m+\alpha\rangle} e^{4 \pi i\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, from the above definition, the sign $e^{4 \pi i(\alpha, \beta)}$ is determined by the parity of the number $4(\alpha, \beta)$, and the last relation results.

For example, the number of even half-periods is equal to $2^{g^{-1}}\left(2^{g}+\right.$ 1) and of odd half-periods to $2^{8^{-1}}\left(2^{g}-1\right)$.

## Meromorphic Functions Expressed in Terms of Theta

 FunctionsConsider the case of Riemann surfaces of genus 1, i.e., elliptic curves. Let us recall that an elliptic function is a doubly periodic meromorphic function. In this case, the matrix $B$ is reduced to a number that we denote by $b$ with $\operatorname{Im} b \geq 0$. The numbers 1 and $b$ generate a parallelogram of the periods denoted $\Omega$. The four theta functions corresponding to the half-periods $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 / 2 \\ 1 / 2\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}1 / 2 \\ 0\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 1 / 2\end{array}\right]$ are.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \theta_{1}(z) \equiv \theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 / 2 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right](z), \\
& \theta_{2}(z) \equiv \theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 / 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right](z), \\
& \theta_{3}(z) \equiv \theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right](z)=\theta(z) \\
& \theta_{4}(z) \equiv \theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right](z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These functions are holomorphic on. Moreover, we immediately deduce from theorem 3 that $\theta_{1}(z)$ is odd and that $\theta_{2}(z), \theta_{3}(z), \theta_{4}(z)$, are even. To determine the zeros of the functions $\theta_{i}$ it is sufficient, from theorem 2, to look for them in the parallelogram of the periods $\Omega$. Since $\theta_{1}(z)$ is odd, then $\theta_{1}(0)=0$ and the other zeros of $\theta_{j}(z)$ are obtained via theorem 2. In particular we see that $\theta_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{b}{2}\right)=0$. We claim that
this zero of $\theta_{3}(z)$, in
$\Omega$ is unique, which is easy to prove. Namely, we need to prove that along boundary $\delta \Omega$.

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial_{\Omega}} d \log \theta_{3}(z)=1
$$

We have

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\propto 2} \frac{d \theta_{3}(z)}{\theta_{3}(z)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{0}^{1}\left(d \log \theta_{3}(z)-d \log \theta_{3}(z+b)\right)
$$

$+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{0}^{b}\left(d \log \theta_{3}(z+1)-d \log \theta_{3}(z)\right)$
From theorem 2, we have $\theta_{3}(z+1)+\theta_{3}(z)$ and $\theta_{3}(z+b)=e^{-\pi i b-2 \pi i} \theta_{3}(z)$,
So
$\left.\int d \log \theta_{3}(z+1)-d \log \theta_{3}(z)\right)=0$,
And
$\int_{0}^{b}\left(d \log \theta_{3}(z)-d \log \theta_{3}(z+b)\right)=\int_{0}^{b}\left(d \log \theta_{3}(z)-d \log e^{-\pi i b-2 \pi i} \theta_{3}(z)\right)$,
$=\int_{0}^{b} 2 \pi i d z=1$,
Therefore
$\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{d \theta_{3}(z)}{\theta_{3}(z)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial \Omega} d \log \theta_{3}(z)=1$,
and we have the following result:

## Theorem 4

The function $\theta(z)$ has in the parallelogram of the periods $\Omega$ generated by 1 and $b)$, only one zero at the point $z=\frac{1}{2}(1+b)$.

By putting $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{it}, \mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{R}_{+}$, we shall see (following [16]) $\theta$ (x|it) as the fondamental periodic solution to the heat equation. Equation (1) is written
$\theta(x \mid i t)=\sum_{m \in Z} e^{-\pi m^{2} t+2 \pi i m x}=1+2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi m^{2} t} \cos 2 \pi m x$
Thus $\theta$ is a real valued function of two real variables. This function is periodic with respect to x , i.e., $\theta(\mathrm{x}+1 \mid \mathrm{it})=\theta$ (x|it). Since
$\frac{\partial \theta(x \backslash i t)}{\partial t}=2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(-\pi m^{2}\right) e^{-\pi m^{2} t} \cos \pi m x$,
$\frac{\partial \theta(x \backslash i t)}{\partial x^{2}}=2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(-4 \pi^{2} m^{2}\right) e^{-\pi m^{2} t} \cos \pi m x$,
Then
$4 \pi \frac{\partial \theta(x \backslash i t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial^{2} \theta(x \backslash i t)}{\partial x^{2}}$
This suggests that we characterise the theta function $\theta(x \mid i t)$ as the unique solution to the heat equation with a certain periodic initial data when $t=0$. To examine the limiting behaviour of $f$, we integrate it against a test periodic function

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n} a_{n} e^{2 \pi i n x}
$$

Then
$\operatorname{Lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{1} \theta(x \mid i t) f(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{m, n} a_{n} e^{-\pi m^{2} t+2 \pi i(m+n) x} d x$,
$=\operatorname{Lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{m, n} a_{n} e^{-\pi m^{2} t} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 \pi m i(m+n) x} d x$,
$=\underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\operatorname{Lim}} \sum_{m} a_{m} e^{-\pi m^{2} t}$
$=\sum_{m} a_{m}$
$=\mathrm{f}(0)$
Hence $\theta(x \mid i t)$ converges, as a distribution, to the sum of the delta
functions at all integral points $x \in Z$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. The uniqueness of this solution results from the fact that

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{t \rightarrow 0} \theta(x \mid i t)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{m}(x),
$$

Where $\delta_{m}$ is the distribution of Dirac at $m$. We have previously studied the convergence of the theta function. Thus $\theta(x \mid i t)$ may be seen as the fundamental solution to the heat equation when the space variable x lies on a circle R/Z. Similarly, the function $\theta_{1}(\mathrm{z})$ satisfies a third-order differential equation. Indeed, it is enough to use the relation.

$$
\wp(z)=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \log \theta_{1}(z)+C
$$

where C is a constant $\wp(\mathrm{z})$ is the Weierstrass function defined by

$$
\wp(z)=-\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{\omega \in \wedge\{\{0\}}\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\right)
$$

