

# Journal of Women's Health, Issues & Care

## **Research Article**

## A SCITECHNOL JOURNAL

The Willingness of Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Women to Cervical Cancer Screening in Northwest Ethiopia

Abebe Dires<sup>1\*</sup>, Agumas Shibabaw<sup>2</sup> and Sisay Gedamu<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** Cervical cancer is one of the leading causes of women's death worldwide. Screening and early treatment of precancerous cervical lesion is essential to reduce morbidity and mortality of HIV-positive women. However, significant numbers of HIV-positive women remained unscreened. In this study, we aimed to determine the willingness of HIV-infected women to cervical cancer screening in northwest Ethiopia.

**Method:** A cross-sectional study was conducted at ART clinics of Gondar University Referral Hospital from April to May 2016 involving 460 HIV-positive women. Bivariate and multivariable logistic regression analyses were employed.

**Results:** The mean age of participants ( $\pm$  SD) was 35.5  $\pm$  8.4 years; and 88.9% were on combined ART. Overall, 28.7% of participants had willingness to be screened. In multivariate analysis, nulliparous (AOR=1.74, 95% CI: 1.03-2.93), attainment of college education (AOR=3.94, 95% CI: 1.29-12.0), screened previously (AOR=2.50, 95% CI: 1.09-5.73) and who had awareness about the disease (AOR=1.74, 95% CI: 1.03-2.93) were independently associated with willingness of cervical cancer screening.

**Conclusion:** This study revealed that the willingness of HIVinfected women towards cervical cancer screening was very low in northwest Ethiopia. This finding highlights the need of creating awareness about screening and health information dissemination for HIV-infected women to prevent the occurrence of cervical cancer.

#### Keywords

Cervical cancer; Screening; Willingness; HIV

## Introduction

Cervical cancer (CC) is one of the primary cause of women's death worldwide and the majority of the mortality is occurring in resource-limited countries [1]. Globally, more than half a million CC cases have been reported annually, and 84% of new cases were occurred in developing countries [2]. CC is the top cause of cancer death In sub-Saharan Africa [3,4]. Lack of skilled personnel and

\*Corresponding author: Abebe Dires, School of Nursing and Midwifery, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia, Tel: +251-921559907; E-mail: abede9907@gmail.com

Received: September 05, 2019 Accepted: September 24, 2019 Published: September 27, 2019



All articles published in Journal of Women's Health, Issues & Care are the property of SciTechnol, and is protected by copyright laws. Copyright © 2019, SciTechnol, All Rights Reserved.

infrastructure were the main barrier for CC care and treatment in the region [5]. In HIV-infected women, precancerous cervical lesion is expected to develop into invasive disease earlier than not infected [6]. Lower CD4 cell count and AIDS (Acquired Immuno Deficiency Syndrome) stage of the disease has been contributed for the detection of precancerous cervical lesion [7,8]. Studies in Africa reported high rate of precancerous cervical lesion in HIV infected women. For example; In Kenya, Nigeria, and Ethiopia, the prevalence of precancerous cervical lesion was 26.7%, 6% and 22%, respectively [9-11]. HPV Vaccination is used to decrease the burden of the disease but very low coverage in resource-limited countries [12].

World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that HIVinfected women should be screened for precancerous cervical lesion irrespective of their age using VIA in resource constrained settings [6]. CC is the most common cancer in Ethiopia and more than 29 million women were at risk of the disease [2]. Poverty and socio-cultural factors were the main factors identified in Ethiopian women that enhance their risk [13]. In areas where the prevalence of CC is higher, accessing women for vaccination and routine screening will reduce death significantly [14]. A cross-sectional survey from sub-Saharan Africa revealed that on-site CC screening was available in about 80% of sites [15]. Similarly, in resource-limited countries, where medical supplies are lacking, focusing on primary and secondary preventive activities can reduce the burden of the disease [5]. The "screen and treat" approach with VIA as screening tool and cryotherapy as initial therapy for precancerous cervical lesions have been used in various settings of Ethiopia [16]. All women from 30-49 years of age are eligible for screening including all sexually active HIV-positive women. Poor knowledge about the prevention and treatment of CC is the main reason for the low uptake of screening in HIV positive women [17,18]. Due to Limited study reports in Ethiopia, we aimed to determine willingness of HIV-infected women to cervical cancer screening in northwest Ethiopia.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Study design and setting

