

J Mar Biol Oceanoar

A SCITECHNOL JOURNAL

Use of Foraminifera in the Characterization of Two Coastal **Regions of Brazil**

Luiz Ubiratan*

Commentary

Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado, Uruguai e das Missões (URI), Brazil.

Corresponding author: Luiz Ubiratan, Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado, Uruguai e das Missões (URI), Brazil, Email: ubiritan543@gmail.com Received date: 07 December, 2021, Manuscript No. JMBO-22-56918; Editor assigned date: 09 December, 2021, Pre QC No. JMBO-22-56918 (PQ); Reviewed date: 23 December, 2021, QC No JMBO-22-56918; Revised date: 28 December, 2021, Manuscript No. JMBO-22-56918 (R); Published date: 07 January, 2022, DOI:10.4172/jmbo.1000220

Description

The use of foraminifera as indicators is due to the sensitivity in response to environmental variations and in this paper, we present this correlation in two Brazilian coastal areas: Guanabara Bay and Baixada Scantest. In Guanabara Bay, the species found show four subenvironments. A: marine influence, B: environmentally threatened, C: pollution by industrial waste and D: contamination by domestic sewage. In the Baixada Scantest two sub-environments are highlighted: Sub-environment presents typical associations of marine environment indicating greater water renewal and in sub-environment 2 predominant species with continental input where water renewal is less efficient. Foraminifera, sensitivity, marine sediment, pH, acidification, sub-environment, threatened, renewal and acute [1].

Many studies currently developed in Brazilian coastal regions make it possible to know its hydrodynamic, chemical and biological characteristics. Despite the existence of numerous hydrological and geochemical parameters that can be used to control environmental variations, the reproducibility of its analysis and consequent interpretation is difficult to perform. Moreover, analysis especially of the water column, provide no more than a snapshot of the environmental parameter [2]. The value of this image can be doubted if its extreme variability is taken into account, even on a time scale equivalent to a tidal cycle. It is therefore appropriate to consider the use of a simple and inexpensive management marker capable of synthesizing the general characteristics of the environment, highlighting the environmental variations of short periods. This marker needs to be sensitive enough to react quickly to changes in the environment. In this sense, foraminifera react very effectively [3].

The use of these organisms as environmental indicators in Brazilian coastal areas began with Class (1964), who carried out quantitative work in Lagos dos Pathos (RS) on foraminifera and the camoebians and their relationships with different abiotic factors, elaborated a subdivision of the area in different ecological zones [4]. Guanabara Bay is an unconfined environment due to the large penetration of marine waters within the system.

In the Santos and São Vicente estuaries, water penetration is less efficient, creating isohaline environments. The bays are more influenced by the circulation processes of the adjacent continental shelf, while the estuaries with elongated geometry receive this

influence less intensely [5]. Despite the geomorphological and oceanographic differences of the compared environments, it is possible through natural history and the distribution of foraminifera to make comparisons related to anthropogenic effects like warming and acidification of the ocean and of the marine sediments in anoxic environment. The use of these organisms as biological indicators is an instrument that allows us to highlight seriously and potentially compromised locations, for diagnosing the environments, and is the objective of this work [6].

Geomorphological and Oceanographic Considerations

This article presents foraminifer's species from two Brazilian coastal areas, the Baixada Scantest (area that includes the cities of Santos, Guarujá, São Vicente, Curacao and Bertioga) and the Santos-São Vicente Estuarine System (São Paulo, SP) and Guanabara Bay (an oceanic bay located in Southeast Brazil in the state of Rio de Janeiro. On its western shore lies the cities of Rio de Janeiro and Duque de Caxias, and on its eastern shore the cities of Niteroi and São Gonfalon); Santos-São Vicente Estuarine System [7].

The Santos-São Vicente Estuarine System represents one of the most important Brazilian examples of environmental degradation. The Baixada Scantest has approximately 1,200,000 inhabitants, a number that can double during the summer (MMA, 2001). In addition, the region has the country's most important industrial complex, the curacao Complex, which in 1998 had about 1,100 industries including petrochemicals, steel and fertilizers, which discharged about 100,000 kg/month of various pollutants such as metals and petrochemicals. The Port of Santos, located on the estuary is the largest port in Brazil in terms of loading and unloading, and in 1995 handled 35 million tons of products, about 50% of the country's total [8].

In addition to the discharge of industrial effluents and port activities, the Santos-São Vicente Estuarine Complex also receives sewage from the region through sewage systems, clandestine sewage connections from the Coat neighborhoods and the riverine population irregularly settled in slums along of the estuary. The Santos submarine outfall came into operation in 1979, and has a length of 4 km and an internal diameter of 1.75m and contributes a final drainage of 7,000 liters per second of sewage to Santos Bay [9].