$\wedge=Z \omega_{1} \oplus Z \omega_{2}$ is the lattice generated by two non-zero complex $\begin{aligned} & \text { numbers } \omega_{1} \text { and } \omega_{2} \text { such that: } \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\omega_{2}}{\omega_{1}}\right)>0 \text {, and take into account the } \\ & \text { differential equation: }\end{aligned}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\wp^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}=4(\wp(z))^{3}-g 2 \wp(z)-g 3, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
g_{2}=60 \sum_{\omega \in \wedge\{0\}} \frac{1}{\omega^{4}}, g_{3}=140 \sum_{\omega \in \wedge\{\{0\}} \frac{1}{\omega^{6}}
$$

Moreover, we have the following classical identities [1-16]:

## Theorem 5

The theta function satisfies the addition formulas.

$$
\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right]\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)=\sum_{2 \delta\left(z_{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}} \hat{\theta}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}+\delta \\
\gamma+\varepsilon
\end{array}\right]\left(2 z_{1}\right) \hat{\theta}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}+\delta \\
\gamma-\varepsilon
\end{array}\right]\left(2 z_{2}\right)
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \varepsilon, \in \mathrm{R}^{5}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right](z) \equiv \theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right](z \mid B), \hat{\theta}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right](z) \equiv \theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right](z \mid 2 B) \\
& \theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{1} \\
n_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left(z_{1}\right) \cdot \theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{2} \\
n_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left(z_{2}\right) \cdot \theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{3} \\
n_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left(z_{3}\right) \cdot \theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{4} \\
n_{4}
\end{array}\right]\left(z_{4}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{g}} \sum_{2\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in\left(z_{2}\right)^{2 g}} e^{-4 \pi i\left\langle m_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle} \cdot \theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
K_{1}+a_{1} \\
l_{1}+a_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left(\omega_{1}\right) \ldots \theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
K_{4}+a_{1} \\
l_{4}+a_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left(\omega_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{z}_{4}\right)=\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{w}_{4}\right) \mathrm{M}$ with
$\mathrm{M}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1\end{array}\right)$
Here $\binom{m_{1}}{n_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{m_{4}}{n_{4}},\binom{k_{1}}{l_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{k_{4}}{l_{4}}$ are arbitrary vectors of order 2 g with

$$
\left(\binom{m_{1}}{n_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{m_{4}}{n_{4}}\right)=\left(\binom{k_{1}}{l_{1}}, \ldots,\binom{k_{4}}{l_{4}}\right) \mathrm{M}
$$

and 1 denotes the unit matrix of order $g$ or 2 g .
In particular, we have the formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right](z)\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right](0)\right)^{2}=\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right](z)\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right](0)\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 / 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right](z)\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 / 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right](0)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 / 2 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right](z)\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right](0)\right)^{2}=\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right](z)\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 / 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right](0)\right)^{2} \\
& -\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 / 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right](z)\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right](0)\right)^{2}, z
\end{aligned}
$$

as well as the identity of Jacobi obtained by posing $\mathrm{z}=0$,

$$
\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right](0)\right)^{4}=\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 / 2
\end{array}\right](0)\right)^{4}+\left(\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 / 2 \\
0
\end{array}\right](0)\right)^{4}
$$

We shall see how to express the meromorphic functions on the torus $\mathrm{C} / \Lambda$ in terms of the theta function. Several approaches are possible:

## Approach 1

Recall that any rational fraction (hence a meromorphic function on $\mathrm{P}^{1}(\mathrm{C})$ ) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{z-P_{j}}{z-Q_{j}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By analogy, let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$ be points of the Riemann surface $X$ and $f(z)$ a function having zeros at the points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ and poles at points $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$. It is assumed that condition (i) (or what is equivalent, condition (ii)) of Abel's theorem1 is satisfied. Since X has genus 1, then there exists a single holomorphic differential $\omega$ on X . Still according to Abel's theorem [1], the existence of the function $f(z)$ imposes the condition.

$$
P_{1}+P_{2}+\cdots+P_{m}=Q_{1}+Q_{2}+\cdots+Q_{m}
$$

Note that for $\mathrm{m}=1 ; \mathrm{P}_{1}=\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ and the only valid case is $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})=$ constante. In the case where $\mathrm{m} \geq 2$, the function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ may be expressed in terms of $\theta$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=C \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\theta\left(z-P_{j}-\frac{1}{2}(1+b)\right)}{\theta\left(z-Q_{j}-\frac{1}{2}(1+b)\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant. This formula may be considered as the straightforward generalization of the representation (7) for the meromorphic (rational) function on $\mathrm{P}^{1}(\mathrm{C})$. The most important difference is that in (7) the positions of the poles and zeros are arbitrary. To verify that $f(z)$ in (8) is indeed single valued on $X$ we have to check that $f(z+1)=f(z)$ and $f(z+b)=f(z)$.

The first relation is trivial and according to relation (2) and the fact that $\sum_{j=1}^{m} P_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}$, we also have the second relation. Thus f is doubly periodic. The function $f$ is meromorphic with zeros in $Q_{j}+\frac{1}{2}(1+b)$ and poles in $P_{j}+\frac{1}{2}(1+b)$.

## Approach 2

The function $\log \theta(z)$ can be expressed as the sum of a doubly periodic function of periods $1, b$ and a linear function. Therefore the function $\frac{d_{2}}{d z^{2}} \log \theta(z)$ is doubly periodic and meromorphic over X , with a double pole in $z=\frac{1}{2}(1+b)$. This function coincides with the Weierstrass function $\wp(Z)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp(Z)=\frac{d_{2}}{d z^{2}} \log \theta(z)+C, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where C is a constant chosen in such a way that Laurent's series expansion of $\wp(Z)$ en $\mathrm{Z}=0$ has no constant term. The connection given by (9) between the Weierstrass function $\wp(Z)$ and the theta function is obvious in view of
the right-hand side (as noted above) and the information obtained above on the location of the zeros of $\theta(z)$. Now from (9) and (6) it follows that the function $(\mathrm{z})$ satises a dierential equation of $3^{\text {rd }}$ order.