A cross-sectional study was conducted among HIV-positive women at the University of Gondar Referral Hospital from April to May 2016. A total of 460 HIV-positive women with age 18 years and above and attending ART outpatients follow up clinic were consecutively included in the study. The referral hospital is a teaching hospital found in North West Ethiopia, Gondar City and serves for more than five million people in the region. Early cervical cancer screening with VIA and cryotherapy services were available in the hospital.

#### Sample size determination

Sample size was determined using single population proportion formula  $[n=(Z \ a/2)^2 \ p(1-p)/d^2]$  by considering the following assumptions; proportion of 24.8% [18], 4% margin of error, 95% confidence interval (CI) of 1.96, and 10% non-response rate then the final sample size was 495.

#### **Data collection**

Eligible patients were approached and invited to participate while

they were attending the adult ART clinic for their regular follow-up. Patients were interviewed for collecting socio-demographic data by using a pre-tested structured questionnaire and clinical data like duration of HIV-infection and treatment, CD4 cell count, and WHO clinical staging were abstracted from patients' database.

## **Operational definitions**

Awareness of cervical cancer screening: HIV-infected women who had heard about cervical cancer and its screening [19,20].

The willingness of cervical cancer screening: HIV positive women who responded yes to the question "Do you want to be screened for cervical cancer?" [19].

**Uptake of cervical cancer screening:** Self-reported history of HIV-infected women who had been screened for a precancerous cervical lesion at least once in their lifetime.

## Data analysis

Data was entered using "Epi-info version 7" and analyzed by "SPSS (statistical package for social science) version 20". The bivariate and multivariable analysis model was used with odds ratio and 95% confidence interval. In bivariate analysis, variables having significant association with the dependent variable at a p-value of 0.2 and below were entered into multivariable analysis model. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was obtained and a p-value<0.05 was considered statistical significance.

#### **Ethical issues**

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Gondar, ethical review committee and Permission to conduct the study was also obtained from Gondar university referral hospital. All study participants participated voluntarily and data was collected after obtaining informed verbal consent.

## Results

# Demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants

A total of 460 HIV positive women participated in the study after verbal informed consent was obtained. The mean age of respondents was 35.5 years (SD  $\pm$  8.4 years) and 281 (61%) were found in the age group of 18-34 years. Overall, 193 (42%) HIV-infected women were married, 311 (67.6%) have at least one child, 59.6% were diagnosed as HIV-positive within the last four years, 88.9% were on combined ART, 84.3% had CD4 cell count greater than 200 cell/mm<sup>3</sup>, and 54% were found in WHO clinical stage I (Table 1).

## Participant's willingness to cervical cancer screening and related factors

Nearly half of participants (49.8%) were heard about CC; and 25.7% and 17.4% were heard from health care providers and mass media, respectively. Overall, 37.8% of participants had awareness about CC screening and only four women were able to identify the screening methods. Furthermore, 53% of HIV-infected women were perceived themselves at risk of CC and 10% participants reported that they were screened previously for CC at least once in their lifetime. Moreover, 28.7% (95% CI: 24.6-32.6) of participants had the willingness to be screened for cervical cancer with the available test in the hospital (VIA). Fear of test result (20.1%) and fear of pain (19.2%)

