

#### Short communication

### A SCITECHNOL JOURNAL

# Strategies and Outcomes of Thoracic Surgery for Esophageal Disorders

#### Maria Gustafsson\*

Department of Medicine, Umea University, Umea, Sweden

\*Corresponding Author: Maria Gustafsson, Department of Medicine,

Umea University, Umea, Sweden; E-mail: maria.bio@umu.se

Received date: 23 May, 2023, Manuscript No. JSCP-23-107239;

Editor assigned date: 23 May, 2023, PreQC No. JSCP-23-107239 (PQ);

Reviewed date: 12 June, 2023, QC No. JSCP-23-107239;

Revised date: 19 June, 2023, Manuscript No. JSCP-23-107239 (R); Published date: 26 June, 2023 DOI: 10.35248/JSCP.23.7.100376

## **Description**

Esophageal disorders represent a significant medical challenge impacting the quality of life and overall well-being of affected individuals. Surgical intervention plays an important role in the management of various esophageal conditions, and thoracic surgery has evolved significantly to offer effective strategies for treating these disorders.

Esophageal disorders encompass a range of conditions, including Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD), esophageal cancer, achalasia, esophageal strictures, and benign esophageal tumors. While conservative management may be appropriate for some cases, thoracic surgery becomes necessary when medical therapy proves ineffective or when the condition poses a significant risk to the patient's health and well-being. For GERD, where chronic reflux causes damage to the esophageal lining, laparoscopic fundoplication is a common surgical approach. This procedure aims to reinforce the lower esophageal sphincter and prevent gastric acid from entering the esophagus. Similarly, achalasia, a disorder characterized by impaired esophageal motility, can be managed with Heller myotomy, a thoracoscopic procedure that divides the esophageal muscle fibers to alleviate swallowing difficulties [1-3].

Esophageal cancer is a particularly challenging condition, often diagnosed at an advanced stage when surgical intervention becomes complex. The two primary types of esophageal cancer, squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma, may require different surgical approaches. For early-stage esophageal cancer, endoscopic resection or minimally invasive techniques like laparoscopic and thoracoscopic procedures may be employed to remove the tumor without extensive organ resection. However, in more advanced cases, a radical esophagectomy is often performed. This procedure involves removing a portion of the esophagus, nearby lymph nodes, and sometimes a part of the stomach, followed by reconstruction of the digestive tract. To achieve better outcomes, surgeons now adopt multimodal approaches, combining surgery with neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiation therapy. This approach aims to decrease the tumor for surgical resection and improve long-term survival rates [5].

In recent years, minimally invasive techniques have revolutionized thoracic surgery, including procedures for esophageal disorders.

Minimally invasive surgery offers several advantages over traditional open approaches, such as reduced postoperative pain, shorter hospital stays, quicker recovery times, and better cosmetic outcomes. However, it is important to note that not all patients may be suitable candidates for minimally invasive approaches, particularly in advanced or complex cases [6,7]. Thoracic surgery, while highly effective, is not without its risks. Complications can arise from any surgical procedure, and esophageal surgeries are no exception. Common postoperative complications include anastomotic leaks, pneumonia, bleeding, and strictures. Additionally, patients may experience functional issues such as dysphagia or dumping syndrome after surgery. To mitigate these complications, thorough preoperative assessment and patient optimization are essential. Surgeons must carefully select appropriate candidates for surgery and counsel patients on the potential risks and benefits [8]. The success of thoracic surgery for esophageal disorders is not solely measured by immediate postoperative results. For esophageal cancer patients, long-term survival rates have significantly improved with advances in surgical techniques and the integration of neoadjuvant therapies. However, functional outcomes, such as swallowing function and nutritional status, can vary among patients. Long-term follow-up is necessary to assess these aspects and provide appropriate support and interventions when needed. Nevertheless, ongoing research and collaboration between medical disciplines are important in further improving surgical strategies and achieving the best possible results for patients with esophageal disorders [9,10].

#### References

- Giménez A, Franquet T, Erasmus JJ, Martínez S, Estrada P (2002) Thoracic complications of esophageal disorders. Radiographics. 22:247-258.
- Pezzella AT, Adebonojo SA, Hooker SG, Mabogunje OA, Conlan AA (2000) Complications of general thoracic surgery. Curr Probl Surg 37:733-858.
- Sepesi B, Raymond DP, Peters JH (2010) Esophageal perforation: Surgical, endoscopic and medical management strategies. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 26:379-383.
- Castrucci G, Porziella V, Granone PL, Picciocchi A (1998) Tailored surgery for esophageal body diverticula. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 14:380-387.
- Sarr MG, Pemberton JH, Payne WS (1982) Management of instrumental perforations of the esophagus. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 84:211-218.
- Waters PF, Pearson FG, Todd TR, Patterson GA, Goldberg M, et al. (1988) Esophagectomy for complex benign esophageal disease. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 95:378-381.
- Richardson JD (2005) Management of esophageal perforations: The value of aggressive surgical treatment. Am J Surg Aug
- Backemar L, Lagergren P, Djarv T, Johar A, Wikman A (2015) Comorbidities and risk of complications after surgery for esophageal cancer: A nationwide cohort study in Sweden. World J Surg 39:2282-2288.
- Varghese Jr TK, Marshall B, Chang AC, Pickens A, Lau CL, et al. (2007) Surgical treatment of epiphrenic diverticula: A 30-year experience. Ann Thorac Surg 84:1801-1809.



Citation:

10. Wain JC, Wright CD, Kuo EY (1999) Long-segment colon interposition for acquired esophageal disease. Ann Thorac Surg 67:313-317.

Volume 7 • Issue 2 • 1000376 • Page 2 of 2 •