## Approach 3

Recall that meromorphic functions with simple poles on $\mathrm{P}^{1}(\mathrm{C})$ can be written in the form

$$
f(z)=\sum_{j} \frac{\lambda_{j}}{z-P_{j}}+C
$$

where $\lambda_{j} \in C$ and $C$ is a constant. By analogy, we consider on $X$ the function

$$
f(z)=\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \frac{d}{d z} \log \theta\left(z-P_{j}\right)+C,
$$

where $P_{j} \in X, \lambda_{j} \in C$ such that $\Sigma_{j} \lambda_{j}=0$ et $C$ is a constant. This function is doubly periodic and meromorphic with simple poles in $P_{j}+\frac{1}{2}(1+b)$ and residues $\lambda_{j}$ at these points.

We have seen how the meromorphic functions on the torus $\mathrm{C} / \Lambda$ can be expressed in terms of theta function. Moreover, for $\mathrm{g}=1$, we know that: $\mathrm{X} \simeq \mathrm{C} / \Lambda \simeq \mathrm{Jac}(\mathrm{X})$. So the construction that was done previously on the torus $\mathrm{C} / \Lambda$ or what amounts to the same on $\operatorname{Jac}(\mathrm{X})$ is also valid on the Riemann surface X . For example, let us take the case of a function having poles in $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ and zeros in $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}$ on the Riemann surface X . According to Abel's theorem, we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi\left(P_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi\left(Q_{j}\right)
$$

and it is possible, according to approach 1 described above, to express the function $f(\mathrm{P})$ in terms of theta function using the formula

$$
f(P)=C \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\theta\left(\varphi(P)-\varphi\left(Q_{j}\right) \frac{1}{2}(1+b)\right)}{\theta\left(\varphi(P)-\varphi\left(P_{j}\right) \frac{1}{2}(1+b)\right)}
$$

Let us now pass to the case where the surface of Riemann $X$ is of genus $g>1$. Recall that the Jacobi inversion problem [5,16] consists in determining $g$ points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{g}$ on $X$ such that:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{g} \int_{P_{0}}^{P_{k}} \omega \equiv z_{j}(\bmod L), j=1, \ldots ., g
$$

Where $\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{g}}\right) \in \operatorname{Jac}(\mathrm{X}),\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ is a base of holomorphic differentials on $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{P}_{0}^{8}$ is a base point on $\mathrm{X}^{8}$ and L is a lattice generated by the column vectors of the period matrix. In other words, the
problem is to determine the divisor $D \sum_{j=1}^{g} P_{j}$ in terms of $\mathrm{z}=\left(\mathrm{z}_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{g}}\right) 2 \mathrm{Jac}(\mathrm{X})$ such that if ' is the Abel-Jacobi map, then the equation $\varphi(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{Z}$ is satisfied. We will study the Jacobi inversion problem using theta functions.

## Theorem 6

If the function defined by

$$
\zeta(P)=\theta(\varphi(P)-C), C \in \mathbb{C}^{g}
$$

is not identically zero, then it admits g zeros (counted with their order of multiplicity) on the normal representation $\mathrm{X}^{*}$ of X , denoted by the symbol $a_{1} b_{1} a_{1}^{-1} b_{1}^{-1} \ldots a_{g} b_{g} a_{g}^{-1} b_{g}^{-1}$, where $\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{g}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ Is a symplectic basis of the homology group $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Z})$. Moreover, if $\mathrm{P}_{1}$, ..., $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$ denote the zeros of this function then we have on the Jacobian variety $\operatorname{Jac}(\mathrm{X})$ the formula.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{g} \varphi\left(P_{k}\right) \equiv c-\Delta,(\text { mod. periods })
$$

Where $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$ is the vector of the Riemann constants defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+b_{j j}\right)-\sum_{k \neq j}\left(\int_{a k} \omega_{k}(P) \int_{P_{0}}^{P} \omega_{j}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, g . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof:

Note that $\mathrm{X}^{*}$ is a polygon with 4 g sides identified in pairs. If one traverses the boundary $\delta \mathrm{X}^{*}$ of this polygon, we notice that each side is traversed twice, one in the direction of its orientation and the other in the opposite direction. So $\delta \mathrm{X}^{*}$ may be represented as follows

$$
\partial X^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{g}\left(a_{j}+b_{j}-a_{j}^{-1}-b_{j}^{-1}\right)
$$

To calculate the number of zeros, we have to compute the integral (logarithmic residue): $\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial x^{*}} d \log \xi(P)$ We denote by $\zeta$ the value of the function $\zeta(\mathrm{p})$ on $a_{1}^{-1}, b_{1}^{-1}$ and by $\zeta^{+}$the value of $\zeta(\mathrm{p})$ on the segments $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}$. We will use similar notations $\varphi^{+}, \varphi^{-}$for the Abel map $\varphi(p)$. In this notation the above integral can obviously be rewritten in the form

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial x^{*}} d \log \xi(P)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\int_{a k}+\int_{b k}\left(d \log \zeta^{+}-d \log \zeta-\right)\right.
$$

Note that

$$
\varphi_{j}^{-}(\mathrm{P})=\varphi_{j}^{-}(\mathrm{P})+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{jk}}
$$

if $P \in a_{k}$ and
$\varphi_{j}^{+}(\mathrm{P})=\varphi_{j}^{-}(\mathrm{P})+\delta_{\mathrm{jk}}$,
if $\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$. From Theorem 4, we have
$\mathrm{d} \log \varphi^{-}(\mathrm{P})=\mathrm{d} \log \varphi^{+}(\mathrm{P})-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \omega_{\mathrm{k}}$ on $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$,
$d \log \varphi^{+}(P)=d \log \varphi^{-}(P)$ sur $b_{k}$,
Therefore (2), implies

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial x} d \log \zeta=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{g} \int_{a k} 2 \pi i \omega_{k}=g
$$

which shows that the function $\zeta(\mathrm{p})$ admits g zeros on X . To prove the second part of the theorem, we consider the integral

$$
I_{j}=\int_{a x^{*}} \varphi_{j}(\mathrm{P}) d \log \zeta(\mathrm{P}), \mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~g} .
$$