| Characteristics                     | Frequency | Percent |  |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| Age category in completed year      | riequency | reicent |  |
| 18-34                               | 281       | 61      |  |
| 35-54                               | 164       | 35.7    |  |
| >=55                                | 15        | 3 3     |  |
| Residence                           | 10        | 0.0     |  |
| lirban                              | 353       | 76.7    |  |
| Rural                               | 107       | 23.3    |  |
| Educational status                  | 107       | 20.0    |  |
| Unable to read and write            | 112       | 24.3    |  |
| Able to read and write only         | 105       | 22.8    |  |
| Primary school                      | 126       | 27.4    |  |
| Secondary school                    | 85        | 18.5    |  |
| College/university                  | 32        | 7       |  |
| Marital status                      |           |         |  |
| Married                             | 193       | 42      |  |
| Non married                         | 267       | 58      |  |
| Number of living child              | 201       |         |  |
| NO                                  | 149       | 32.4    |  |
| Yes                                 | 311       | 67.6    |  |
| Diagnosed as HIV positive (In year  | )         |         |  |
| <=4                                 | 274       | 59.6    |  |
| 5-9                                 | 146       | 31.7    |  |
| >= 10                               | 40        | 8.7     |  |
| Enrolled in chronic ART care (in ye | ar)       |         |  |
| <=4                                 | 275       | 59.8    |  |
| 5-9                                 | 157       | 34.1    |  |
| >= 10                               | 28        | 6.1     |  |
| Initiation of HAART                 |           |         |  |
| Yes                                 | 409       | 88.9    |  |
| No                                  | 51        | 11.1    |  |
| Recent CD4 count                    |           |         |  |
| <200                                | 72        | 15.7    |  |
| >=200                               | 388       | 84.3    |  |
| WHO clinical staging                |           |         |  |
| 1                                   | 248       | 54      |  |
| II                                  | 147       | 32      |  |
| 111                                 | 51        | 11      |  |
| IV                                  | 14        | 3       |  |

were mentioned as the main reason for unwilling participants to the test (Table 2).

# Factors associated with willingness of cervical cancer screening

In bivariate analysis, age, monthly income, marital status, parity, residence, educational status, duration of HIV-infection and enrolled in chronic HIV care, ART status of clients, CD4 cell count, client's awareness on CC screening, self-perceived risk of cervical cancer and previous history of CC screening had a p-value of less than 0.2 were subjected to multivariate analysis.

In multivariate analysis; nulliparous (AOR=1.74, 95% CI: 1.03-2.93), attainment of college education (AOR=3.94, 95% CI: 1.29-12.0), screened previously (AOR=2.50, 95% CI: 1.09-5.73) and who had awareness about the disease (AOR=1.74, 95% CI: 1.03-2.93) were independently associated with willingness of cervical cancer screening (Table 3).

