Post-Surgical Pain: The Status of Evidence for the Use of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS)
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The safety of surgical techniques has been identified as a public-health concern [1]. Surgery causes tissue damage to somatic, visceral and neural structures resulting in peripheral and central sensitisation of the nociceptive system and pain that may be nociceptive and/or neuropathic in origin. Acute post-surgical pain may be localised around the incision but may also spread because of soft tissue injury from surgical trauma and retraction. Pain may be at rest and/or on movement and paroxysmal without clear evoking stimuli. Pain may be accompanied by hyperalgesia, allodynia, hypesthesia and dysesthesia. Neuropathic changes in the brain may contribute to the development of chronic post-surgical pain. The unique and complex pathophysiology of surgical pain means that pain management is challenging with a variety of pharmacological and non-pharmacological techniques available for use [2]. However, inadequate management of post-surgical pain remains a problem and is known to increase the risk of developing moderate-to-severe acute and chronic post-surgical pain.

Strategies for post-surgical management aim to reduce suffering and facilitate mobilization including breathing deeply and normal coughing, eating and drinking because this reduces chest infection, hypoxia, cardiac problems, pressure sores, deep vein thrombosis, anorexia, wound infection, depression and anxiety [3]. Recent guidelines from the American Pain Society, the American Society of Regional Anaesthesia and Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anaesthesiologist’s Committee on Regional Anaesthesia, Executive Committee, and Administrative Council recommended that “...clinicians consider transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) as an adjunct to other post-surgical pain treatments (weak recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).” p137 [4]. Over the decades there has been a protracted debate about the efficacy of TENS for post-surgical pain. In 1990, the Royal College of Surgeons of England and the College of Anaesthetists recommended that TENS should not be offered for post-surgical pain and this may have resulted in TENS being used infrequently. Nowadays, local policy and practice dictates whether TENS is offered for post-surgical pain. The purpose of this Editorial is to outline the practicalities of using TENS for post-surgical pain and to discuss the findings from systematic reviews on clinical efficacy.
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Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation is a non-invasive technique used to alleviate acute and chronic pain which improves activities of daily living [5]. Equipment to administer TENS is inexpensive and can be purchased without prescription (Figure 1). Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation can be self-administered with dosage adjusted according to need and a low risk of harm. Recently, a cost-effectiveness analysis of 88 randomised controlled clinical trials (RCTs, 7507 participants) found that TENS compared favourably against the quality-adjusted life year (QALY) threshold used by the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (i.e. £20,000 GBP per QALY) for the management of pain associated with osteoarthritis of the knee, with an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio of £2,690 GBP per QALY versus usual care [6].

In post-surgical settings TENS is used to alleviate pain both as a stand-alone treatment and in combination with medication, with the potential to reduce analgesic consumption and associated side effects. Consequently, TENS may indirectly alleviate pulmonary atelectasis, post-surgical nausea and vomiting and ileus. TENS has an immediate onset of action, relieving pain as soon as the patient experiences a strong TENS sensation. In clinical practice this is achieved by turning a dial on the TENS device to increase the amplitude of current delivered to the skin. The rapid onset of action means that TENS is particularly useful for post-surgical pain which tends to be dynamic in nature with rapid fluctuations in severity. If pain suddenly increases in severity, as is the case during an episode of breakthrough pain, patients can immediately adjust the intensity of TENS to counteract the increase in pain intensity. This avoids the need to call a nurse for rescue medication. Likewise, by increasing the intensity of TENS prior to moving or coughing patients can pre-empt incident or cough-related pain.

The first reports of the use of TENS in post-surgical settings emerged in the early 1970s. Hymes reported that TENS alleviated acute post-surgical pain, ileus and atelectasis and clinical trials quickly followed suggesting TENS was beneficial for abdominal or thoracic operative procedures, cardiac surgery, back surgery including laminectomies, arthroscopic knee surgery, total hip replacement, hip surgery and gynaecological laparotomies, and caesarean birth. Some studies found that TENS was not superior to placebo TENS or control groups for gastric bypass surgery, abdominal surgery, appendectomy, unilateral inguinal herniorrhaphy, caesarean section, elective cholecystectomy and decompressive lumbar laminectomy. Nowadays, TENS is used for acute post-surgical pain associated with incision and soft tissue trauma from a wide range of major and minor thoracic, abdominal and orthopaedic procedures. TENS is used for short-stay surgical procedures where the patient is fully conscious and alert including endoscopies, laser and dental surgery and for medical procedures including drain management and wound dressing changes. TENS has been used for procedural pain in adolescents and children as young as four.