By designating $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{l}}, \ldots, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$ the zeros of the function $\zeta(\mathrm{p})$ and taking into account the residual theorem, we have

$$
I_{j}=\varphi_{j}\left(P_{1}\right)+\ldots+\varphi_{j}\left(P_{g}\right)
$$

By reasoning as before, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{j}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\int_{a k}+\int_{b k}\right)\left(\varphi_{j}^{+} d \log \zeta^{+}-\varphi_{j}^{-} d \log \zeta^{-}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{g} \int_{a k}\left(\varphi_{j}^{+} d \log \zeta^{+}-\left(\varphi_{j}^{+}+b_{j k}\right)\left(d \log \zeta^{+}-2 \pi i \omega_{K}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{k=1}^{g} \int_{b k}\left(\varphi_{j}^{+} d \log \zeta^{+}-\left(\varphi_{j}^{+}-\delta_{j k}\right) d \log \zeta^{+}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\int_{a k} \varphi_{j}^{+} \omega_{k}-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} b_{j k} \int_{a k} d \log \zeta^{+}+b_{j k}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b k} d \log \zeta^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\int_{a k} d \log \zeta^{+}=2 \pi \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Similarly, by designating by $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}$ (resp. $Q_{J}^{*}$ ) the beginning (or end) of the contour $b_{j}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{b j} d \log \zeta^{+}=\log \zeta^{+}\left(Q_{J}^{*}\right)-\log \zeta^{+}\left(Q_{j}\right)+2 \pi \mathrm{im}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{~m}_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& =\log \theta\left(\varphi\left(Q_{j}\right)+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}-C\right)-\log \theta\left(\varphi\left(Q_{j}\right)-C\right)+2 \pi \mathrm{im}_{\mathrm{j}} \\
& =-\pi \mathrm{i} b+2 \pi \mathrm{i} C_{j}-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varphi_{j}\left(Q_{j}\right)+2 \pi \mathrm{im}_{\mathrm{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{j}=\left(b_{1 j} \ldots b_{g j}\right)^{T}, j=1, \ldots, g$, denote the columns of the matrix $B$. Therefore,

$$
I_{j}=C_{j}-\frac{1}{2} b_{i j}-\varphi_{j}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{g} \int_{a k} \varphi_{j}(P) \omega_{k}(\bmod . \text { periods })
$$

The beginning of the contour aj will be designated by $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and its end obviously, coincides with the beginning $Q_{j}$ of the contour $b_{j}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{j}=C_{j}-\frac{1}{2} b_{i j}-\varphi_{j}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\int_{a j} \varphi_{j}(P) \omega_{j}+\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
k \neq j}}^{g} \int_{\substack{ }} \varphi_{j}(P) \omega_{k} \\
& =C_{j}-\frac{1}{2} b_{j j}-\varphi_{j}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_{j}^{2}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)-\varphi_{j}^{2}\left(R_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
k \neq j}}^{g} \int_{a k} \varphi_{j}(P) \omega_{k}, \\
& =C_{j}-\frac{1}{2} b_{i j}-\varphi_{j}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)-1+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\varphi_{j}^{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+1\right)^{2}-\varphi_{j}^{2}\left(R_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
k \neq j}}^{g} \int_{j}(P) \omega_{k}, \\
& =C_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\left(1+b_{j j}\right)+\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\
k \neq j}}^{g} \varphi_{j}(P) \omega_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

which ends the proof.
In general, the vector $\Delta$ depends on $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ except in the particular case $\mathrm{g}=1$, Where $=\frac{1}{2}(1+\mathrm{b})$. We show that $2 \Delta=\phi-(\mathrm{K})$, where K is the canonical divisor. Hence, by skillfully choosing the point $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ we can express K in a very simple way. For example, consider the case where X is a hyperelliptic curve of genus g of affine equation.

$$
\omega^{2}=\prod_{j=1}^{2 g+2}\left(\xi-\xi_{j}\right)
$$

where all $z_{j}$ are distinct. Let $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{g}\right)$ be a symplectic basis of the homology group $\left.H_{1}(X, Z)\right)$ and let

$$
\sigma: X \rightarrow X,(\omega, \xi) \rightarrow(-\omega, \xi)
$$

be the hyperelliptic involution (i.e., which consists in exchanging the two sheets of the curve X ) with $\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=-\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and $\sigma\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}$. Note that

$$
\int_{a j} \omega_{k}=-\int_{\sigma(a j)} \omega_{k}=-\int_{a j} \sigma^{*} \omega_{k} .
$$

Then, by choosing $\mathrm{P}_{0}=\xi_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+b_{j j}\right)+\sum_{\mathrm{k} \neq \mathrm{j}} \int_{a j} \omega_{k}\left(\int_{\xi 1}^{\xi 2 k+1} \omega_{j}+\int_{\xi 2 k+1}^{P} \omega_{j}\right), \mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~g}, \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(1+b_{i j}\right)+\sum_{\mathrm{k} \neq \mathrm{j}} \int_{\xi 1}^{\xi 2 k+1} \omega_{j} \int_{a j} \omega_{k} \\
& +\sum_{\mathrm{k} \neq \mathrm{j}} \int_{\xi 2 k+1}^{\xi 2 k+2}\left(\left(\int_{\xi 2 k+1}^{p} \omega_{j}\right) \omega_{K}(p)\left(\int_{\xi 2 k+1}^{\sigma p} \omega_{j}\right) \omega_{K}(\sigma p)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account that $\omega_{\mathrm{k}}(\sigma \mathrm{P})=\omega_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{P})$ and modulo a linear combination $n+B_{m}$ (a lattice generated by the column vectors of the period matrix), we obtain in this case the formula:

$$
\Delta_{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{g} b_{j k}+\frac{j}{2}, 1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{g}
$$

The zeros of a theta function on $\mathbb{C}^{g}$ form a submanifold of $\operatorname{Jac}(\mathrm{X})$ of dimension $g-1$ called theta divisor $\Theta=\{z: \theta(z)=0\}$. It is invariant by a finite number of translations and can be singular. Equation (3) implies that $\Theta$ is well defined on the Jacobian variety $\operatorname{Jac}(X)$. Since $\theta(z)$ $=\theta(\mathrm{z})$, we deduce that $\Theta$ is symmetric: $-\Theta=\Theta$.