| Characteristics                                    | Frequency | Percent |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Ever heard about CC2                               | Trequency | reicent |  |  |  |  |
|  | 220       | 10.8    |  |  |  |  |
| No   | 223       | 50.8    |  |  |  |  |
| Source of information (n=229)                      | 201       | 50.0    |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40        | 17 4    |  |  |  |  |
| Printed meteriala                                  | 20        | 12.1    |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50        | 25.7    |  |  |  |  |
| Family   | 39        | 14.0    |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34        | 16.1    |  |  |  |  |
| riellus<br>Neiste est                              | 37        | 10.1    |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24<br>5   | 10.4    |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5         | 2.5     |  |  |  |  |
| Ever heard about CC screening (n=229)              | 4-4       |         |  |  |  |  |
| Yes  | 1/4       | 75.9    |  |  |  |  |
| No   | 55        | 24.1    |  |  |  |  |
| Source of information (n=174)                      |           |         |  |  |  |  |
| Television/Radio                                   | 24        | 13.7    |  |  |  |  |
| Printed materials                                  | 18        | 10.3    |  |  |  |  |
| Health professionals                               | 84        | 48.2    |  |  |  |  |
| Family   | 16        | 9.6     |  |  |  |  |
| Friends  | 28        | 16      |  |  |  |  |
| Others <sup>b</sup>                                | 4         | 2.2     |  |  |  |  |
| Know screening methods (n=174)                     |           |         |  |  |  |  |
| Yes  | 4         | 2.3     |  |  |  |  |
| No   | 170       | 97.7    |  |  |  |  |
| Self-perceived risk to CC?                         |           |         |  |  |  |  |
| Yes  | 244       | 53      |  |  |  |  |
| No   | 216       | 47      |  |  |  |  |
| Previous history of CC screening                   |           |         |  |  |  |  |
| Yes  | 46        | 10      |  |  |  |  |
| No   | 408       | 90      |  |  |  |  |
| Willingness to be screened for CC                  |           |         |  |  |  |  |
| Yes  | 132       | 28.7    |  |  |  |  |
| No   | 328       | 71.3    |  |  |  |  |
| Main reasons for non-willing participants (n=328)? |           |         |  |  |  |  |
| Fear of test result                                | 66        | 20.1    |  |  |  |  |
| Fear of pain                                       | 63        | 19.2    |  |  |  |  |
| Long waiting time                                  | 53        | 16.1    |  |  |  |  |
| No reason  | 38        | 11.6    |  |  |  |  |
| Screened before                                    | 37        | 11.2    |  |  |  |  |
| Required partners permission                       | 30        | 9.1     |  |  |  |  |
| Religious denial                                   | 28        | 8.5     |  |  |  |  |
| Others <sup>b</sup>                                | 13        | 4.2     |  |  |  |  |
| <sup>a.b:</sup> Anti-HIV clubs                     |           | 1       |  |  |  |  |

Table 2: Awareness and willingness of cervical cancer screening and related factors of HIV-infected women in Northwest Ethiopia (n=460).

## Discussion

Early cervical cancer screening is recommended particularly for HIV-infected individuals in order to provide appropriate interventions in time. In our study, 28.7% of HIV-infected women had the willingness to be screened for precancerous cervical lesion. This finding was lower than a reported study done in Ethiopia (62.7%) [18] and other study finding reported in African countries such as; Kenya (65%) [21], Nigeria (79.8%) [19] and Mozambique (84%) [22]. This variation could be due to difference in the study period and sampling methods used. In the study of Nigeria, a semi-structured questionnaire and stratified sampling technique was used but in our study, all eligible HIV-infected women who were attending the ART clinic for their regular follow-up were involved consecutively. The observed difference could be due to; in our study area, our patients were found generally of lower sociodemographic and economic status that those in Kenya, Nigeria, and Mozambique.

In this study, 71.3% of participants had no willingness to be screened for cervical cancer. Fear of test result (20.1%) and fear of pain (19.2%) were mentioned as the main reason for unwilling participants to the test. This finding was comparable with previous studies reported in Ethiopia [18], Nigeria [19], Kenya [23], and Sub Saharan Africa [24].

In this study, nulliparity was significantly associated with willingness of CC screening in our study population and this was in agreement with a study reported in Nigeria [19]. This could be due to women's intention to have children in the future. Our study also