The same principles and practice of TENS applies for post-surgical settings as for other pain conditions, paying particular attention to infection control [5]. There are excellent safety guidelines to direct clinical judgements [6,7]. Contraindications are often listed as cardiac...
There are many systematic reviews that have attempted to evaluate the clinical efficacy of TENS for acute and for chronic pain including knee osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, low back pain, neck pain, post-amputation pain, post-stroke pain, spinal cord injury, diabetic peripheral neuropathy, chronic and recurrent headache, neuropathic pain, and cancer pain. The largest meta-analysis of TENS to date provided the evidence that TENS alleviated chronic musculoskeletal pain [8]. Often reviewers are unable to make definitive judgements about clinical efficacy because of inadequate RCT design, poor reporting and concerns about trial fidelity and TENS technique.

A Cochrane review of 19 RCTs (1346 participants) found that TENS was superior to placebo TENS as stand-alone treatment for acute pain in adults [9]. There were seven RCTs that investigated procedural pain in perioperative settings and four of these RCTs found that TENS was beneficial. Conditions included pelvic pain during office hysteroscopy (142 patients), pain during screening flexible sigmoidoscopy (90 patients), pain during unsedated colonoscopy (90 patients), pain during plastic surgery (100 patients). Three RCTs found that TENS did not confer benefit for procedural pain during laser treatment of the cervix (100 patients), intravenous needlestick techniques (71 blood donors), and flexible cystoscopy (148 patients). Simpson et al. [10] conducted a meta-analysis of four RCTs and found that TENS reduced moderate-to-severe acute lower back pain, acute pelvic pain, renal colic, and pain associated with hip fracture by 28-44 mm (95% CI) on a 100 mm visual analogue scale. They recommended TENS for use by emergency medical services in prehospital settings.

The early systematic reviews focussing on TENS for post-surgical pain were published in the 1990s and reported that TENS was not superior to controls. The first meta-analysis of TENS for postsurgical pain provided evidence that TENS reduced analgesic consumption if it was administered appropriately [11]. Strong non-painful TENS sensation at the site of pain (i.e. appropriate technique) reduced analgesic consumption by 35.5 % (range 14-51%) when compared with barely perceptible TENS that reduced analgesic consumption by 4.1 % reduction (range –10 to + 29%).

In recent years a series of systematic reviews, some with meta-analysis, provide a growing body of evidence that TENS has clinical utility in post-surgical settings. Freynet et al. [12] A reported that seven of nine RCTs found TENS reduced analgesic consumption and improved the duration of recovery room stay for patients with moderate pain associated with muscle sparing thoracotomy incision. Their review provided evidence that TENS was beneficial as a stand-alone treatment for mild post-thoracotomy pain (i.e. video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery) but not for severe post-thoracotomy pain (i.e. posterolateral thoracotomy incision). In the same year, Sbruzzi et al. [13] provided evidence that TENS alleviated post-thoracotomy and sternotomy pain when combined with analgesic medication in a systematic review of 11 RCTs (570 patients). Subsequently, Kerai et al. [14] found that TENS reduced the severity of pain and analgesic consumption for a variety of surgical procedures (eight RCTs, 442 patients) although they noted that studies lacked adequate sample size, robust methodology and consistency in TENS parameters. Beckwe et al. [15] evaluated TENS for post-surgical knee arthroplasty pain (five RCTs, 347 patients) and reported that two studies found benefits during TENS. The three studies that did not find benefits delivered TENS at suboptimal stimulation intensities. Gajjar et al. [16] evaluated various analgesic treatments for colposcopy pain in women that included one RCT (100 women) which found that TENS did not provide additional benefit when combined with direct infiltration of lignocaine.

Studies in the 1970s provided evidence that TENS alleviated movement-evoked pain associated with deep breathing and cough, and improved peak expiratory flow rates, forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume after one second (FEV ), functional residual capacity and partial pressure of O₂ in arterial blood (PaO₂) following upper abdominal and chest surgery. Patients had fewer pulmonary complications that diminish gaseous exchange and could better tolerate chest physical therapy. However, some studies have failed to find beneficial effects on pulmonary function. The findings from systematic reviews fail to resolve the inconsistency. Freynet et al. [12] reviewed six RCTs and reported improvements in FEV , FVC, and coughing attempts where as Sbruzzi et al. [13] meta-analysed two RCTs and found no differences between TENS and placebo TENS in FVC following post-sternotomy, although a meta-analysis with so few participants is unlikely to be trustworthy.
Conclusion

Evidence from systematic reviews of placebo controlled trials suggests that TENS alleviates pain and reduces analgesic consumption when administered using appropriate technique. Indirect benefits include improvements in pulmonary mechanics and general mobilisation reducing the risk of chest infections, hypoxia, pressure sores and deep vein thrombosis. Thus, TENS should be considered as an adjunct to multimodal management, including analgesic medication, for the management of acute post-surgical pain. Nevertheless, RCTs on TENS often have small samples sizes which undermine confidence in the findings of systematic reviews. Meta-analyses of studies with small sample sizes have been shown to distort effect estimates of clinical efficacy. To improve confidence in estimates of clinical efficacy of TENS there needs to be pooling of data from RCTs with larger samples.
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