## Theorem 7

(Riemann). The function
$\zeta(\mathrm{P})=\theta(\phi(\mathrm{P})-\mathrm{C}), \mathrm{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$,
is either identically zero, or admits exactly $g$ zeros $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{g}$ on $X$ such that:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{g} \varphi\left(Q_{j}\right)=\mathrm{c}+\Delta
$$

where $\Delta$ is defined by (10).
This result means that when we embed the Riemann surface $X$ into its Jacobian variety $\operatorname{Jac}(\mathrm{X})$ via the Abel map $\phi$, then its image is fully include in the theta divisor, or it meets it in exactly $g$ points. In fact, if $\zeta(\mathrm{P})$ is not identically zero on X , then its zeros coincide with the points $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and determine the solution of the Jacobi inversion problem $\phi(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{z}$ for the vector $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{C}-$. Recall that $\mathrm{D} \in \operatorname{Div}(\mathrm{X})$ is a special divisor if and only if $\operatorname{dim} L(D) \geq$ and $\operatorname{dim} L(K-D) \geq 1$ where $K$ is a canonical divisor. In the
case where $\mathrm{D} \geq 0$ a divisor is special if and only if $\operatorname{dim} \Omega^{1}(\mathrm{D}) \neq 0$ Note also that the special divisors of the form
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{P}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathrm{N}=\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{D} \geq g$,
coincide with the critical points of the Abel-Jacobi map,
$\operatorname{Sym}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \operatorname{Jac}(\mathrm{X}), D \mapsto\left(\int_{0}^{D} \omega_{1}, \ldots, \int_{0}^{D} \omega_{N}\right)$,
or what amounts to the same

$$
\phi(\mathrm{P} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{PN})=\phi(\mathrm{P} 1)+\cdots+\phi(\mathrm{PN})
$$

These critical points are the points $\mathrm{P} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{PN}$ where the rank of the differential of this application is less than g. From Riemann's theorem 7, the function $\zeta(P)=\theta(\phi(P)-C)$ is identically zero if and only if

```
\(\mathrm{C} \equiv \phi\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)+\cdots \cdots+\phi\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)+\Delta\)
where \(\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{g}}\) is a special divisor.
```


## Theorem 8

Let $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{g}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$ be a vector such that the function

$$
\zeta(\mathrm{P})=\theta(\phi(\mathrm{P})-Z-\Delta),
$$

is not identically zero on X . Then the function $\zeta(\mathrm{P})$ admits exactly $g$ zeros $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{g}$ on $X$ which determine the solution of the Jacobi inversion problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(\mathrm{D})=Z \text {, where } \mathrm{D}=\sum_{j=1}^{g} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}} \text { i.e., the solution of } \\
& \varphi_{j}\left(P_{1}\right)+\ldots+\varphi_{j}\left(P_{g}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{g} \int_{P_{0}}^{P_{k}} \omega_{j} \equiv z_{j}, 1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{g} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

(recall that the symbol三, as usual, means congruence modulo the period lattice). Moreover, the divisor $D$ is not special and the points $P_{1}$, $\ldots, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$ are only determined from the system (12) up to a permutation.

## Proof

The first assertion results from theorem 1. Moreover, the divisor $D=\sum_{J=1}^{g} P_{j}$ is not special because otherwise the function $\zeta(\mathrm{P})$ would be identically null from what precedes, which is absurd. For the last point, assume that the system (12) admits another solution $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{g}$. We will have on the Jacobian variety Jac(X),

$$
\sum_{J=1}^{g} \varphi\left(P_{j}\right) \equiv \sum_{J=1}^{g} \varphi\left(\mathrm{Q}_{j}\right)
$$

where $L$ is the lattice generated by the period matrix. According to Abel's theorem, this means that there exists a meromorphic function on X having zeros in $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and poles in $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$. Now we have just shown that the divisor is non-special, so such a function must be a constant, which means that $P_{j}=Q_{j}, j=1, \ldots, g$.

For example, if

$$
D=\sum_{J=1}^{g} P_{j}
$$

is a non-special divisor on a Riemann surface X of genus g , then the function $\theta(\phi(P)-\phi(D)-\Delta)$, admits exactly $g$ zeros on $X$ at the points $P$ $=P_{1}, \ldots, P_{g}$. We have the following characterization of the theta divisor:

## Theorem 9

We have $\theta(C)=0$, if and only if there exists $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{g-1} \in X$ with base point P 0 , such that:

$$
C \equiv \varphi\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)+\ldots+\varphi\left(\mathrm{P}_{g-1}\right)+\Delta=\sum_{J=1}^{g-1} \int_{p_{0}}^{p_{j}} \omega+\Delta .
$$

## Proof

Let us return to the function

$$
\zeta(\mathrm{P})=\theta(\phi(\mathrm{P})-C),
$$

and assume first that it is non-zero on X . By theorem 6, this function admits $g$ zeros $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{g}$ on $X$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} \equiv \phi\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)+\ldots+\phi\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)+\Delta . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of these zeros being unique and as by hypothesis $\theta(C)=0$, then $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{P}_{0}$. Therefore $\phi\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)=\phi\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ and from (13), we have

$$
\mathrm{C} \equiv \phi\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)+\ldots+\phi\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}-1}\right)+\Delta .
$$

Let us now turn to the case where the function $\zeta(\mathrm{P})$ is not identically zero on X. According to theorem 6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} \equiv \phi\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)+\ldots+\phi\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)+\Delta . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is a special divider. The latter implies the existence on X of a non-constant meromorphic function $\zeta$ having poles in Q 1 , ..., Qg with $\left(P_{0}\right)=0$. Then,

$$
\phi\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}-1}+\mathrm{P}_{0}\right) \equiv \phi\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{g}}\right),
$$

according to the Abel theorem where $\mathrm{P} 1+\cdots+\mathrm{Pg}-1+\mathrm{P} 0$ is the divisor of the zeros of $\zeta \mathrm{It}$ is therefore su-cient to replace in the formula (14), $\phi\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{Q}_{g}\right)$, by $\phi\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\ldots+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}-1}+\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)$ while taking into account that $(\mathrm{PO})=0$.