| Characteristics                                      | Willingness of cervical cancer screening |            | COR (95% CI)       | AOR (95% CI)      |  |  |
|--|--|------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|
|  | Yes, n (%)                               | No, n (%)  |                    |                   |  |  |
| Parity   |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| Had child  | 56 (37.6)                                | 93 (62.4)  | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Nulliparity  | 76 (24.4)                                | 235 (75.6) | 1.86 (1.22-2.83)*  | 1.74 (1.03-2.93)* |  |  |
| Residence  |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| Rural  | 15 (14.0)                                | 92 (86.0)  | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Urban  | 117 (33.1)                               | 236 (66.9) | 3.04 (1.68-5.48)*  | 0.12 (0.06-4.54)  |  |  |
| Age (in year)  |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| 18-34  | 121 (43.1)                               | 160 (56.9) | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| 35-54  | 9 (5.5)                                  | 155 (94.5) | 0.07 (1.03-3.15)*  | 1.3 (0.02-1.11)   |  |  |
| >=55   | 2 (13.3)                                 | 13 (86.7)  | 0.20 (0.04-0.91)*  | 0.24 (0.04-1.23)  |  |  |
| Educational Level                                    |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| Unable to read and write                             | 16 (14.3)                                | 96 (85.7)  | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Abe to read and write only                           | 32 (30.5)                                | 73 (69.5)  | 2.63 (1.34-5.15)*  | 1.64 (0.74-3.64)  |  |  |
| Primary  | 39 (31.0)                                | 87 (69.0)  | 2.69 (1.40-5.15)*  | 1.84 (0.83-4.07)  |  |  |
| Secondary  | 31 (36.5)                                | 54 (63.5)  | 3.44 (1.73-6.86)*  | 2.37 (1.01-5.53)* |  |  |
| College/university                                   | 14 (43.8)                                | 18 (56.2)  | 4.67 (1.94-11.2)*  | 3.94 (1.29-12.0)* |  |  |
| Marital status                                       |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| Non married  | 66 (24.7)                                | 201 (75.3) | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Married  | 66 (34.2)                                | 127 (65.8) | 1.58 (1.05-2.37)*  | 1.32 (0.80-2.20)  |  |  |
| Duration of HIV infection (year)                     |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| 1-4  | 90 (32.8)                                | 184 (67.2) | 4.40 (1.52-12.74)* | 6.25 (0.95-41.11) |  |  |
| 5-9  | 38 (26.0)                                | 108 (74.0) | 3.16 (1.05-9.48)*  | 2.13 (0.41-10.86) |  |  |
| >=10   | 4 (10.0)                                 | 36 (90.0)  | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Duration of enrolled in chronic HIV care (vear)      |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| 1-4  | 85 (30.9)                                | 190 (69.1) | 3.72 (1.09-12.68)* | 1.13 (0.13-9.52)  |  |  |
| 5-9  | 44 (28.0)                                | 113 (72.0) | 3.24 (0.93-11.29)  | 2.47 (0.34-17.81) |  |  |
| >=10   | 3 (10.7)                                 | 25 (89.3)  | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Initiation of combined ART                           |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| No   | 8 (15.7)                                 | 43 (84.3)  | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Yes  | 124 (30.3)                               | 285 (69.7) | 2.33 (1.06-5.12)*  | 2.02 (0.79-5.19)  |  |  |
| Recent CD4 count (cell/mm <sup>3</sup> )             |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| <200   | 13 (18.1)                                | 59 (81.9)  | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| >=200  | 119 (30.7)                               | 269 (69.3) | 2.00 (1.06-3.80)*  | 1.38 (0.63-3.02)  |  |  |
| Self-perceived risk to CC                            |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| No   | 52 (24.1)                                | 164 (75.9) | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Yes  | 80 (32.8)                                | 164 (67.2) | 1.53 (1.02-2.32)*  | 1.75 (0.06-2.90)  |  |  |
| Awareness of CC screening                            |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| No   | 67 (23.4)                                | 219 (76.6) | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Yes  | 65 (37.4)                                | 109 (62.6) | 1.94 (1.29-2.94)*  | 1.74 (1.03-2.93)* |  |  |
| Previous history of CC screening                     |  |            |                    |                   |  |  |
| No   | 112 (27.1)                               | 302 (72.9) | 1                  | 1                 |  |  |
| Yes  | 20 (43.5)                                | 26 (56.5)  | 2.07 (1.11-3.86)*  | 2.50 (1.09-5.73)* |  |  |
| *Statistically significant association at p-value of | <0.05                                    |            | , ,                |                   |  |  |

Table 3: Factors associated with willingness of cervical cancer screening in HIV-infected women in Northwest Ethiopia (n=460).

revealed that women who attended college education were nearly four times willing to be screened compared to those who were not able to read and write. This finding was inconsistent with previous studies reported in Ethiopia [18] and Nigeria [19] that educated women had better awareness about CC screening than illiterates and it is also supported by the direct link between health literacy and CC screening behavior of women [25].