The discharge of domestic and municipal sewage from Santos, São Vicente and curacao and the organic load from the entire resident and floating population in the summer period, in the municipalities of Baixada Scantest, contribute to the persistence of unfavorable conditions to the coastal environment of the region.

The samples collected in the Santos and São Vicente Estuarine system are shown in. The patterns recorded for the campaign are described.

Guanabara's Bay

Guanabara Bay is a highly urbanized coastal area where a population of 7.3 million people lives around the region and dump into its organic and inorganic pollution into it is waters. More than 6000 industries, 2 commercial ports, 16 marine terminals, two naval bases, a shipyard and a large number of ferries, fishing boats and yachts, in addition to Brazil's largest oil refinery, Duque de Caxias Refinery (REDUC) are installed in this region.

All articles published in J Mar Biol Oceanogr are the property of SciTechnol and is protected by copyright laws. Copyright © 2022, SciTechnol, All Rights Reserved.

Forty-five rivers flow into Guanabara Bay act as drainage channels. The largest rivers are born in the Serra do Mar and when they reach Baixada Scantest it starts to meander. Among the rivers that flow into the region, Iguaçu River, Estrela River (northwest of the bay, near REDUC) and Guapimirim Rivers (Environmental Preservation Area-APA), Guarani River, Macau River and Guaxindiba River. The deepest locations are in the navigation channel. The current average depth of Guanabara Bay is 7.6 m, being 3 m in the bottom of the Bay and 16.9 m in the outer portion of the Bay.

Tidal movements and wind condition the circulation and salinity of the waters of the bay and river discharges. The less saline waters occur near the mouths of the rivers and mangroves in the northern part of the Bay, reaching salinity values lower than 8. In the middle and southern portion of the Bay, the salinity ranges between 30 and 34. Average temperatures vary between 22°C and 26°C.

Until the year of 1500, the mangroves of Guanabara Bay occupied a large surface of the bay, from the mouth of the Baroque River in Botafogo to the Itapúa Lagoon in Niteroi. Currently, the mangroves are restricted to the Guapimirim Environmental Protection Area (APA), and scattered portions along the coast of Duque de Caxias, Governor's Island, Canal da Mare and Fundal Island.

Sediment samples, hydrographic and current data were collected. Physical parameters measured were: salinity, temperature, speed and direction of currents. Location of the stations was provided via GPS, while the depth measurements through the echo sounder. Bottom sediment was collected for the analysis of foraminifer's species [10].

Sampling stations plotted on the map of the region. The collection of these biological samples began at the entrance of the bays, followed by the collection in the middle parts and finally at the bottom of the bay. Such sampling methodology aims to highlight the occurring gradients related to the different oceanographic parameters studied in the bay region.

References

- Penney BSJ, Racey A (2004) Ecology of extant nummulitids and other larger benthic foraminifera: applications in palaeoenvironmental analysis. Earth-Science Reviews 67: 219– 265.
- Hallock P (1981) Algal symbiosis: a mathematical analysis. Marine Biology 62: 249–255.
- Hallock P (1987) Fluctuations in the trophic resource continuum: a factor in global diversity cycles?. Paleoceanography 2: 457– 471.
- Hallock P, Lidz BH, Cockey-Burkhard EM, Donnelly KB (2003) Foraminifera as bioindicators in coral reef assessment and monitoring: the FORAM Index. Environ Monit Assess 81: 221– 238.
- 5. Hohenegger J (2006) The importance of symbiotic-bearing benthic foraminifera for West Pacific carbonates beach environments. Marine Micropaleontology 61: 4–39.
- Hohenegger J, Yordanova E, Nakano Y, Tatzreiter F (1999) Habitats of larger foraminifera on the upper reef slope of Sesoko Island, Okinawa, Japan. Marine Micropaleontology 36: 109–168.
- Pawlowski J, Holzmann M (2008) Diversity and geographic distribution of benthic foraminifera: a molecular perspective. Biodiversity and Conservation 17: 317–328.
- Pochon X, Garcia-Cuetos L, Baker AC, Castella E, Pawlowski J (2007) One-year survey of a single Micronesian reef reveals extraordinarily rich diversity of Symbiodinium types in soritid foraminifera. Coral Reefs 26: 867–882.
- 9. Pomar L, Hallock P (2008) Carbonate factories: a conundrum in sedimentary geology. Earth-Science Reviews 87: 134–169.
- 10. Renema W (2005) Depth estimation using diameter-thickness ratios in larger benthic foraminifera. Lethaia 38: 137-141.