## Theorem 10

Let D be a non-special divisor of degree $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ a positive divisor of degree $n$, a positive divisor of degree $n,\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ a base of holomorphic differentials on a base of holomorphic differentials on $\mathrm{X}, \varphi(\mathrm{P})=\left(\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \omega_{1}, \ldots, \int_{p_{0}}^{p} \omega_{g}\right)$ the Abel map with base point $\mathrm{P}_{0}, \eta$ normalized differential of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ kind 2 on X having poles on $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ and residues $-1, \mathrm{U}=\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ the vector-periods with $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{K}}=\int b_{k} \eta$ and finally $\Delta$ the vector defined using the Riemann constants by (10). If $\psi$ is a meromorphic function on X having $\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{n}$ poles on $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$, then this function is expressed in terms of theta function by the formula

$$
\varphi(P)=A \frac{\theta(\varphi(P)-\varphi(D)+U-\Delta)}{\theta(\varphi(P)-\varphi(D)-\Delta} e^{\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \eta}, \mathrm{~A}=\text { constante. }
$$

Proof: It should be noted that the integration contour in integrals $\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \eta$, and $\int_{p_{0}}^{p} w_{j}, j=1, \ldots ., g$ is the same. The function $\psi$ ( P ) admits poles only on $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$. Let us show that this function is well defined on X; i.e., it does not depend on the path of integration. In other words, it does not change when P goes through any cycle $\quad \gamma=\sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(n_{k} a_{k}+m_{k} b_{k}\right) \in H_{1}(X, Z)$ the expressions $\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \eta$, and $\varphi(P)=\left(\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \omega_{1}, \ldots \ldots, \int_{p_{0}}^{p} \omega_{g}\right)$ is transformed respectively as follows:

$$
\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \eta+\sum_{k=1}^{g} m_{k} \int_{b_{k}} \eta=\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \eta+2 i\langle m, U\rangle, m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{g}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{g},
$$

and Moreover, using formula (4), one obtains

$$
\frac{\theta(\varphi(P)-\varphi(D)+U-\Delta}{\theta(\varphi(P)-\varphi(D)-\Delta}=\frac{e^{-\pi i\langle B m, m\rangle-2 \pi i\langle m, \varphi(P)-\varphi(D)+U-\Delta\rangle}}{e^{-\pi i(B m, m\rangle-2 \pi i(m, \varphi(P)-\varphi(D)-\Delta\rangle}}=e^{-2 \pi i\langle m, U\rangle},
$$

and the result follows from the above transformation.
On the Riemann surface X of genus g , singular functions possessing g poles and essential singularities play a crucial role in the study of integrable systems, in particular the Korteweg-de Vries equation $K-d V$ ),

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-6 u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}=0,
$$

the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation (KP),

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(4 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-12 u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial^{3} x}{\partial x^{3}}\right)=0,
$$

the nonlinear Schrödinger equation
$\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}=0$,
the Boussinesq equation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}=0
$$

the Camassa-Holm equation

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial t \partial x^{2}}+3 u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=2 \frac{\partial u \partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial x^{2}}+u \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}
$$

whose exact solutions are solitons [14], i.e., self-reinforcing solitary waves that maintain their shape as they propagate at a constant rate. We shall see by analogy from the previous theorem how to express these functions (known as Baker-Akhiezer functions) in terms of theta functions and at the same time prove their existence. Let $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$, $\ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}$ be points on a Riemann surface X of genus $g$ and $z_{j}$ are local parameters such that : $z_{j}\left(Q_{j}\right)=\infty$. We associate to each point $\mathrm{Q}_{j}$ an arbitrary polynomial denoted by $q_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)$.

## Let

$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{P}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$,
be a positive divisor on X and $\psi(\mathrm{P})$ a function (called BakerAkhiezer function) satisfying the following conditions :
(i) $\psi(P)$ is meromorphic on $X \backslash\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}\right\}$ and admits poles only at the points $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ of the divisor D .
(ii) the function $\psi(\mathrm{P}) e^{-q_{j}\left(Z_{j}(P)\right)}$ is analytic in the neighbourhood of $Q_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$.

The condition (ii) can be replaced by this condition: the function $\psi$ admits an essential singularity of the form $\psi(\mathrm{P}) \sim c e^{-q_{j}\left(Z_{j}(P)\right)}$ at the points $Q_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n$ where $c$ is a constant. These functions $\psi(P)$ form a vector space that we note $L \equiv L\left(D ; Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$.

## Theorem 11

Let $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{P}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}}$ be a non-special divisor of degree $g$. Then the space L is of dimension 1 and its basis is described using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}(P)=\frac{\theta(\varphi(P)-\varphi(D)+V-\Delta)}{\theta(\varphi(P)-\varphi(D)-\Delta} e^{\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \eta} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\eta$ is a normalized differential of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind ${ }^{3}$ having poles at the points $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{n}$, the main parts coincide with the polynomials $q_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)$, where $\mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, n, \mathrm{~V}=\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}=\int b_{k} \eta, \mathrm{k}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~g}$,

$$
\varphi(P)=\left(\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \omega_{1}, \ldots, \int_{p_{0}}^{p} \omega_{g}\right),
$$

the Abel map with base point $\mathrm{P}_{0}, \Delta$ is the vector defined using the Riemann constants by (10). The integration contour in integrals $\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \eta$, and $\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \omega_{j}, \mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~g}$ is the same.

Proof: The function $\psi_{1}(\mathrm{P})$ has poles on the divisor D and essential singularities at the points $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}$. The function $\psi_{1}(\mathrm{P})$ is well defined; It does not depend on the integration path. Using the notations and reasoning similar to those of theorem 10, we obtain

$$
\frac{\theta(\varphi(P)-\varphi(D)+V-\Delta}{\theta(\varphi(P)-\varphi(D)-\Delta}=e^{-2 \pi i\langle m, v\rangle}
$$

and the result follows from the transformation used in the proof of the preceding theorem. Moreover, according to the Riemann-

Rock theorem $[5,15$ ], the dimension of the space $L$ is equal to deg $D-g+1$. As deg $\mathrm{D}=g$, then the dimension of the space in question is equal to 1 , which proves the uniqueness of the function with $\psi_{1}$ (to a multiplicative constant). Let $\psi_{1} \in \mathrm{~L}$ be any function. Therefore, the quotient $\frac{\psi}{\psi_{1}}$ is a meromorphic function with $\mathrm{g}(=\operatorname{deg} \mathrm{D})$ poles. The divider of the poles of $\frac{\psi}{\psi_{1}}$ coincides with the divisor $D^{\prime}=P_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots+P_{g}^{\prime}$ of the zeros of $\psi_{1}(P)$
and we must have $\phi\left(D^{\prime}\right)-\phi(D)=V y$ choosing the polynomials $q_{j}$ with sufficiently small coefficients (or what amounts to the same, the vectors of V sufficiently small), then the theta function which is in the numerator of the above expression is not identically zero. Therefore, its divisor $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ of the poles is not special and therefore $\frac{\psi}{\psi_{1}}$ is a constant.