Moreover, having awareness was significantly associated with willingness of CC screening in our study population. Participants who had awareness about CC screening were 1.7 times more willing to be screened than those who had no awareness. This finding was similar to the study conducted in Ethiopia [18] and Nigeria [19].

Our study also revealed a significant association between

previous screening history and willingness of the test. Participants who had previous screening history were 2.5 times more likely willing to be screened compared with those who were not screened for a pre-cancerous cervical lesion in their lifetime. This finding was in agreement with study reported in Nigeria [19]. This could be due to the health information they obtained from health care providers at the time of initial screening test about CC, its screening and other related issues.

Limitations of the present study are mainly related to the nature of the cross-sectional study design of the current analyses and recall and social desirability biases could affect the data. Moreover, the health facility and health care provider related factors affecting CC screening were not assessed in this study. Citation: Dires A, Shibabaw A, Gedamu S (2019) The Willingness of Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Women to Cervical Cancer Screening in Northwest Ethiopia. J Womens Health, Issues Care 8:4.

#### Conclusion

This study revealed that the willingness of HIV-infected women towards cervical cancer screening was very low in northwest Ethiopia. This finding highlights the need for creating awareness and health information dissemination by health care providers about cervical cancer and its screening importance to HIV-infected women so as to prevent the morbidity and mortality of the disease.

#### Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the University of Gondar for funding this study. We also thank all study participants for their volunteer participation and health care providers for their support and cooperation during data collection.

#### Authors' Contributions

ADN brought the research idea, coordinated data collection, performed the statistical analysis, prepare the initial manuscript, read and approved the final manuscript. AS and SG were performed the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

Authors have no competing interests.

#### References

- de Martel C, Plummer M, Vignat J, Franceschi S (2017) Worldwide burden of 1 cancer attributable to HPV by site, country and HPV type. Int J Cancer 141: 664-670
- Bruni L, Barrionuevo-Rosas L, Albero G, Aldea M, Serrano B, et al. (2015) 2. ICO information centre on HPV and cancer (HPV Information Centre). Human papillomavirus and related diseases in the world Summary Report.
- 3. Sarker M, Krishnan S, Parham G, Bray F, Ginsburg O, et al. (2016) The global burden of women's cancers: a grand challenge in global health, Lancet 389: 847-860.
- De Vuyst H, Alemany L, Lacey C, Chibwesha CJ, Sahasrabuddhe V, et al. (2013) The burden of human papillomavirus infections and related diseases in sub-saharan Africa. Vaccine 31: F32-F46.
- 5. Randall TC, Ghebre R (2016) Challenges in prevention and care delivery for women with cervical cancer in sub-Saharan Africa. Front Oncol 6: 160.
- World Health Organization (2006) Comprehensive cervical cancer control: A 6 guide to essential practice, 2nd Edn.
- Katumbaa AC, Rejib E, Gitauc T, Firnhaberde C (2016) World Health Organisation staging, adherence to HAART and abnormal cervical smears amongst HIV-infected women attending a government hospital in Johannesburg, South Africa. Southern African Journal of Infectious Diseases 31: 112-118.
- 8. Huchko MJ, Leslie H, Sneden J, Maloba M, Abdulrahim N, et al. (2014) Risk factors for cervical precancer detecti on among previously unscreened HIVinfected women in Western Kenya. Int J Cancer 134: 740-745.
- 9. Memiah P, Mbuthia W, Kiiru G, Agbor S, Odhiambo F, et al. (2012) Prevalence and risk factors associated with precancerous cervical cancer lesions among HIV-infected women in resource-limited settings. AIDS Research and Treatment 1-7.
- 10. Ononogbu U, Almujtaba M, Modibbo F, Lawal I, Offiong R, et al. (2013) Cervical cancer risk factors among HIV-infected Nigerian women. BMC Public Health 13: 582.
- 11. Gedefaw A, Astatkie A, Tessema GA (2013) The prevalence of precancerous cervical cancer lesion among HIV-infected women in southern Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. PloS One 8: e84519.
- 12. Bruni L, Diaz M, Barrionuevo-Rosas L, Herrero R, Bray F, et al. (2016) Global estimates of human papillomavirus vaccination coverage by region and income level: a pooled analysis. Lancet Glob Health 4: e453-e463.
- 13. Tadesse SK (2015) Socio-economic and cultural vulnerabilities to cervical cancer and challenges faced by patients attending care at Tikur Anbessa Hospital: A cross sectional and qualitative study. BMC Women's Health 15: 75.