## Examples

It is well known that the solutions of many integrable systems are given in terms of theta functions associated with compact Riemann surfaces. We will see below some solutions for some interesting problems.

As a first example, we consider the motion of a solid in a perfect fluid described using the Kirchhoff equations [3]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{P}=p \Lambda \frac{\partial H}{\partial l} \\
& i=p \Lambda \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}+l \Lambda \frac{\partial H}{\partial l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{p}=\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{p}_{3}\right) \in \mathrm{R}^{3}, l=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}\right) \in \mathrm{R}^{3}$ and H is the Hamiltonian. This system has the following three first integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \\
& H_{2}=P_{1}^{2}+P_{2}^{2}+P_{3}^{2}, \\
& H_{3}=p_{1} l_{1}+p_{2} l_{2}+p_{3} l_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Two cases can be distinguished: case of Clebsch and case of Lyapunov- Steklov. In the case of Clebsch,

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3}\left(a_{k} p_{k}^{2}+b_{k} l_{k}^{2}\right)
$$

with condition
$\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right) b_{1}^{-1}+\left(a_{3}-a_{1}\right) b_{2}^{-1}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) b_{3}^{-1}=0$.
The above system is written in the form of a Hamiltonian vector field. A fourth first integral is given by

$$
H_{4}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3}\left(b_{k} p_{k}^{2}+\ell l_{k}^{2}\right)
$$

where is a constant such that

$$
\rho=b_{1}\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right)\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right)^{-1}=b_{2}\left(b_{3}-b_{1}\right)\left(a_{3}-a_{1}\right)^{-1}=b_{3}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1}-b_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

The method of resolution obtained by Kötter [9] is extremely complicated and relies on an astute choice of two variables $\mathrm{s}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{2}$. Using the substitution $b_{k} \rightarrow \rho b_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq 3$ and an appropriate linear combination of $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, we can rewrite the above equations in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}=A \\
& b_{1} p_{1}^{2}+b_{2} p_{2}^{2}+b_{3} p_{3}^{2}+l_{1}^{2}+l_{2}^{2}+l_{3}^{2}=B \\
& b_{1} l_{1}^{2}+b_{2} l_{2}^{2}+b_{3} l_{3}^{2}-b_{2} b_{3} p_{1}^{2}-b_{1} b_{3} p_{2}^{2}-b_{1} b_{2} p_{3}^{2}=c \\
& p_{1} l_{1}+p_{2} l_{2}+p_{3} l_{3}=D
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A, B, C$ et $D$ are constants. Let us introduce coordinates $\phi_{k}, \psi_{k}$, $1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 3$ by setting

$$
\psi_{k}=p_{k} T_{+1}+l_{k} S_{+1},
$$

and

$$
\psi_{k}=p_{k} T_{-1}+l_{k} S_{-1}
$$

where
$T_{ \pm 1}=\frac{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{3}\left(z_{1}-b_{j}\right)}}{\sqrt{z_{1}-b_{k}} \sqrt{\frac{\partial R}{\partial_{z 1}}}}+\frac{\sqrt{\prod_{j=1}^{3}\left(z_{2}-b_{j}\right)}}{\sqrt{z_{2}-b_{k}} \sqrt{\frac{\partial R}{\partial_{z 2}}}}$
$S_{ \pm 1}=\frac{\sqrt{z_{1}-b_{k}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\partial R}{\partial_{z 1}}}}+i \frac{\sqrt{z_{1}-b_{k}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\partial R}{\partial_{z 2}}}}, \quad R(z)=\prod_{i=1}^{4}\left(z-z_{i}\right)$
and $\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}, \mathrm{z}_{3}, \mathrm{z}_{4}$ are the roots of equation

$$
A^{2}\left(z^{2}-z \sum_{k=1}^{3} b_{k}\right)+B z-C+2 D \sqrt{\prod_{k=1}^{3}(z-b k)}=0 .
$$

Let $\mathrm{s}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{2}$ be the roots of equation

$$
\psi_{1}^{2}\left(v_{1}^{2}-s\right)^{-1}+\psi_{2}^{2}\left(v_{2}^{2}-s\right)^{-1}+\psi_{3}^{2}\left(v_{3}^{2}-s\right)^{-1}=0
$$

Where

$$
v_{k}=\left(\frac{\sqrt{z_{3}-b_{k}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\partial R}{\partial_{z 3}}}}+\frac{\sqrt{z_{4}-b_{k}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\partial R}{\partial_{z 4}}}}\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{z_{1}-b_{k}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\partial R}{\partial_{z 1}}}}+\frac{\sqrt{z_{2}-b_{k}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\partial R}{\partial_{z 2}}}}\right)^{-1}, 1 \leq k \leq 3 .
$$

We can express the variables $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}$ in terms of s 1 and s 2 [9]. After some algebraic manipulations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{s}_{1}=\frac{\left(a s_{1}+b\right) \sqrt{P_{5}\left(s_{1}\right)}}{s_{2}-s_{1}}, \\
& \dot{s}_{2}=\frac{\left(a s_{2}+b\right) \sqrt{P_{5}\left(s_{2}\right)}}{s_{1}-s_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ are constants and $\mathrm{P}_{5}(\mathrm{~s})$ is a polynomial of degree five having the following form:

$$
P_{5}(s)=s\left(s-v_{1}^{2}\right)\left(s-v_{2}^{2}\right)\left(s-v_{3}^{2}\right)\left(s-v_{1}^{2} v_{2}^{2} v_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