- 14. Mileshkin LR, Freimund AE (2016) Improvement of outcomes for women with HIV infection and cervical cancer. J Clin Oncol 34: 3719-3721
- 15. Coleman JS, Cespedes MS, Cu-Uvin S, Kosgei RJ, Maloba M, et al. (2016) An insight into cervical cancer screening and treatment capacity in sub-Saharan Africa. J Low Genit Tract Dis 20: 31-37.
- 16. Ethiopian federal ministry of health (2015) National cervical cancer prevention training package
- 17. Shiferaw N, Brooks MI, Salvador-Davila G, Lonsako S, Kassahun K, et al. (2016) Knowledge and awareness of cervical cancer among HIV-infected women in Ethiopia. Obstet Gynecol Int 1-8.
- 18. Belete N, Tsige Y, Mellie H (2015) Willingness and acceptability of cervical cancer screening among women living with HIV/AIDS in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: A cross sectional study. Gynecol Oncol Res Pract 2: 6.
- 19. Ezechi OC, Gab-Okafor CV, Ostergren PO, Pettersson KO (2013) Willingness and acceptability of cervical cancer screening among HIV positive Nigerian women. BMC Public Health 13: 46.
- 20. Nega AD, Woldetsadik MA, Gelagay AA (2018) Low uptake of cervical cancer screening among HIV positive women in Gondar University referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: Cross-sectional study design. BMC Women's Health 18: 87.
- 21. Were E, Nyaberi Z, Buziba N (2011) Perceptions of risk and barriers to cervical cancer screening at Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital (MTRH), Eldoret, Kenya. Afr Health Sci 11: 58-64.
- 22. Audet CM, Matos CS, Blevins M, Cardoso A, Moon TD, et al. (2012) Acceptability of cervical cancer screening in rural Mozambique. Health Educ Res 27: 544-551.
- 23. Njuguna E, Ilovi S, Muiruri P, Mutai K, Kinuthia J, et al. (2017) Factors influencing cervical cancer screening in a Kenyan Health Facility: a mixed qualitative and quantitative study. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 6.1746-1752
- 24. Lim JN, Ojo AA (2017) Barriers to utilisation of cervical cancer screening in Sub Sahara Africa: a systematic review. Eur J Cancer Care 26.
- 25. Kim K, Han HR (2016) Potential links between health literacy and cervical cancer screening behaviors: A systematic review. Psycho-Oncology 25: 122-130.

## Author Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>School of Nursing and Midwifery, College of Medicine and Health Sciences,

Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia

#### Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of SciTechnol submissions

٠ 80 Journals

- ٠ 21 Day rapid review process
- ÷ 3000 Editorial team
- 5 Million readers
- More than 5000 facebook ٠
- Quality and quick review processing through Editorial Manager System

Submit your next manuscript at • www.scitechnol.com/submission

Top