Consequently, the integration is done by means of hyperelliptic functions of genus 2 and the solutions can be expressed in terms of theta functions. The problem of this motion is a limit case of the geodesic flow on $\operatorname{SO}(4)$. Let us remind that for an algebraically completely integrable system [1], we require that the invariants of the deferential system be polynomial (in appropriate coordinates) and that the complex manifolds obtained by equating these polynomial invariants with generic constants form the afine part of a complex algebraic torus (Abelian variety) in such a way that the complex flow generated by the invariants are linear on these complex tori. Meromorphic solutions dependent on a sufficient number of free parameters play a crucial role in the study of these systems. We show $[1,6]$ that the differential system.
in question is algebraically completely integrable and the corresponding flow evolves on an Abelian surface
where the lattice is generated by the period matrix.
$\Omega=\left(\begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & a & c \\ 0 & 4 & c & b\end{array}\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & c \\ c & b\end{array}\right)>0,(a, b, c \in \mathbb{C})$

The affine surface $M_{c}$ defined by putting the invariants of the system equal to generic constants, can be completed into a nonsingular compact complex algebraic variety (Abelian surface) $\tilde{M}_{c}=M_{c} \cup D$ by adjoining at in infinity a smooth curve D of genus 9. The latter is a double cover of an elliptic curve $\varepsilon$ ramified over 16 points. The application

$$
\tilde{M}_{c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{7},\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \mapsto\left[1, X_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \ldots, X_{7}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right]
$$

is an embedding of $\tilde{M}_{c}$ into $\mathbb{C} P^{7}$ where $\left(1, \mathrm{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{7}\right)$ forms a base of the space $L(D)$ of meromorphic functions with at worst a simple pole along D (The functions $\mathrm{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{X}_{7}$ are expressed in a simple way as a function of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ ). The solutions of the differential system in question in terms of theta functions are given by

$$
X_{k}(t)=\frac{\theta_{k}\left[\left(t_{1}^{0}, t_{2}^{0}\right)+t\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right]}{\theta_{0}\left[\left(t_{1}^{0}, t_{2}^{0}\right)+t\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right]}, k=1, \ldots ., 7
$$

where $\left(\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{7}\right)$ forms a base of the space of theta functions associated with D . The two functions theta $\theta_{0}$, , are odd while the six functions theta $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{6}$ are even. In the case of Lyapunov-Steklov,

$$
H_{1}=H=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3}\left(a_{k} p_{k}^{2}+b_{k} l_{k}^{2}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{3} c_{k} p_{k} l_{k}
$$

$$
\mathrm{a}_{1}=\mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{~b}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2}-\mathrm{b}_{3}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{a}_{2}=\mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{~b}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{3}-\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{a}_{3}=\mathrm{A}^{2} \mathrm{~b}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{1}-\mathrm{b}_{2}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{B}
$$

$$
c_{1}=A b_{2} b_{3}+C, c_{2}=A b_{1} b_{3}+C, c_{3}=A b_{1} b_{2}+C, \text { where } A, B \text { and } C
$$ are constants. A fourth first integral is given by

$$
\left.H_{4}=H=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3}\left(d_{k} p_{k}^{2}+l_{k}^{2}\right)-A \sum_{k=1}^{3} d_{k} p_{k} l_{k}\right)
$$

where $d_{1}=A^{2}\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right)^{2}, d_{2}=A^{2}\left(b_{3}-b_{1}\right)^{2}, d_{3}=A^{2}\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}$. A long and delicate calculation [10] shows that in this case too, the integration is performed using hyperelliptic functions of genus two and the solutions can be expressed in terms of theta functions. Another interesting example concerns the Landau-Lifshitz equation [2,11]:

$$
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}=S \times \frac{\partial^{2} S}{\partial x^{2}}+S \times J S
$$

where $\mathrm{S}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right), s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}+s_{3}^{2}=1$ and $\mathrm{J}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{J}_{1}, \mathrm{~J}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}_{3}\right)$. This equation describes the effects of a magnetic field on ferromagnetic materials. The real solutions (with magnetic anisotropy of the axis of easy magnetization type) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\frac{\theta(\omega+d+m) \theta(\omega+d+m+r)-\theta(\omega+d) \theta(\omega+d+r)}{\theta(\omega+d) \theta(\omega+d+m+r)-\theta(\omega+d+r) \theta(\omega+d+m)} \\
& S_{2}=-i \frac{\theta(\omega+d+m) \theta(\omega+d+m+r)-\theta(\omega+d) \theta(\omega+d+r)}{\theta(\omega+d) \theta(\omega+d+m+r)-\theta(\omega+d+r) \theta(\omega+d+m)} \\
& S_{3}=-\frac{\theta(\omega+d) \theta(\omega+d+m+r)+\theta(\omega+d+r) \theta(\omega+d+m)}{\theta(\omega+d) \theta(\omega+d+m+r)-\theta(\omega+d+r) \theta(\omega+d+m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the theta function is linked to a hyperelliptic curve of genus g , $\omega^{2}=\left(\lambda^{2}-a^{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{2 g}\left(\lambda-e_{j}\right)$ whose cycle $\sum \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a} 1+\ldots+a_{g}$ encircles the cut [1a, a], $r=\int_{\infty^{+}}^{\infty^{-}} d u$ where the integration path crosses the cycle $\sum a$, the vector $d \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$ is such that : Im $d=-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Imr}, \mathrm{m}=(\mathrm{m} 1, \ldots \mathrm{mg}), \omega=\frac{1}{2 \pi}(V x+W t)$.

We cite yet another example which concerns the sine-Gordon equation [2]:

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}}=\sin \varphi .
$$

It is a non-linear wave equation with multiple applications in physics. Its solution can be written in the form

$$
\varphi(x, t)=2 i \ln \frac{\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right](U x+V t+W \backslash B)}{\theta\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right](U x+V t+W \backslash B)}+C+2 \pi m,
$$

## où $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W} \in \mathbb{C}^{g}, \mathrm{C} \in \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{Z}$.

Moreover, the study of the theta functions of a Riemann surface of the genus $g$ can be done from the point of view of tau function of a hierarchy of soliton equations [13]. The tau functions are specific functions of time, constructed from sections of a determinant bundle on a Grassmannian manifold of in definite dimension and generalize the Riemann theta functions.
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